



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION
2	ON JULY 11, 2006, BEGINS ON PAGE 244.]
3	
4	
5	
6	>SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE JULY 11, 2006 MEETING WILL COME
7	TO ORDER. WE'LL FIRST BE LED IN PRAYER BY BISHOP JOHN MICHAEL-
8	REID OF THE ST. MICHAEL'S ORTHODOX CATHOLIC CHURCH AND OUR
9	PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE WILL BE BY MARY ELIZABETH PARKER, WHO IS
10	THE STATE COMMANDER OF THE DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS
11	AUXILIARY FROM THE FOURTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT. SO IF THE
12	AUDIENCE WOULD PLEASE RISE AND BISHOP?
13	
14	BISHOP JOHN B. MICHAEL-REID: LET US BOW YOUR HEADS. FATHER OF
15	US ALL, WE ASK FOR YOUR BLESSINGS UPON THIS MEETING. HELP US
16	TO BE MINDFUL OF OUR BROTHERS AND SISTERS WHO ARE NEEDED THE
17	DECISION THAT WILL COME FROM THESE TABLES TODAY. LET US PUT
18	ASIDE PERSONAL AGENDAS FOR THE HIGHEST GOOD. WE ASK FOR YOUR
19	BLESSINGS UPON OUR SOLDIERS WHO DEFEND THIS NATION FOR ALL THE
20	LEADERS THAT GUIDE THIS NATION. MAY WE DO THY WILL ALWAYS. WE
21	ASK THIS IN YOUR NAME. AMEN.
22	
23	MARY ELIZABETH PARKER: FREE YOUR HANDS, STAND AT ATTENTION AND
24	PUT YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR HEART AND JOIN ME IN THE PLEDGE
25	OF ALLEGIANCE. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE]



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THIS MORNING, THE BISHOP, JOHN

- 3 MICHAEL-REID, WHO IS WITH ST. MICHAEL'S ORTHODOX CATHOLIC
- 4 CHURCH, WHICH SERVES THE ORTHODOX CHURCH OF ANTIOCH, WESTERN
- 5 RIGHT ARCHDIOCESE OF NORTH AMERICA AND HE'S THE BISHOP FOR THE
- 6 ORTHODOX CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES, ORANGE, AND SAN
- 7 BERNARDINO COUNTIES. WE'RE VERY PLEASED THAT, EACH YEAR, WHEN
- 8 WE DO OUR VETERANS PROGRAM AT ARCADIA PARK FOR THE COUNTY OF
- 9 LOS ANGELES, BISHOP REID IS THERE TO GIVE THE INVOCATION, SO
- 10 THANK YOU FOR TAKING TIME TO LEAD US IN PRAYER THIS MORNING
- 11 AND FOR YOUR SERVICE TO OUR COMMUNITY AND TO OUR STATE AND
- 12 NATION. [APPLAUSE]

- 14 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR, AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
- 15 IT'S MY PLEASURE TO PRESENT A CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION TO
- 16 MARY ELIZABETH PARKER, WHO, AS WAS MENTIONED, IS STATE
- 17 COMMANDER OF THE DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS AUXILIARY. AND SHE
- 18 HAS LIVED IN THE DISTRICT FOR SOME 56 YEARS. SHE CURRENTLY
- 19 LIVES IN THE CITY OF LA MIRADA. SHE IS MARRIED TO ARNOLD
- 20 PARKER, A WORLD WAR II VETERAN, AND THEY HAVE BEEN MARRIED FOR
- 21 55 WONDERFUL YEARS. THEY HAVE THREE CHILDREN, TWO OF HER SONS
- 22 SERVED IN THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE DURING THE VIETNAM WAR
- 23 AND ONE SON IS CURRENTLY AND PRESENTLY SERVING IN IRAO AND ONE
- 24 OF HER FOUR GRANDCHILDREN IS A LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF
- 25 WORKING AT TWIN TOWERS. SO, ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF



- 1 SUPERVISORS, WE'D LIKE TO THANK HER FOR TAKING THE TIME TO
- 2 JOIN US TODAY AND WE WANT TO GIVE HER-- PRESENT HER THIS
- 3 CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR
- 4 SERVICE TO AMERICA. [APPLAUSE]

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: OKAY. GOOD MORNING, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF
- 7 THE BOARD. WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 4, NOTICES OF
- 8 CLOSED SESSION. ON ITEM CS-1 AND 2, THESE CAN BE PRESENTED
- 9 BEFORE YOUR BOARD DURING THE OPEN SESSION FOR A VOTE.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: CS-1 AND 2, MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY.
- 12 SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM CS-3, AS NOTED ON THE GREEN
- 15 SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET, THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER REQUESTS
- 16 THAT THIS CLOSED SESSION ITEM BE CONTINUED TWO WEEKS TO JULY
- 17 25TH, 2006. AND, ON ITEM CS-5, SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS THAT
- 18 THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO JULY 18TH, 2006.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: I THINK WE'RE GOING TO DO IT TWO WEEKS, IF THAT'S
- 21 OKAY.

22

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ON 4.

24

25 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: TWO WEEKS.



```
1
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
2
3
    OBJECTION...
4
5
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH ITEM WAS THAT?
6
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CS-4 OR CS-5?
7
8
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THOUGHT SHE SAID CS-5. THAT'S WHAT I WAS
    CONFUSED ABOUT AND I DON'T SEE A CS-5.
10
11
12
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: PAGE 5. PAGE 5.
13
14
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET.
15
16
    SUP. KNABE: IT'S ON THE GREEN SHEET.
17
18
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY.
19
20
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: TWO WEEKS.
21
22
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: BOTH OF THEM, 4 AND 5.
23
    SUP. KNABE: 4 AND 5.
24
25
```



CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 4 AND 5 WILL BE...

2

3 SUP. KNABE: 5 WILL BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT HAPPENED ON 4?

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: 5 WILL BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK. 4 WILL BE CONTINUED
- 8 TWO WEEKS.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WITHOUT OBJECTION, MOTION BY BURKE,
- 11 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY
- 14 DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEMS 1-D THROUGH 4-D.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED.
- 17 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

18

- 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE HOUSING
- 20 AUTHORITY, ITEMS 1-H THROUGH 3-H.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 23 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE REGIONAL PARK
- 2 AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, ITEM 1-P.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.
- 5 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 1 THROUGH 4.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 10 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

- 12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ARTS COMMISSION, ON ITEM 5, HOLD FOR A
- 13 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. BEACHES AND HARBORS, ON ITEM 6, AS NOTED
- 14 ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET, THE DIRECTOR OF BEACHES AND
- 15 HARBORS REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO THE
- 16 DEPARTMENT.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 19 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

20

- 21 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ITEM 7, HOLD
- 22 FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES,
- 23 ITEM 8.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: COUNTY COUNSEL. ON THIS ITEM, SUPERVISOR
- 5 ANTONOVICH REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. FIRE DEPARTMENT,
- 6 HOLD-- ON ITEM NUMBER 10, HOLD FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.
- 7 HEALTH SERVICES, ITEMS 11 THROUGH 13. ON ITEM 11, HOLD FOR A
- 8 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ITEM 12 AND 13 ARE BEFORE YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 11 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PROBATION, ITEMS 14 AND 15. ON ITEM 15,
- 14 HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. 14 IS BEFORE YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 17 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

18

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC LIBRARY, ITEM 16.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 17 THROUGH 27.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED.
- 2 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 19, SHERIFF, ITEMS 28 THROUGH 32.
- 5 ON ITEM 29, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ON ITEM 30,
- 6 SUPERVISOR MOLINA REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. ON ITEM 32,
- 7 HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. ITEM 28 AND 31 ARE BEFORE
- 8 YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 11 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: TREASURER AND TAX COLLECTOR, ITEMS 33 AND
- 14 34.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 17 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

18

- 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS, ITEMS 35 AND
- 20 36.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 23 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

24

25 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SEPARATE MATTER, ITEM 37.

25

OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY... 2 3 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: OH, I APOLOGIZE. COULD YOU HOLD THAT ONE 4 5 FOR A REPORT? BUDGET MATTERS, ITEMS 38 AND 42, HOLD THESE ITEMS FOR A REPORT. MISCELLANEOUS, ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA 6 7 REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE 8 OFFICER, WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF 9 THE MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. ITEM 43-A. 10 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT 12 13 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 43-B. 15 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT 17 18 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON 43-C, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUESTS 20 21 THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD, ALONG WITH MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. 43-22 D. 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO MOVED. SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT 24

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 43-E. 2 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO MOVED. SECONDED BY BURKE. WITHOUT 4 5 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 6 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON 43-F, SUPERVISOR BURKE REQUESTS THAT 8 THIS ITEM BE HELD. AND, ON ITEMS CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD, ITEM A-3, HOLD FOR A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. THAT COMPLETES THE 10 11 READING OF THE AGENDA. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT NO. 2. 12 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE. 14 15 16 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU. WE'D LIKE TO ASK RUSS GUINEY TO COME FORWARD AND JOHN WICKER. IS JOHN HERE TODAY OR HE'S NOT HERE? 17 18 HE'S HERE? HE'S COMING UP. AND SOME OTHER MEMBERS OF THE 19 STAFF. THE NATIONAL RECREATION AND PARK ASSOCIATION HAS DESIGNATED THE MONTH OF JULY AS PARK AND RECREATION MONTH. THE 20 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION IS 21 22 COMPRISED OF 67 LOCAL PARKS, 18 COMMUNITY REGIONAL PARKS, 11 23 REGIONAL PARKS, NINE NATURAL AREAS, 11 WILDLIFE AND WILDFLOWER

SANCTUARIES, FOUR BOTANICAL GARDENS, 19 GOLF COURSES, 12

FISHING LAKES AND 50 RIDING AND HIKING TRAILS. DURING THE



- 1 MONTH OF JULY, L.A. COUNTY RESIDENTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO VISIT
- 2 THEIR LOCAL PARKS AND PARTICIPATE IN THE MANY ACTIVITIES AND
- 3 PROGRAMS AVAILABLE TO THEM. I WOULD LIKE TO INVITE YOU TO STOP
- 4 BY THE INAUGURAL DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, COME PLAY
- 5 WITH US EVENT BEING HELD IN THE MALL AREA JUST OUTSIDE THE
- 6 SECOND FLOOR UNTIL 2:00 P.M. THIS AFTERNOON AND SOME OF THE
- 7 FEATURED ACTIVITIES ARE MODIFIED TENNIS, GOLF, NATURE AREA,
- 8 ROCK CLIMBING WALL, QUILT MAKING, ART AND CRAFT AND MORE. IT'S
- 9 WITH GREAT PLEASURE THAT, ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF
- 10 SUPERVISORS, I PRESENT THIS SCROLL TO RUSS GUINEY, DIRECTOR OF
- 11 L.A. COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION AND PROCLAIMING
- 12 THE MONTH OF JULY AS PARKS AND RECREATION MONTH THROUGHOUT THE
- 13 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. AND OUR PARKS ARE REALLY ENJOYED BY A
- 14 LOT OF PEOPLE, PARTICULARLY WITH THIS WEATHER, AND WE WANT TO
- 15 THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR HARD WORK IN MAKING THEM SO PLEASANT
- 16 FOR PEOPLE AND ENCOURAGING THE COMMUNITIES TO PARTICIPATE. [
- 17 APPLAUSE]

18

19 SUP. BURKE: LET HIM SPEAK, THEN WE'LL GET EVERYBODY.

- 21 RUSS GUINEY: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE AND SUPERVISORS.
- 22 RECREATION, ACTIVITY, HEALTH, IT'S SO IMPORTANT TO EVERYONE
- 23 AND TO ALL OF OUR EMPLOYEES IN THE COUNTY AND OUR COMMUNITIES.
- 24 AND ALL ACROSS THE NATION, COMMUNITIES ARE JOINING WITH THE
- 25 NATIONAL RECREATION AND PARKS ASSOCIATION TO PROCLAIM THIS



- 1 PARK AND RECREATION MONTH SO THAT PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF THE
- 2 ACTIVITIES THAT WE HAVE AND I HAVE WITH ME TODAY A NUMBER OF
- 3 OUR STAFF: IME PARIAS, OUR PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER; JIM
- 4 SMITH, WHO IS IN CHARGE OF OUR DEVELOPMENT DIVISION; KATHLEEN
- 5 RITNER, OUR ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR OUR NORTH AGENCY; KIM
- 6 O'CONWAY, REGIONAL OPERATIONS MANAGER FOR OUR REGIONAL
- 7 FACILITIES; FRANK GONZALES, OUR EAST AGENCY ASSISTANT
- 8 DIRECTOR; LARRY HENSLEY IN CHARGE OF PLANNING; STEVE DURON,
- 9 OUR OPERATIONS MANAGER FOR OUR SOUTH AGENCY; JOHN WICKER, OUR
- 10 CHIEF DEPUTY; AND ELVA ESPINOSA, MY SECRETARY. AND THEY'RE ALL
- 11 HERE TODAY BECAUSE, IN THE MALL, WE HAVE A SPECIAL ACTIVITY
- 12 GOING ON WITH LOTS OF OUR FOLKS FROM DIFFERENT PARKS SHOWING
- 13 YOU WHAT WE HAVE AND THE DIFFERENT ACTIVITIES THAT WE HAVE.
- 14 AND WE HAVE EVENTS THAT ARE SIGNIFICANT TO EACH OF THE
- 15 SUPERVISORIAL OFFICES, ACTIVITIES THAT YOU SUPPORT SO WE HOPE
- 16 THAT EACH OF THE SUPERVISORS CAN COME DOWN AND JOIN WITH US.
- 17 WE HOPE YOU'LL ALL COME DOWN AND HIT A GOLF BALL, CLIMB A ROCK
- 18 WALL, HIT A TENNIS BALL, LOOK AT THE SNAKES AND OTHER ANIMALS
- 19 IN OUR NATURAL AREAS AND HAVE A GREAT TIME. SO IT'S A
- 20 WONDERFUL DAY. COME ON OUT IN THE MALL. I ASK ALL THE COUNTY
- 21 EMPLOYEES THAT ARE IN THE BUILDING, TAKE A FEW MINUTES, COME
- 22 ON DOWN AND JOIN US AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH. HAVE A GREAT DAY
- 23 AND COME PLAY WITH US. THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR. [APPLAUSE]



1 SUP. BURKE: WE'LL COME BACK TO OUR OTHER PRESENTATION. CAN YOU

2 CALL ME AT THE CONCLUSION?

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SURE. ZEV, DO YOU HAVE ANY SCROLLS?
- 5 SUPERVISOR KNABE?

- 7 SUP. KNABE: MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I'D LIKE TO CALL
- 8 UP DOUG AND GREG BOMBARD AND TOM RUTTER. THE BOMBARDS, AS YOU
- 9 KNOW, ARE THE FOUNDERS OF CATALINA EXPRESS. IN 1981, DOUG,
- 10 GREG AND TOM FOUNDED CATALINA EXPRESS WITH ONE 60 PASSENGER
- 11 VESSEL, A LAUNCHING SCHEDULE SERVICE BETWEEN LOS ANGELES AND
- 12 CATALINA ISLAND. TODAY, THE COMPANY HAS EVOLVED INTO A LEADING
- 13 PROVIDER OF PASSENGER BOAT TRANSPORT SERVICE ON THE WEST COAST
- 14 AND IS A MAJOR PROMOTER OF TOURISM TO CATALINA ISLAND. THEY
- 15 HAVE PROVIDED PASSENGERS WITH THE MOST COMPREHENSIVE,
- 16 CONVENIENT AND COMFORTABLE SERVICE AVAILABLE. THE RESULT IS A
- 17 FLEET OF HIGH SPEED CATAMARANS, MONO HAULS THAT OFFER DAILY
- 18 SERVICES FROM FOUR PORTS: LONG BEACH LANDING, QUEEN MARY AND,
- 19 DURING THE SUMMER, SAN PEDRO AND DANA POINT. THEY GOT THOSE
- 20 COMPUTER THINGS NOW SO YOU DON'T GET SEASICK, JUST A STEADY
- 21 RIDE, ABOUT 50 MINUTES AND YOU'RE THERE. SO, ON BEHALF OF THE
- 22 BOARD, WE WANT TO JOIN THEM IN CELEBRATION OF 25 YEARS BUT, IN
- 23 ADDITION TO DOING WHAT THEY DO OF PROMOTING TOURISM AND
- 24 TRANSPORTING PEOPLE FOR GOOD TIMES AND FUN TIMES AT CATALINA
- 25 ISLAND, THAT IS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, WELCOME ALL TOURISTS TO



- 1 CATALINA ISLAND. ISN'T THAT THAT IS CORRECT? BUT, MORE
- 2 IMPORTANTLY, IN TIMES OF NEED, DURING THE STORMS OF A FEW
- 3 YEARS AGO, WHEN THE PEOPLE DOWN AT TWIN HARBORS GOT ISOLATED,
- 4 THE KIDS FROM SCHOOL SAID THEY COULDN'T USE THE INNER ROAD TO
- 5 TRANSPORT BUSES AND PUT THE KIDS IN SCHOOL, THEY CONVENIENTLY
- 6 AND VERY QUIETLY LAUNCHED THEIR BOATS TO MAKE SURE THE KIDS
- 7 GOT TO SCHOOL. SO THEY NOT ONLY PROMOTE AND PROVIDE
- 8 TRANSPORTATION TO CATALINA ISLAND, THEY'RE GREAT CORPORATE
- 9 CITIZENS AS WELL, TOO, BOTH TO ALL THE COMMUNITIES HERE IN
- 10 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. SO GREG AND DOUG AND TOM, WE'D LIKE TO
- 11 PRESENT YOU THIS PLAQUE IN CELEBRATION OF THE 25TH ANNIVERSARY
- 12 OF THE CATALINA EXPRESS. [APPLAUSE]

- 14 SPEAKER: SUPERVISOR KNABE, SUPERVISORS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 15 THIS IS A REAL HONOR TODAY TO BE ABLE TO COME UP. OUR 25TH
- 16 ANNIVERSARY, WE'VE HAD A LOT OF FUN OVER THE LAST 25 YEARS
- 17 IMPROVING THE SERVICE TO CATALINA ISLAND. WE'VE NOW TAKEN IT
- 18 FROM A TWO-HOUR RIDE THAT IT USED TO BE BACK IN THE '70S AND
- 19 '80S, IT'S DOWN TO ONE HOUR. WE SERVICE FOUR DIFFERENT PORTS
- 20 HERE ON THE MAINLAND SIDE AND ALL OF CATALINA ISLAND. AND IF
- 21 YOU HAVEN'T BEEN TO CATALINA ISLAND, WE CERTAINLY HOPE YOU'LL
- 22 GET OUT AND ENJOY IT. IT'S A BEAUTIFUL TIME OF YEAR. WE THINK
- 23 IT'S BEAUTIFUL YEAR ROUND AND WE DO OPERATE YEAR ROUND, SO
- 24 PLEASE COME ENJOY IT AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR EVERYTHING.
- 25 REALLY APPRECIATE THIS. [APPLAUSE]

1

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



SUP. KNABE: WE FORGOT TO SAY, YOU CAN DO CATALINAEXPRESS.COM, 2 3 YOU CAN MAKE YOUR RESERVATIONS ONLINE, DO IT ALL, RIGHT? 4 5 SPEAKER: ABSOLUTELY. 6 7 SUP. KNABE: ALL RIGHT. HERE WE GO. 8 SPEAKER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ANY MORE, DON? 11 12 13 SUP. KNABE: NO. 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: FIRST, WE WOULD LIKE TO BRING OUT THE 15 16 JUNIOR PHILHARMONIC ORCHESTRA, WHO WOULD LIKE TO DO A LITTLE NUMBER, PERFORM FOR US AT THIS TIME, SO IF THEY WOULD PLEASE 17 18 COME OUT AT THIS TIME. GARY GREEN IS THEIR CONCERT MASTER AND 19 DR. KATZ HAS DONE SO MUCH FOR SO MANY YEARS IN PROVIDING 20 OPPORTUNITIES FOR OUR YOUNG PEOPLE TO PERFORM AND NOURISH THEIR CAREER AND APPRECIATION OF THE ARTS. SO AT THIS TIME, 21 22 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A NICE MUSICAL TREAT FOR THE BOARD AND THE 23 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. [INSTRUMENTAL] [APPLAUSE] 24



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THIS MORNING, WE WELCOME DR. ERNST
- 2 KATZ, WHO IS THE FOUNDER AND CONDUCTOR OF THE JUNIOR
- 3 PHILHARMONIC ORCHESTRA AS HE KICKS OFF THE ORCHESTRA'S 70TH
- 4 SEASON. ALONG WITH US IS HIS NEPHEW, GARY GREEN, WHO IS THE
- 5 VIOLINIST AND CONCERT MASTER. IT'S ONE OF THE FINEST AND
- 6 OLDEST YOUNG PEOPLE SYMPHONIES IN THE NATION. THE JUNIOR
- 7 PHILHARMONIC IS THE ONLY ORCHESTRA IN THE WORLD WITH ITS
- 8 FOUNDER CONDUCTOR ON THE PODIUM FOR OVER 69 YEARS. DR. KATZ,
- 9 WHO IS 92 YEARS YOUNG, HAS DEDICATED HIS WHOLE LIFE TO THE
- 10 YOUTH OF OUR COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. HE BEGAN CHANGING THE
- 11 LIVES OF YOUNG PEOPLE THROUGH CLASSICAL MUSIC WHEN HE FOUNDED
- 12 HIS SYMPHONY ORCHESTRA IN 1937. IT WAS ON JANUARY 22ND. FOR
- 13 NEARLY SEVEN DECADES, HE HAS DEDICATED HIMSELF TO GIVING YOUTH
- 14 A CHANCE TO BE HEARD. WITHOUT ACCEPTING ANY GOVERNMENT
- 15 SUBSIDY, WITHOUT SOLICITING CONTRIBUTIONS, WITHOUT
- 16 REMUNERATION AND WITHOUT CHARGING MEMBERSHIP OR AUDITION FEES,
- 17 MORE THAN 70,000 MUSICIANS, AGES 12 THROUGH 25, HAVE
- 18 PARTICIPATED IN THE ANNUAL AUDITIONS AND MORE THAN 10,000 HAVE
- 19 BEEN SELECTED FOR MEMBERSHIP. THE ORCHESTRA HAS A PERMANENT
- 20 ROSTER OF 125 OUTSTANDING MUSICIANS, INCLUDING SEVERAL ALUMNI.
- 21 PERFORMANCES OF THE JUNIOR PHILHARMONIC ORCHESTRA ARE ALWAYS
- 22 VOLUNTEERED, WITH ALL PROCEEDS GOING TO CHARITABLE CAUSES. MY
- 23 WIFE AND CHILDREN AND I HAVE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY OF ATTENDING
- 24 MANY OF THOSE CONCERTS. DR. KATZ PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY FOR
- 25 YOUTH TO FOCUS THEIR ENERGIES ON THE POSITIVE TO MAKE OUR



- 1 NATION A BETTER PLACE. HE WAS SELECTED BY THE POINTS OF LIGHT
- 2 FOUNDATION AND RECEIVED THE NATION'S HIGHEST HONOR FOR
- 3 VOLUNTEERISM, THE PRESIDENT'S COMMUNITY VOLUNTEER AWARD, WHICH
- 4 HE RECEIVED FROM PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH IN A CEREMONY AT THE
- 5 WHITE HOUSE IN DECEMBER 2002. SO DR. KATZ, THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 6 LEADERSHIP, YOUR FRIENDSHIP, YOUR DEDICATION TO THE ARTS AND
- 7 FOR PROVIDING OUR YOUNG PEOPLE WITH AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXCEL
- 8 AND TO BECOME THE PRODUCTIVE CITIZENS THAT YOU HAVE BEEN ABLE
- 9 TO PROVIDE OUR NATION AS A GREAT ROLE MODEL, SO THANK YOU AND
- 10 GOD BLESS YOU. [APPLAUSE]

11

- 12 DR. ERNEST KATZ: THANK YOU FOR INVITING HE AT THIS MOMENT--
- 13 MOMENTOUS TIME IN MY LIFE. I'VE LIVED IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 14 FOR DOZENS AND DOZENS OF YEARS AND I'M HAPPY TO BE HERE TO
- 15 REPRESENT NOT ONLY MYSELF AND THE JUNIOR PHILHARMONIC
- 16 ORCHESTRA BUT ALL THE YOUNG MUSICIANS WHO HAVE PASSED THROUGH
- 17 ME IN MY WONDERFUL JOURNEY THROUGH LIFE. THANK YOU VERY, VERY
- 18 MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

- 20 GARY GREEN: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR AND GREETINGS TO ALL THE
- 21 MEMBERS OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. IT IS
- 22 A TRUE HONOR TO BE HERE TODAY AND ESPECIALLY TO CONGRATULATE
- 23 OUR FOUNDER/CONDUCTOR DR. KATZ. THIS REALLY IS INCREDIBLE. 70
- 24 YEARS. THIS IS THE ORCHESTRA'S 70TH SEASON AND THE GENTLEMAN
- 25 TO MY LEFT FOUNDED THE ORCHESTRA 70 YEARS AGO. IT'S

7

9

11



- I INCREDIBLE. AND WHAT HE HAS DONE AS ONE INDIVIDUAL IS WHAT OUR
- 2 GOVERNMENT DOES FOR OUR SOCIETY, SINGLE HANDEDLY, WITHOUT
- 3 GOVERNMENT SUBSIDY, WITHOUT COMMERCIAL SPONSORSHIP, HE HAS
- 4 GIVEN YOUTH A CHANCE TO BE HEARD. AND WE'RE PROUD TO HAVE
- 5 MEMBERS OF OUR ORCHESTRA HERE TONIGHT AS WELL AS ALUMNI
- 6 MEMBERS OF THE ORCHESTRA.
- 8 DR. KATZ: IT'S NOT TONIGHT. IT'S JUST MORNING.
- 10 GARY GREEN: THIS MORNING. [LAUGHTER] [APPLAUSE]
- 12 GARY GREEN: I HAVE A VERY, VERY SPECIAL ANNOUNCEMENT TO MAKE,
- 13 AND THAT HAS TO DO WITH THE ORCHESTRA'S 70TH ANNIVERSARY. THE
- 14 ACTUAL CONCERT TO CELEBRATE THE ORCHESTRA'S 70TH ANNIVERSARY
- 15 IS GOING TO BE ANNOUNCED RIGHT NOW. WE ARE VERY PROUD TO SAY
- 16 THAT WE HAVE A SPONSOR WHO WILL HOST IT SO THAT WE MAY INVITE
- 17 NOT ONLY THE BOARD BUT THE MEMBERS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. WE
- 18 WILL HAVE-- BE ABLE TO CELEBRATE THIS EVENT AT NO CHARGE TO
- 19 THE PUBLIC BECAUSE OF OUR HOST AND OUR HOST THIS YEAR IS GOING
- 20 TO BE SMITH BARNEY. WE HAVE TWO REPRESENTATIVES HERE THIS
- 21 MORNING I SEE. THERE SHOULD BE THREE. ONE IS DON DAVIS, WHO IS
- 22 THE FIRST VICE PRESIDENT; WE HAVE ADENA SMITH, FIRST VICE
- 23 PRESIDENT, AND WE HAVE RICHARD DEWEISS, A SENIOR VICE
- 24 PRESIDENT OF SMITH BARNEY WHO'S HOSTING THE EVENT. WHERE IS IT
- 25 GOING TO BE? IT'S GOING TO BE OUR FIRST CONCERT AT THE WALT



- 1 DISNEY CONCERT ALL AND THE DATE SO YOU ALL OF YOU KNOW AND CAN
- 2 JOIN AND ATTEND IS GOING TO BE ON JUNE THE 10TH. NEXT YEAR.
- 3 THE CONCERT WILL BE IN THE YEAR 2007 ON JUNE THE 10TH AND
- 4 THANK YOU VERY, VERY MUCH. THAT WOULD BE TERRIFIC. [
- 5 INDISTINCT CONVERSATION] [INDISTINCT CONVERSATION]

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I JUST WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT.
- 8 GARY GREEN, WHO CONDUCTED THAT VERY WONDERFUL ENSEMBLE, GARY
- 9 GREEN AND I WERE IN THE BANCROFT JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL ORCHESTRA
- 10 TOGETHER. WE GRADUATED IN THE SAME CLASS. HE WAS THE FIRST
- 11 VIOLINIST, THE CANCER MASTER IN THAT ORCHESTRA AND LET'S JUST
- 12 SAY THERE WAS GARY GREEN AND THEN THERE WAS THE REST OF US. [
- 13 LIGHT LAUGHTER]

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IT'S GOOD TO HAVE HIM CONTINUING IN HIS
- 16 MUSICAL ENDEAVORS HERE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND HELPING KIDS
- 17 AND THE REST OF THE COMMUNITY AS WELL. THANK YOU.

- 19 GARY GREEN: ZEV, THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND JUST GO BACK A LITTLE
- 20 FURTHER IN MEMORY, WE WERE TOGETHER AT MEADOWS ELEMENTARY
- 21 SCHOOL AS WELL, SO IT GOES BACK EVEN FURTHER AND I WAS JUST
- 22 MENTIONING OR SHOULD I MENTION THE ANNIVERSARY WE'RE GOING TO
- 23 HAVE OF OUR REUNION? [LAUGHTER] WE'RE GOING TO HAVE-- WE'RE
- 24 COMING UP-- WE'RE COMING UP TO OUR 40TH ANNIVERSARY OF OUR



- 1 HIGH SCHOOL REUNION. HEH. SO IT'S BEEN A LITTLE WHILE. ZEV,
- 2 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, GARY.

7

8 SUP. BURKE: I DON'T BELIEVE THAT!

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THIS MORNING, WE'RE GOING TO WELCOME
- 11 BOBETTE GLOVER, WHO IS THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE
- 12 LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S HOUSING AUTHORITY. THIS HOUSING AUTHORITY
- 13 HAS BEEN VERY SUCCESSFUL WITH THEIR INVESTIGATIONS UNIT WHICH
- 14 PERFORM EXEMPLARY WORK IN ENSURING SECTION 8 COMPLIANCE AND
- 15 IMPROVING NEIGHBORHOODS THROUGHOUT OUR COUNTY, ESPECIALLY IN
- 16 THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. JOINING US TODAY, THE UNIT HEAD IS BOB
- 17 NISHIMIRA, INVESTIGATORS ED ALLEN, TIM BLACKBURN, GARY BROADY,
- 18 LOU MORRISON, JOHN O'NEIL, TOM SCOTT AND LEE DERICO, WHO WAS
- 19 ALSO RECENTLY NAMED 2006 CRIME BUSTER OF THE YEAR BY THE
- 20 ANTELOPE VALLEY LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMUNITY. UNFORTUNATELY,
- 21 INVESTIGATOR RAY RODRIGUEZ WAS NOT ABLE TO BE WITH US TODAY.
- 22 THIS UNIT IS STAFFED BY NINE CIVILIAN INVESTIGATORS WITH AN
- 23 AVERAGE OF 25 YEARS OF PRIOR LAW ENFORCEMENT EXPERIENCE. THEIR
- 24 DUTY IS TO INVESTIGATE HOUSING FRAUD AND ALLEGATIONS OF
- 25 PROGRAM VIOLATIONS. USING THE COMMUNITY POLICING MODEL, THE



- 1 HOUSING AUTHORITY CREATED THE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
- 2 UTILIZING A TASK FORCE APPROACH TO DEAL WITH PROBLEMS IN
- 3 SECTION 8 PARTICIPANTS. COLLABORATING WITH THE SHERIFF, FIRE,
- 4 PROBATION, BUILDING AND SAFETY, D.C.F.S. AND THE STATE
- 5 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, THE OBJECTIVE OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD
- 6 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS IS TO ENSURE THAT FAMILIES OR INDIVIDUALS
- 7 SUBSIDIZED BY SECTION 8 PROGRAMS COMPLY WITH ALL REGULATIONS
- 8 AND THAT THEY DO NOT BECOME A NUISANCE IN THEIR RESPECTIVE
- 9 NEIGHBORHOODS. AS A MEMBER OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY CRIME
- 10 PREVENTION TASK FORCE I INITIATED IN 2004, THE INVESTIGATION
- 11 UNIT HAS DONE SOME OF THE SUPERB WORK OF THE PAST YEAR. ON
- 12 JULY 1, 2005 THROUGH MARCH 31ST OF THIS YEAR, INVESTIGATORS IN
- 13 ANTELOPE VALLEY TERMINATED 240 RECIPIENTS FROM SECTION 8
- 14 PROGRAMS FOR HAVING REGISTERED SEX OFFENDERS OR NONAUTHORIZED
- 15 PERSONS LIVING IN THE UNIT, NARCOTICS ACTIVITIES, NONREPORTING
- 16 OF INCOME AND VIOLENT CRIMES. THESE TERMINATIONS REPRESENTED
- 17 OVER \$1 MILLION IN ANNUAL SAVING HOUSING SUBSIDIES THAT WILL
- 18 NOW BE USED FOR DESERVING FAMILIES WHO CAN SHARE IN THESE
- 19 PROGRAMS. MANY THANKS TO THE COUNTY'S HOUSING AUTHORITY
- 20 INVESTIGATIONS UNIT ON THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
- 21 AND THE SUCCESS IN ENSURING AND WORKING TO ENSURE THAT SECTION
- 22 8 COMPLIANCE AND IMPROVING COUNTY RESIDENTS AND NEIGHBORHOODS
- 23 FOR ALL OF OUR RESIDENTS. SO, AT THIS TIME, LET ME GIVE THIS
- 24 PROCLAMATION. BOBETTE? [APPLAUSE]



- 1 SUP. KNABE: MR. MAYOR, COULD I JUST ADD SOMETHING? THESE FOLKS
- 2 ARE SORT OF THE UNSUNG HEROES. AND I KNOW, IN MY PARTICULAR
- 3 DISTRICT, THEY'VE JUST DONE SOME MARVELOUS WORK AND I
- 4 APPRECIATE ALL THAT YOU'VE DONE ON BEHALF OF THE CITIZENS OF
- 5 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SO THANK YOU FOR A JOB WELL DONE.

6

- 7 BOBETTE GLOVER: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MAYOR ANTONOVICH AND
- 8 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THIS RECOGNITION
- 9 TODAY. ACTUALLY, MAYOR ANTONOVICH SAID JUST ABOUT EVERYTHING I
- 10 WAS GOING TO SAY ABOUT HOW COMMITTED THESE INDIVIDUALS ARE TO
- 11 ENSURING THE INTEGRITY OF THE SECTION 8 PROGRAM. AS MANY OF
- 12 YOU KNOW, WITHOUT THE VOUCHERS THAT SUBSIDIZES THE RENT OF LOW
- 13 INCOME INDIVIDUALS, MANY LOW INCOME PERSONS, DISABLED AND
- 14 SENIOR CITIZENS WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO LIVE IN LOS ANGELES
- 15 COUNTY BECAUSE OF THE HIGH RENTS. THEREFORE, IT IS VERY
- 16 IMPORTANT TO MAINTAIN THE INTEGRITY OF THE PROGRAM AND THESE
- 17 INDIVIDUALS DEMONSTRATE THEIR COMMITMENT EACH AND EVERY DAY IN
- 18 WORKING TO MAKE SURE THAT THOSE VOUCHERS GO TO INDIVIDUALS WHO
- 19 ARE DESERVING OF THEM AND WHO ARE FOLLOWING ALL THE PROGRAM
- 20 RULES AND REGULATIONS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AT THIS TIME, I'D
- 21 LIKE TO HAVE BOB NISHIMIRA, WHO IS THE SUPERVISOR OF THE UNIT,
- 22 SAY A FEW WORDS.

- 24 BOB NISHIMIRA: MR. MAYOR AND SUPERVISORS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH
- 25 FOR RECOGNIZING OUR UNIT. I'D ESPECIALLY LIKE TO RECOGNIZE THE



- 1 MEMBERS OF OUR STAFF HERE BECAUSE, WITHOUT THEIR HARD WORK,
- 2 ALL THIS COULDN'T GET DONE, SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE
- 3]

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NOW WE HAVE RANGER, WHO IS AN
- 6 AUSTRALIAN CATTLE DOG MIX. HE'S A NEUTERED MALE, WHO IS 12
- 7 MONTHS OLD, WHO IS LOOKING FOR A HOME. OKAY. THIS IS
- 8 RANGER. THERE WE GO. OKAY. YOU DON'T WANT ANY TEA, I DON'T
- 9 THINK. OKAY. RANGER IS LOOKING FOR A HOME. THIS IS RANGER.
- 10 HE'S AN AUSTRALIAN CATTLE MIX DOG, 12 MONTHS OLD AND YOU CAN
- 11 CALL THE TELEPHONE NUMBER AT THE BOTTOM OF YOUR SCREEN, THOSE
- 12 WHO ARE WATCHING AT HOME, IT'S (562) 728-4644. OR THOSE IN THE
- 13 AUDIENCE OR IN THE HALL OF ADMINISTRATION WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT-
- 14 HI, RANGER! HOW ARE YOU? HOW YOU DOING? SO RANGER'S LOOKING
- 15 FOR A HOME. HE'S ALL FIXED AND READY TO GO. THERE WE GO. THERE
- 16 WE GO. LOOK OVER IN THE CAMERA.

17

18 SUP. BURKE: MY PERSON...

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR BURKE AND THEN
- 21 SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: IN JUNE, MR. SPEARS WAS SELECTED BY THE FATHER'S
- 24 HEART EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE WEALTH OF NATIONS FOUNDATION
- 25 AS FATHER OF THE YEAR. HE UPHOLDS THE CORE VALUE OF



- 1 ORGANIZATION, BEING A FATHER TO THE FATHERLESS. STUDIES SHOW
- 2 THAT, IF THERE IS A STRONG POSITIVE MALE ROLE MODEL IN THE
- 3 LIFE OF A FATHERLESS CHILD, THAT CHILD CAN SUCCEED. HE IS THE
- 4 PRINCIPAL OF THE COMMUNITY DAY SCHOOL, WHICH SERVES STUDENTS
- 5 WHO ARE UNDER EXPULSION, PROBATION, HAVE SEVERE ATTENDANCE
- 6 ISSUES OR OTHER BEHAVIORAL ISSUES THAT NECESSITATE AN
- 7 ENVIRONMENT SMALLER THAN THAT OF A TRADITIONAL SCHOOL PROGRAM.
- 8 HE HAS BEEN HONORED AS A CONTINUATION EDUCATION TEACHER OF THE
- 9 YEAR, CITIZEN OF THE YEAR FOR THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES MARATHON
- 10 AND MOST RECENTLY AS THE OPTION ADMINISTRATOR OF THE YEAR FROM
- 11 THE ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA SCHOOL ADMINISTRATORS. HE IS
- 12 ALSO THE CO-FOUNDER AND COORDINATOR OF STUDENTS RUN L.A., A
- 13 NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION THAT TRAINS MIDDLE AND HIGH SCHOOL
- 14 STUDENTS TO COMPLETE THE 26.2-MILE CITY OF LOS ANGELES
- 15 MARATHON EACH MARCH. THE PROGRAM HAS GROWN FROM SIX RUNNERS IN
- 16 1989 TO MORE THAN 2,400, FROM 150 SCHOOLS AND GROUPS ACROSS
- 17 LOS ANGELES. IT IS WITH GREAT PLEASURE THAT I PRESENT THIS
- 18 SCROLL TO ERIC SPEARS IN RECOGNITION OF HIS DEDICATION. AND I
- 19 JUST HAVE TO SAY THIS, THAT A NEIGHBOR CAME UP TO ME ONE DAY
- 20 AND SAID THAT SHE WAS VERY AMAZED THAT THEY HAD BEEN TRYING TO
- 21 HELP A YOUNG MAN AND HE WAS JUST INCORRIGIBLE. HE WAS IN
- 22 CONTINUATION SCHOOL, HE WAS NOT DOING WELL AND, AS A RESULT OF
- 23 YOUR EFFORTS AND THE PROGRAMS, HE HAS JUST TOTALLY TURNED HIS
- 24 LIFE AROUND. I THINK THAT'S JUST ONE STORY THAT REPRESENTS
- 25 MANY, MANY OTHERS. CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU. [APPLAUSE]



1

- 2 ERIC SPEARS: I WANT TO THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR BURKE, AND THANK
- 3 YOU, JANE, AND THE WHOLE FOUNDATION. IF I HAD TO SAY ANYTHING,
- 4 I HEARD ON THE NEWS COMING IN THAT, AT SOME POINT, THERE'S
- 5 GOING TO BE A REQUEST FOR A LOT OF MONEY TO MAKE SURE OUR
- 6 PRISONS AND OTHER THINGS STAY UP TO DATE AND DO WELL AND YOU
- 7 KNOW, IT'S PROGRAMS LIKE THESE, THE WEALTH OF NATIONS AND THE
- 8 CELL PHONE FATHER IDEA THAT REALLY KEEPS KIDS AWAY FROM THE
- 9 JAILS, IT KEEPS THEM CONNECTED TO ADULTS, IT KEEPS THEM IN
- 10 SCHOOL, IT KEEPS THEM IN THE COMMUNITY AND IT HELPS THE
- 11 COMMUNITY UNDERSTAND THAT TEENAGERS ARE NOT EVIL BUT, WITHOUT
- 12 CHOICES, KIDS GET INTO TROUBLE. SO WE NEED TO PUT A LOT MORE
- 13 ENERGY INTO THE KIDS, HONESTLY, THAN ANYTHING ELSE. SO, FOR
- 14 ME, IT'S ALL ABOUT THE KIDS AND THE MORE THAT WE CAN DO FOR
- 15 THEM AND I REALLY APPRECIATE THIS BECAUSE IT'S A NICE
- 16 RECOGNITION BUT KNOW THAT REALLY WHAT'S IMPORTANT IS THAT WE
- 17 PUT OUR ENERGIES INTO THE KIDS AND KEEP THEM SAFE AND HAPPY
- 18 AND GROWING, SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. APPRECIATE IT.

19

20 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

- 22 SPEAKER: I JUST WANTED TO THANK SUPERVISOR YVONNE BRATHWAITE-
- 23 BURKE AND JOHN HILL, CHIEF OF STAFF, FOR HELPING US START THE
- 24 HEART LINE, A CELL PHONE PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN WHO DON'T HAVE
- 25 FATHERS. IT'S AVAILABLE 24/7 FOR KIDS WHO DON'T HAVE DADS AND



- 1 THEY CAN PICK UP THE PHONE AND CALL AND IT'S SPONSORED BY
- 2 I.B.M., AT&T, AND VIACOM. THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR.

3

4 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU. WE'LL TAKE A PICTURE. [APPLAUSE]

5

6 SUP. BURKE: THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATIONS.

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: THIS MORNING, IT'S MY PLEASURE TO WELCOME
- 11 LORRAINE ESTRADA, WHO IS THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE ARROYO
- 12 FAMILY HEALTH CENTER AS WELL AS THEIR CHAIR, ROGER ESTRADA,
- 13 WHO IS THE CHAIR OF THE BOARD. THIS YEAR, ARROYO VISTA IS VERY
- 14 PROUD TO BE CELEBRATING THEIR 25TH ANNIVERSARY OF PROVIDING
- 15 OUALITY MEDICAL HEALTHCARE SERVICES TO THE NORTHEAST
- 16 COMMUNITY, WHICH INCLUDES HIGHLAND PARK, MONTECITO HEIGHTS,
- 17 MONTEREY HILLS, LINCOLN HEIGHTS, EL SERENO, CYPRESS PARK, MY
- 18 COMMUNITY, MOUNT WASHINGTON, NORTH BOYLE HEIGHTS AND CERTAINLY
- 19 MANY OF THE AREAS OF CHINATOWN AND CITY TERRACE. PRESENTLY,
- 20 ARROYO VISTA OPERATES FOUR THRIVING HEALTH CENTERS AND ONE
- 21 MOBILE MEDICAL CLINIC, PROVIDING OVER 99,000 PATIENT VISITS
- 22 PER YEAR. EARLIER THIS YEAR, I HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT
- 23 THEIR NEW LINCOLN HEIGHTS CLINIC AND I WAS SO IMPRESSED WITH
- 24 THE STATE OF ART FACILITY, WHICH INCLUDES A DENTAL AS WELL AS
- 25 VISION CARE IN ADDITION TO ALL THE REGULAR GENERAL MEDICAL



- 1 SERVICES THAT THEY'RE PROVIDING. ONE UNIQUE AND, OF COURSE,
- 2 NOTABLE FEATURE OF ALL THE FACILITIES IS CERTAINLY THE
- 3 WELCOMING ENVIRONMENT THAT ARROYO VISTA PROVIDES TO ALL OF THE
- 4 CUSTOMERS AND ALL THE PATIENTS THAT THEY SERVE. MORE
- 5 IMPORTANTLY, ARROYO VISTA HAS ESTABLISHED ITSELF AS A
- 6 COMMUNITY SERVICE PROVIDER WHO REALLY CARES ABOUT PROVIDING
- 7 QUALITY MEDICAL CARE TO THE LATINO COMMUNITY. THEY PROVIDE
- 8 FREQUENT PREVENTIVE CARE PROGRAMS, INCLUDING FREE ANNUAL
- 9 HEALTH FAIRS, FOR CHILDREN AND ADULTS, BLOOD PRESSURE TESTING,
- 10 DENTAL AND VISION SCREENING. THEY PROVIDES FREE PREGNANCY
- 11 TESTS, FREE CHILDHOOD IMMUNIZATIONS AND, OF COURSE, FREE
- 12 ANNUAL INFLUENZA VACCINES AS WELL AS HEALTH EDUCATION
- 13 INFORMATION. I'VE WORKED WITH LORRAINE AND HER STAFF FOR A
- 14 NUMBER OF YEARS AND I'VE WITNESSED HOW THIS TINY LITTLE CLINIC
- 15 THAT STARTED OUT HAS GROWN TO BE SO BIG AND PROVIDE SO MANY
- 16 SERVICES BUT WHAT'S SO IMPRESSIVE ABOUT IT IS THEY DO IT IN A
- 17 COMMUNITY SETTING THAT'S VERY WELCOMING TO MANY OF THE
- 18 PATIENTS THEY RECEIVE. SO I'M VERY PROUD THAT THE FIRST
- 19 DISTRICT IS A HOST TO ARROYO VISTA'S MISSION AND WORK THAT
- 20 THEY DO EVERY SINGLE DAY. SO, ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF
- 21 SUPERVISORS, I WANT TO EXTEND TO LORRAINE AND ALL OF HER STAFF
- 22 MEMBERS, ALL OF HER BOARD MEMBERS, CERTAINLY AN APPRECIATION
- 23 FROM ME BUT ALL OF THE BOARD FOR PROVIDING QUALITY CARE TO THE
- 24 PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY. WE'RE VERY PROUD OF YOU AND
- 25 CONGRATULATIONS ON THE 25TH ANNIVERSARY. CONGRATULATIONS,



- 1 LORRAINE. WHY DON'T WE TAKE A PICTURE. LET ME INTRODUCE ROGER
- 2 ESTRADA, WHO IS THE CHAIR-- OR THE PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD-- OF
- 3 THE CHAIR OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS. PLEASE, SIR.

4

- 5 ROGER ESTRADA: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND THANK YOU TO
- 6 THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. ON
- 7 BEHALF OF THE BOARD, ON BEHALF OF THE MEDICAL STAFF, THE
- 8 EMPLOYEES AND THE COMMUNITY THAT WE SERVE, WE WOULD LIKE TO
- 9 THANK THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 10 FOR SUPPORTING OUR AGENCY AS IT HAS. AT THIS POINT, I'D LIKE
- 11 TO INTRODUCE SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE COME HERE WITH US
- 12 THIS MORNING. FIRST OF ALL, OF COURSE, THIS IS LORRAINE
- 13 ESTRADA, OUR CHIEF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. ALSO THE DIRECTOR OF
- 14 COMMUNITY SERVICE, MISS IRENE OGAIN. THE MANAGER FOR SPECIAL
- 15 PROJECTS, MISS JENNIFER LUMAS. EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT, MR.
- 16 DEVEGA, AND A 20-YEAR MEMBER OF OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS, MR.
- 17 TOM STEMNUCK, WHO IS HERE WITH HIS LOVELY WIFE, CHRIS, AND I
- 18 WOULD LIKE TO ASK TOM TO STEP FORWARD TO MAKE JUST A FEW
- 19 COMMENTS ABOUT OUR AGENCY.

- 21 TOM STEMNUCK: SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND MAYOR ANTONOVICH AND
- 22 SUPERVISORS ZEV, ON BEHALF OF THE ARROYO VISTA FAMILY, I'VE
- 23 BEEN ACTIVE FOR 20 YEARS. WE'VE BEEN AROUND SINCE 1981. WE
- 24 LITERALLY STARTED IN A QUONSET HUT ON FIGUEROA IN HIGHLAND
- 25 PARK. IN THAT FIRST YEAR, WE SERVED LESS THAN 5,000 PATIENTS.



- 1 TODAY WE HAVE FIVE CLINICS, WE'RE SERVING OVER 110,000
- 2 PATIENTS THIS YEAR AND OUR FUTURE IS TO GROW AND TO CONTINUE
- 3 TO PROVIDE, AS OUR MISSION STATEMENT SAYS, QUALITY, AFFORDABLE
- 4 HEALTHCARE FOR THOSE PEOPLE WHO CAN'T OTHERWISE AFFORD IT. SO
- 5 THANK YOU FOR YOUR RECOGNITION. WE LOOK FORWARD TO GROWING.
- 6 WE'LL BE BACK AGAIN IN 50. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU SO MUCH. THANK YOU. THOSE ARE ALL MY
- 9 PRESENTATIONS.

10

12

14

16

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR BURKE.

13 SUP. BURKE: I'VE FINISHED MINE. ARE WE NOW ON ADJOURNMENTS?

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES.

17 SUP. BURKE: I MOVE THAT, WHEN WE ADJOURN TODAY, WE ADJOURN IN

- 18 MEMORY OF LOU DANTZLER. HE WAS THE FOUNDER AND C.E.O. OF
- 19 CHALLENGER BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB IN LOS ANGELES. HE PASSED AWAY
- 20 THURSDAY, JULY 6TH, OF COMPLICATIONS FROM A STROKE HE SUFFERED
- 21 JULY 1ST. HE WAS 69. THE CLUB STARTED WITH 12 BOYS IN 1968,
- 22 GREW INTO A NATIONALLY ACCLAIMED ORGANIZATION THAT HAS SERVED
- 23 MORE THAN 34,000 YOUNG PEOPLE. FORMER PARTICIPANTS INCLUDE
- 24 FILMMAKER, JOHN SINGLETON AND ONE-TIME DODGER, ERIC DAVIS. FOR
- 25 40 YEARS, LOU DANTZLER PLAYED THE SAME ROLE IN THE LIVES OF



- 1 BOYS AND GIRLS IN THE SOUTH LOS ANGELES AREA, THROUGH HIS
- 2 CHALLENGER BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB ON VERMONT AND ON FIGUEROA.
- 3 PAPA LOU OR MR. LOU, AS HE WAS CALLED, BECAME A DEEPLY LOVED
- 4 AND RESPECTED FATHER FIGURE, HELPING TO GUIDE YOUTH INTO
- 5 BECOMING HEALTHY, PRODUCTIVE ADULTS. HE WAS COMPASSIONATE,
- 6 HUMOROUS AND LOYAL FRIEND, FATHER, HUSBAND, ROLE MODEL AND
- 7 BUSINESSMAN WHO EMBODIED THE AIMS OF WHICH CHALLENGER BOYS AND
- 8 GIRLS CLUB WAS FOUNDED AND THE IDEALS ON WHICH HE ASPIRES. HE
- 9 LEAVES TO CHERISH HIS MEMORY HIS WIFE, RUBY, TWO SONS, MARK
- 10 AND CORY, AND THREE SISTERS AND HE CERTAINLY GREW THAT BOYS
- 11 AND GIRLS CLUB UNTIL IT'S AN EXAMPLE, REALLY, NATIONWIDE. AND
- 12 MAURY REID, AN ACTIVE ALUMNUS OF THE FAMED TUSKEGEE AIRMEN.
- 13 THEY WERE THE NATION'S FIRST BLACK MILITARY PILOTS IN WORLD
- 14 WAR II. HE HAS NOW PASSED AWAY AFTER A LONG ILLNESS AT THE AGE
- 15 OF 81. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, MAE AND TWO SONS. RANDY
- 16 GAGAN, A 25-YEAR-OLD MAN WHO WAS KILLED IN A TRAGIC MOTORCYCLE
- 17 ACCIDENT ON JULY 4TH IN CULVER CITY. HE LEAVES TO CHERISH HIS
- 18 MEMORY HIS MOTHER, EMILY. AND RONALD WALKER, FRIEND OF RANDY
- 19 GAGAN, WHO WAS ALSO KILLED IN THIS TRAGIC MOTORCYCLE ACCIDENT
- 20 IN CULVER CITY ON JULY 4TH. OUR DEEPEST SYMPATHY IS EXTENDED
- 21 TO BOTH FAMILIES. AND CURTIS MONSON. HE WAS A RETIRED L.A.P.D.
- 22 OFFICER WHO SERVED FROM '62 TO '84. HIS HIGHEST RANK WAS
- 23 SERGEANT. HE RETIRED FROM THE WEST L.A. DIVISION. HE WENT TO
- 24 JOHN MUIR JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL AND FREEMONT HIGH SCHOOL. HE
- 25 RECEIVED HIS BA AT CAL STATE DOMINGUEZ HILLS AND MASTER'S FROM



- 1 U.S.C. HE STARTED THE NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION, NEED, TO PROMOTE
- 2 LOW INCOME HOUSING AND EDUCATION. HE OWNED AND RAN CAM
- 3 ENTERPRISES, MANAGING PROPERTIES ALL OVER LOS ANGELES. AT ONE
- 4 TIME, HE RAN THE SCHOOL CROSSING GUARD PROGRAM FOR VARIOUS
- 5 CITIES, INCLUDING LOS ANGELES, AS WELL AS THE RECYCLING
- 6 PROGRAM FOR LOS ANGELES. HE LOVED TO SPEND TIME WITH HIS
- 7 FAMILY AND FRIENDS, GOLF, TRAVEL, WATCH ALL SPORTS BUT
- 8 PARTICULARLY FOOTBALL. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE, MILDRED
- 9 MONSON, AND DAUGHTERS, JANINE MONSON-SAVAGE AND KATRICE
- 10 MONSON. AND FINALLY, LEONARD PANISH, WAS A LONG TIME LOS
- 11 ANGELES COUNTY OFFICIAL WHO SERVED AS REGISTRAR-RECORDER FROM
- 12 '93 TO '84. HE RETIRED FROM THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AFTER 33
- 13 YEARS OF SERVICE, SERVING MORE THAN 20 YEARS IN THE DEPARTMENT
- 14 OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, ATTAINING THE POST OF ASSISTANT
- 15 DIRECTOR. HE PASSED AWAY ON JULY 2ND FROM COMPLICATIONS FROM
- 16 SURGERY AT THE AGE OF 86. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 58
- 17 YEARS, ANNA, A DAUGHTER DARIEN, TWO SONS, DAVID AND STEVEN AND
- 18 FIVE GRANDCHILDREN

20 SUP. KNABE: I'D LIKE TO JOIN ON THAT.

21

19

22 SUP. BURKE: ALL MEMBERS.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ALL MEMBERS ON THAT. I HAVE THE MOTION
- 25 ALSO ON THAT. HE WAS A GOOD MAN, I ENJOYED SERVING WITH HIM.



- 1 HE WAS A MAN OF INTEGRITY AND HONESTY, A GOOD ROLE MODEL AND A
- 2 GOOD COUNTY MANAGER.

3

4 SUP. BURKE: I'D LIKE TO CALL UP ITEM NUMBER 5.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: JUAN WILLIAMS-- WARREN WILLIAMS.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE: AND I'M NOT SURE WHICH ITEMS HE'S HOLDING, WHICH
- 9 ITEMS HE'S HOLDING, WHETHER HE'S HOLDING-- OKAY. I'LL CALL ALL
- 10 THESE UP, THEN. I'LL CALL UP 5, 7, 11, 15, 29, 32 AND 41. I
- 11 NEED THE NUMBERS AGAIN.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SHE NEEDS THE NUMBERS.

14

- 15 WARREN WILLIAMS: OKAY. THE ITEM THAT I WANT TO SPEAK UNDER IS
- 16 ITEM NUMBER 42, BECAUSE, SINCE YOU'RE MAKING ME SPEAK ON ONLY
- 17 ONE ITEM, I WOULD LIKE FOR IT TO APPLY UNDER ITEM NUMBER 42,
- 18 SO WHAT ARE WE DOING?

19

20 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT. WOULD YOU LIKE TO START ON 42?

- 22 WARREN WILLIAMS: I WANT TO SPEAK ON THE 42, SO YOU KNOW HOW--
- 23 BECAUSE ON THE SCREEN, YOU HAVE THE ITEM DESCRIPTION RUNNING
- 24 AND I WANT THE STATEMENTS TO RELATE TO WHAT'S BEING SHOWN ON
- 25 THE SCREEN BECAUSE THE MAIN FOCUS I HAVE IS ITEM NUMBER 42.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S CURRENTLY ON THE SCREEN. 2 3 WARREN WILLIAMS: OKAY. THANK YOU. 4 5 SUP. BURKE: AND THEN WE'LL HAVE MR. ROBINSON SPEAK ON 42 AS 6 7 WELL. 8 WARREN WILLIAMS: SPEAKING ON ITEM NUMBER 42, THERE'S OTHER 9 ITEMS THAT'S RELATED, THAT'S ITEM NUMBER 43-A, WHICH HAS THE 10 11 LIBRARIES ASKING FOR FUNDING FOR DIVERSITY BUT A LOT OF LIBRARIES DO NOT EVEN ADDRESS THE ACTUAL MALCOLM X AND OTHERS. 12 ITEM NUMBER 7 UNDER D.C.S.F. IS ASKING FOR 3/4 OF A MILLION 13 DOLLARS TO TRAIN FOSTER CARE AND ADOPTIONS. ITEM NUMBER 29 IS 14 ASKING FOR \$185,000, ASKING FOR OVERTIME FOR BEVERAGE CONTROL. 15 16 S-1 ASKS FOR \$168 MILLION OF RELATED TO THE JAIL CONSTRUCTION OF ITEM NUMBER 42. ITEM 32 IS ASK FOR \$2 MILLION RELATED TO 17 18 ANTI-DRUG PROGRAM. ITEM NUMBER 15 IS RELATED TO \$2 MILLION FOR 19 DRUNKEN DRIVING PROGRAM. MANY OF THOSE ARE COMING OUT OF THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. WE MOVED AWAY FROM THE FOURTH OF JULY 20 AND YOU KNOW, AS IT'S 2006, NOT 1776, THE BLACK HOLOCAUST 21 22 PERSISTS, THE ISSUE OF NATIONAL STATE CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY, 23 BLACKS, AFRICANS, DESCENDANTS OF KIDNAPPED AFRICANS REMAINS UNRESOLVED, REPARATIONS UNPAID AND UNFAIR THE CONTINUATION OF 24

RACIST PRESENCE, GENDER BIAS FAMILY COURT, CRIMINAL D.C.S.F.,



- 1 FOSTER CARE, A FORM OF ENSLAVEMENT, AND EFFORTS POLITICALLY BY
- 2 IMPLEMENTATION TO ANNIHILATE THE BLACK MAN. TODAY'S PRESENCE
- 3 IS ONE OF THOSE MEANS IN WHICH THE CONTINUATION OF THE BLACK
- 4 HOLOCAUST IS GOING ON. WITH THINGS LIKE THREE STRIKES AND
- 5 OTHER LAWS, YOU FOUND A WAY TO CAUSE A LOT OF BLACK PEOPLE TO
- 6 BE STIGMATIZED AS CRIMINALS SO A LOT OF WHITE AND OTHER PEOPLE
- 7 ARE GETTING PAID BIG MONEY AND THEY ARE INVESTING INTO WHAT'S
- 8 CALLED THE PRISON INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX AND THE ISSUE OF DIVORCE
- 9 AND THE ISSUE OF SO-CALLED CRIMES. THE REAL CRIME IS THE
- 10 WHITE-COLLAR CRIME. TODAY IN THE PRISON, LIKE IN D.C.S.F.,
- 11 THEY USE PSYCHOLOGY AND PSYCHIATRIC TO REPLACE THE SMALL POX
- 12 BLANKETS USED TO MINIMIZE THE NATIVES OF THIS LAND, WHICH IS
- 13 ANOTHER FORM OF MEDICAL WARFARE. SO, AGAIN, ONE WEEK AFTER
- 14 CELEBRATING YOUR FOURTH OF JULY, AND I SAY YOURS BECAUSE BLACK
- 15 PEOPLE SUFFERING THIS WRONG PROSECUTION IN PRISONS ARE NOT
- 16 LIBERATED, INSTEAD, YOUR FOURTH OF JULY HONORS YOUR LIVING
- 17 BLACK HOLOCAUST AND IT ALSO HONORS YOUR MASSACRE OF THE
- 18 NATIVES OF THIS LAND. SO YOU SAID THAT, WITH THIS 168 MILLION,
- 19 YOU WANT TO GET SOME ALTERNATIVES FROM THE PUBLIC, SO HERE'S
- 20 THE ALTERNATIVES. INVEST \$100 MILLION TO CONTRIBUTE TO PAYING
- 21 REPARATIONS TO END THE LACK OF BLACK-OWNED BUSINESSES. THIS
- 22 WILL HELP TO END THE SOCIAL COSTS TO MANY BLACKS UNFAIRLY ARE
- 23 MISDIRECTED INTO YOUR CONCRETE TOMBS. INVEST 60 MILLION OF THE
- 24 168 MILLION TO INVEST IN A BLACK CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
- 25 TO OPERATE THEIR QUALITY MEDIATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES TO

19

21

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 BIOLOGICAL FATHERS AND MOTHERS. AND THEN, WITH THE LIBRARIES,
- 2 AND WITH THE OTHER ITEMS IDENTIFIED, USE THAT TO INVEST IN
- 3 LIBRARIES AND EDUCATION THAT WOULD TEACH WORLD BLACK HISTORY
- 4 AND NOT THE WRONG TYPE OF AMERICAN HISTORY THAT EDUCATES BLACK
- 5 STUDENTS THAT THEY WERE BORN AND CREATED OUT OF SLAVERY. SO,
- 6 BY INVESTING IN REAL BLACK BUSINESS, BY ACKNOWLEDGING THE
- 7 TRUTH OF AMERICA CRIMES ON HUMANITY AGAINST CITIZENS AND BY
- 8 THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE CONTINUATION OF BLACK HOLOCAUST
- 9 THEN THOSE FUNDS MUST BE REDIRECTED AWAY FROM DESTROYING THE
- 10 BLACK PEOPLE, THE BLACK FATHERS, THE BLACK FAMILIES AND A
- 11 PROPER BUDGET THAT WILL BE A HUMANE BUDGET. SO ALL THESE ITEMS
- 12 LEAD TO THE SAME THING. IT'S BAD FOR PEOPLE TO CONTINUE TO
- 13 BUDGET ON A RACIST AGENDA THAT'S IN THE INTEREST OF A FEW
- 14 CITIZENS BUT I'M SPEAKING NOW FOR JUST ONE GROUP OF PEOPLE,
- 15 BUT I SPEAK FOR WHAT'S IN THE BEST INTEREST OF ALL PEOPLE,
- 16 BECAUSE IF YOU DO NOT FUND THE THINGS THAT CREATE PEACE, THEN
- 17 YOU'RE INVESTING INTO THE THINGS THAT WILL CAUSE OPPOSITION,
- 18 PROTESTS, WAR AND EVERYTHING THAT MUST BE USED...

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. DO YOU WANT TO WRAP IT UP?

22 WARREN WILLIAMS: YES. THE CONSTITUTION IDENTIFIES THE INTENT

- 23 OF LAW. THE CONSTITUTION DOES NOT AGREE WITH FUNDING \$168
- 24 MILLION TO PROSECUTE PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT BEING GIVEN THEIR DUE
- 25 PROCESS AND THE CONSTITUTION ALSO, WHICH YOU SAY IT'S THE LAW



- 1 OF THE LAND, ESTABLISHES THAT PEOPLE HAVE EQUAL PROTECTION
- 2 UNDER THE LAW.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY.

5

- 6 WARREN WILLIAMS: SO YOU'RE FUNDING PRISONS TO A LOT OF PEOPLE
- 7 THAT'S BEING WRONGLY INCARCERATED FOR REASONS THAT YOU -- NONE
- 8 OF YOU SUPERVISORS WANT TO BE INCARCERATED FOR, ON MERE
- 9 ALLEGATIONS, ALLEGED USE OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE THAT YOU'RE
- 10 DUMPING ON TO THE NEIGHBORHOODS.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MR. WILLIAMS.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: MR. ROBINSON AND THEN, I GUESS, THE REPORT IS BY
- 15 THE C.A.O.

- 17 RICHARD ROBINSON: MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS, RICHARD ROBINSON,
- 18 NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZER. I RESIDE 1730 NORTH LA BREA, ROOM
- 19 NUMBER 104, THE SEVEN STAR HOTEL AT LA BRAE AND HOLLYWOOD IN
- 20 ZEV'S DISTRICT. MY HERO. I AM NETWORKING A COMMUNITY OUTREACH
- 21 PROGRAM, COMMUNITY OUTREACH PROGRAM, C.O.P., COP. USING THE 7-
- 22 STAR HOTEL AS A PRIVATE OFFICE FOR A PRIVATE SECURITY
- 23 BUSINESS, I'M ESTABLISHING, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH MR. ROBERT YU,
- 24 THE TAIWANESE OWNER OF THAT REAL ESTATE. SIR, THE VENEER OF
- 25 CIVILIZATION IS SKIN DEEP. SOCIETY HAS A RIGHT TO PROTECT



- 1 ITSELF. I SEE THE CLIMATE OF CRIME, WHICH IS ALLOWING THE
- 2 CRIMINALS THAT WILL DESTROY OUR FREEDOM, IF NOT FOR THE NEW
- 3 SUPREME COURT, CRIMINALS WHO SEE UNDERSTAFFING IN THE
- 4 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND CITY POLICE WHO PLY-- CRIMINALS WHO
- 5 PLY THEIR TRADES, BRINGING DRUGS AND PROSTITUTES INTO
- 6 HOLLYWOOD, TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THAT UNDERSTANDING. MR. ROBERT
- 7 YU AND THE TAIWANESE AMBASSADOR, BOTH FRIENDS OF MINE, ARE
- 8 SPEARHEADING A NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH-- THEY'RE HELPING ME TO
- 9 BUILD THIS, I THINK, A VERY EFFECTIVE COUNTYWIDE SYSTEM. SOME
- 10 DAY YOU'LL SEE IN A LOT OF WINDOWS IN THE COUNTY, OUR STICKER,
- 11 C.O.P., WITH AN 800 NUMBER, WHICH WILL BE A HIGH TECH
- 12 RESPONSE, ARMED SECURITY GUARDS AND A LOT OF UNPROTECTED--
- 13 PRESENTLY, UNPROTECTED NEIGHBORHOODS IN THE COUNTY AND THE
- 14 CITY. MR. ROBERT YU AND THE TAIWANESE AMBASSADOR ARE HELPING
- 15 ME. I'M REALLY SO INDEBTED, I CAN'T EVEN BEGIN TO TELL YOU HOW
- 16 MUCH OF AN HONOR IT IS TO WORK WITH THE TAIWANESE. I HAD NO
- 17 CONCEPT OF THEIR COMMITMENT AS AMERICANS TO CLEAN UP
- 18 HOLLYWOOD. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

20 SUP. BURKE: I'LL MOVE ITEM 5, 7, 11, 15, 29 AND 32 AT THIS

- 21 TIME.
- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 24 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

25

19



- 1 SUP. BURKE: ON 42, THEN, I-- WE'LL HOLD THAT FOR AWHILE? ALL
- 2 RIGHT. THEN I'LL GO TO 43-F. I THINK THAT'S YOUR MOTION AND I
- 3 JUST HAD A FEW QUESTIONS. CAN WE GET SOMEONE FROM PROBATION
- 4 HERE?

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: 43-C? 43-C?

7

8 **SUP. BURKE:** 43-F.

9

- 10 DAVE DAVIES: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS DAVE DAVIES, I'M THE
- 11 CHIEF DEPUTY FOR PROBATION.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: I'D LIKE TO JUST FIND OUT THE FUNDS THAT ARE BEING
- 14 REALLOCATED. WILL THERE BE SOME ASSURANCE, AND COULD YOU
- 15 REALLY ELABORATE ON THAT, ON HOW WE CAN MAKE SURE THAT THOSE
- 16 UNSPENT FUNDS WILL BE UTILIZED IN THOSE COMMUNITIES THAT
- 17 INITIALLY WERE GOING TO BE SERVED BY SOME OF THOSE AGENCIES
- 18 THAT ARE NO LONGER RECEIVING FUNDS.

- 20 DAVE DAVIES: YES, SUPERVISOR. FOR THE VENDORS THAT DECIDED TO
- 21 STAY OUT OF THE CONTRACT FOR THE THIRD YEAR, WE ARE GOING TO
- 22 BE MOVING WITH THE CONTRACTORS THAT WE HAVE ON BOARD FOR THE
- 23 OTHER CLUSTERS AND, FOR CLUSTER 2, THE ASIAN-AMERICAN DRUG AND
- 24 ALCOHOL PROGRAM, AS WELL AS THE SOLEDAD ENRICHMENT ACTION, SEA
- 25 WILL TAKE OVER THOSE SERVICES.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: AND THEY WILL THEN SERVICE THE ENTIRE AREA THAT
- 3 \$400,000 WILL BE GIVEN TO THAT AREA, TO THOSE TWO OR WILL THEY
- 4 BE GIVEN TO OTHER DISTRICTS?

5

- 6 DAVE DAVIES: THEY WILL NOT BE GIVEN TO OTHER DISTRICTS. THEY
- 7 WILL BE GIVEN TO THOSE CONTRACTORS TO PROVIDE THE SERVICE FOR
- 8 THE HOME-BASED PROGRAM, AS WELL AS THE EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: AND WILL THEY BE ABLE TO SERVICE THE AREAS THAT
- 11 WERE PREVIOUSLY SERVED BY THE OTHER CONTRACTORS?

12

13 DAVE DAVIES: IT'S OUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY WILL.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: COULD YOU GET TO US SOME KIND OF A PLAN THAT THEY
- 16 HAVE PROVIDED...

17

18 DAVE DAVIES: ABSOLUTELY.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE: ...SO THAT WE KNOW THAT ACTUALLY THOSE AREAS WILL
- 21 BE, IN FACT, BE SERVICED, BECAUSE BOTH OF THOSE SERVICE
- 22 DIFFERENT, REALLY, AREAS.

23

24 DAVE DAVIES: THEY DO.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: AND THEIR DIRECTION AND CLIENTELE IS SO DIFFERENT.
- 2 AND SO YOU DECIDED TO DO THAT RATHER TO GO OUT AND HAVE A NEW
- 3 R.F.P. FOR AN AGENCY THAT WOULD BE DIRECTED TOWARD THE SAME
- 4 AREA?

5

- 6 DAVE DAVIES: WELL, WE'RE IN THE-- WE'RE STARTING THE THIRD
- 7 YEAR OF THE CONTRACT AND WE WILL START A NEW R.F.P. BUT THAT'S
- 8 A 12-MONTH PROCESS. IN THE INTERIM...

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: WHEN WILL YOU GET THE NEW R.F.P. OUT, DO YOU
- 11 THINK?

12

- 13 DAVE DAVIES: IT'S OUR ANTICIPATION WILL BE NEXT YEAR, BE
- 14 BEFORE THE START OF THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WITH THAT, I'LL MOVE IT WITH THE
- 17 UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WILL GET THAT INFORMATION.

18

19 DAVE DAVIES: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE, SECONDED. WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

23

24 SUP. BURKE: I'LL CALL UP ITEM 10.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. COUNCILMAN BILL MOLONARI; MAYOR
- 2 BAGWELL; COUNCIL MEMBER VASQUEZ, ROSE MARIE; FORMER
- 3 COUNCILWOMAN KATHY SALAZAR. THEN WE HAVE THREE OTHERS WHO HAVE
- 4 SIGNED UP ON THIS WHO WILL SPEAK AS WELL. BEFORE YOU SPEAK ON
- 5 THE ITEM, JUST GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD.

- 7 WILLIAM MOLONARI: MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, GOOD
- 8 MORNING, WILLIAM MOLONARI, 1600 WEST BEVERLEY BOULEVARD,
- 9 MONTEBELLO, CALIFORNIA. I AM THE SENIOR MEMBER OF THE CITY
- 10 COUNCIL, SERVING MY SIXTH TERM ON THE COUNCIL, FIVE TERMS AS
- 11 MAYOR. SINCE OUR FOUNDING 86 YEARS AGO, THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO
- 12 HAS ALWAYS MAINTAINED ITS OWN POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENT WITH
- 13 A GREAT DEAL OF PRIDE. WE PROVIDE EXCELLENT SERVICE TO OUR
- 14 RESIDENTS. 1997, THERE WAS AN INITIATIVE PLACED ON THE BALLOT
- 15 IN OUR COMMUNITY TO CONSIDER CONSOLIDATING WITH THE L.A.
- 16 COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT. THIS WAS SOUNDLY DEFEATED BY THE
- 17 PEOPLE OF MONTEBELLO, WHO HAVE A STRONG DESIRE TO CONTINUE THE
- 18 TRADITION OF INDEPENDENT POLICE AND FIRE SERVICES. THIS MATTER
- 19 HAS COME UP AGAIN RECENTLY, PROPOSED BY THREE MEMBERS OF CITY
- 20 COUNCIL WITH NO PUBLIC NOTICE AND NO OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC
- 21 INPUT. MANY RESIDENTS ARE ANGERED BY THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE
- 22 NOT HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK ON THIS ISSUE AND DON'T
- 23 UNDERSTAND WHY, SINCE THEY VOTED ON IT ONCE, THEY HAVE TO VOTE
- 24 ON IT AGAIN. THERE IS NO NECESSITY FINANCIALLY OR IN TERMS OF
- 25 SERVICE TO CONSIDER THIS, IT'S SIMPLY A POLITICAL DECISION BY



- 1 THE MAJORITY COUNCIL MEMBERS. WE'RE HERE THIS MORNING JUST TO
- 2 ASK THE BOARD'S CONSIDERATION TO TABLE THIS MATTER UNTIL WE
- 3 HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO PUT THIS TO A VOTE OF THE PEOPLE. WE'RE
- 4 IN THE PROCESS OF CIRCULATING AN INITIATIVE PETITION WHICH
- 5 WILL REQUIRE A VOTE OF THE PEOPLE ON ANY CHANGE IN OUR POLICE
- 6 AND FIRE DEPARTMENT. WE FEEL THAT IT'S APPROPRIATE THAT THE
- 7 PEOPLE WHO HAVE THE BIGGEST STAKE IN THIS, OUR RESIDENTS,
- 8 SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO VOICE THEIR OPINION BEFORE THIS MATTER
- 9 MOVES FORWARD. WE'RE NOT ASKING THE BOARD TO TAKE A POSITION
- 10 ON THIS ISSUE, WE ARE SIMPLY ASKING YOU TO CONSIDER TABLING
- 11 THE MATTER SO THAT FINANCIAL RESOURCES THAT CAN BE UTILIZED
- 12 VERY EFFECTIVELY ELSEWHERE AND TIME AND EFFORT BY BOTH OUR
- 13 STAFF AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT'S STAFF IS NOT DISSIPATED AT A
- 14 TIME WHEN WE ARE CONFIDENT THAT THIS MATTER IS NOT GOING TO BE
- 15 SUPPORTED BY THE MAJORITY OF THE RESIDENTS FROM OUR COMMUNITY.
- 16 MR. MAYOR, AGAIN, HAS APPEAL FROM THOSE OF US ON THE CITY
- 17 COUNCIL AND ON BEHALF OF A GREAT NUMBER OF OUR RESIDENTS THAT
- 18 THE BOARD WOULD GIVE US CONSIDERATION IN SIMPLY TABLING THIS
- 19 MATTER. WE WILL HAVE THIS RESOLVED IN THE NEXT SEVERAL MONTHS.
- 20 THERE IS NO SENSE OF URGENCY. OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT IS PROVIDING
- 21 EXCELLENT SERVICE. THERE'S NO REASON-- NO PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUE
- 22 AT STAKE HERE, SO IT WOULD BE VERY MUCH APPRECIATED IF THE
- 23 BOARD COULD CONSIDER JUST GIVING US THE OPPORTUNITY AGAIN TO
- 24 ALLOW THE PEOPLE, WHO HAVE NOT HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD
- 25 ON THIS ISSUE, TO DO SO BECAUSE THAT IS CERTAINLY THE CORE OF



- 1 OUR DEMOCRATIC PROCESS. I THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION IN
- 2 THIS MATTER AND FOR ALLOWING US TO SPEAK BEFORE YOU THIS
- 3 MORNING.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. YES.

- 7 ROSE MARIE VASQUEZ: MY NAME IS ROSE MARIE VASQUEZ. MR. MAYOR
- 8 ANTONOVICH AND BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, I'M HERE TODAY TO ASK
- 9 YOU, JUST LIKE MY COLLEAGUE DID TODAY, ASK YOU TO PLEASE
- 10 CONSIDER TABLING THIS ITEM NUMBER 10. THE REASON I'M HERE IS I
- 11 WOULD ALSO LIKE TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR COMMUNITY PARTICIPATES
- 12 IN THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS, WHICH IS THE RIGHT TO BE ABLE TO
- 13 COME FORWARD WITH THEIR VOTE AND DECIDE WHETHER WE SHOULD MOVE
- 14 FORWARD WITH A COUNTY SERVICES OR OUR OWN SERVICES, OUR OWN
- 15 FIRE DEPARTMENT AND KEEPING OUR OWN FIRE DEPARTMENT. THERE IS
- 16 AN INITIATIVE THAT WILL MOVE FORWARD THROUGH OUR CITY AND,
- 17 THIS WAY, THE COMMUNITY WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO VOICE
- 18 THEIR OPINION AND VOICE THEIR CONCERNS. I KNOW FOR A FACT THAT
- 19 I'VE TALKED TO MANY OF OUR RESIDENTS AND THEY ARE VERY
- 20 CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH THIS FIRE ISSUE, WHETHER
- 21 WE GO WITH A COUNTY PROPOSAL OR NOT. SOME OF THEM HAVE NOT
- 22 BEEN FULLY INFORMED AND SO I'M ASKING FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION
- 23 IN GIVING US A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME SO THAT WE'RE ABLE TO, YOU
- 24 KNOW, REACH TO OUR COMMUNITY AND BE ABLE TO INFORM OUR
- 25 COMMUNITY AND SO WE CAN HEAR FROM THEM ALSO. I, MYSELF, AS A



- 1 COUNCILWOMAN, WOULD NOT, AT THIS POINT, FEEL COMFORTABLE
- 2 TAKING A POSITION IN VOTING ONE WAY OR ANOTHER. MY POSITION
- 3 IS, OF COURSE, TO KEEP OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT BECAUSE WE'RE DOING
- 4 A FINE JOB AND SO I'M JUST HERE BEFORE YOU TO ASK YOU AGAIN
- 5 JUST FOR RECONSIDERATION SO THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO ACTUALLY
- 6 HAVE THE COMMUNITY DECIDE WHAT IT IS THAT THEY ARE VERY MUCH
- 7 INTERESTED IN. THIS IS A VERY CRUCIAL MATTER FOR ALL OF US AND
- 8 ESPECIALLY WE HAVE MANY, MANY SENIORS IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT
- 9 WOULD BE AFFECTED IF WE SHOULD MOVE FORWARD ON COUNTY
- 10 SERVICES. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: COULD I JUST ASK A QUESTION?

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M CONFUSED. IS THE ITEM BEFORE US TO DO A
- 17 STUDY?

18

19 SUP. MOLINA: YES, TO DO A STUDY.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S NOT TO ACTUALLY TRANSFER THE FIRE
- 22 SERVICE FROM ONE JURISDICTION TO THE OTHER, IS THAT CORRECT?
- 23 CAN SOMEBODY-- I KNOW THAT-- I WANT IT OFFICIALLY ON THE
- 24 RECORD.



1 ROSEMARIE VASQUEZ: IT IS A PROPOSAL.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WANT IT OFFICIALLY ON THE RECORD. YOU
- 4 UNDERSTAND THAT IT'S JUST A PROPOSAL FOR A STUDY.

5

6 ROSEMARIE VASQUEZ: YES, I DO.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S NOT A PROPOSAL TO TRANSFER. AND IF,
- 9 AFTER THE STUDY IS DONE, THERE'S A PROPOSAL TO TRANSFER, YOU
- 10 WILL HAVE YOUR-- YOUR CITY COUNCIL WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY,
- 11 YOU'LL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO, I ASSUME, TO REFEREND IT, IF
- 12 YOU WANT TO, AT THAT POINT. RIGHT NOW, I DON'T KNOW-- IT'S
- 13 YOUR-- I'M NOT GOING TO GET INVOLVED IN YOUR INTERNAL CITY
- 14 BUSINESS, BUT I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOUR-- YOU MADE A VERY
- 15 INTERESTING CONTRADICTORY STATEMENT HERE A SECOND AGO. YOU SAY
- 16 YOU DON'T KNOW WHETHER-- WHICH WAY YOU WOULD GO ON THIS AND
- 17 THEN THE NEXT SENTENCE WAS BUT YOU KNOW YOU WANT TO KEEP YOUR
- 18 FIRE DEPARTMENT, SO YOUR MIND IS MADE UP.

19

- 20 ROSEMARIE VASQUEZ: YEAH. NO, MY POSITION IS TO KEEP OUR OWN
- 21 FIRE DEPARTMENT. WHAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT IS HAVING THE
- 22 COMMUNITY NOT BE AWARE AND NOT HAVING AN OPPORTUNITY TO VOTE
- 23 ON WHETHER THEY WANT TO KEEP THE FIRE DEPARTMENT OR NOT. MY
- 24 POSITION IS CLEAR.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT DON'T YOU THINK IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA
- 2 BEFORE YOU-- WHETHER IT'S THE COMMUNITY OR WHETHER IT'S YOUR
- 3 CITY COUNCIL WOULD VOTE ON IT, IS TO HAVE A BODY OF
- 4 INFORMATION THAT WOULD HELP INFORM WHICH WAY TO GO? WHAT HARM
- 5 WOULD IT DO?

6

- 7 WILLIAM MOLONARI: WE DID HAVE THE STUDY DONE, MR. YAROSLAVSKY,
- 8 IN 1997. WE WENT THROUGH THE ENTIRE SURVEY, WE HAD TWO YEARS
- 9 OF DEBATE WITH THE COUNTY FIRE UNION, WITH OUR LOCAL FIRE
- 10 UNION, IT WAS PUT TO A VOTE OF THE PEOPLE AND THE PEOPLE VOTED
- 11 DECISIVELY, OVER 65%, TO MAINTAIN OUR OWN FIRE DEPARTMENT.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S 10 YEARS AGO. I DIDN'T HAVE GRAY HAIR
- 14 10 YEARS AGO. THAT'S A LONG TIME AGO.

15

- 16 WILLIAM MOLONARI: WELL, THE COMMUNITY HAS BEEN VERY ADAMANT.
- 17 ALMOST EVERY COUNCIL MEETING, WE HAVE RESIDENTS COMING UP, SAY
- 18 WHY DO WE HAVE TO VOTE AGAIN ON AN ISSUE THAT WE'VE ALREADY
- 19 VOTED ON WITHOUT HAVING A VOICE IN THAT DECISION.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME UNDERSTAND. DID YOUR CITY COUNCIL
- 22 VOTE TO DO THIS?



- WILLIAM MOLONARI: THREE MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL VOTED WITH
- 2 NO PUBLIC NOTICE AND NO PUBLIC INPUT AND PEOPLE SAY WE'VE
- 3 ALREADY...

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WITH NO PUBLIC NOTICE?

6

- 7 WILLIAM MOLONARI: IT WAS LISTED ON THE AGENDA AS VARIOUS
- 8 STUDIES RELATED TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, NOT AS A REQUEST TO GO
- 9 BACK TO THE ISSUE OF THE COUNTY FIRE SERVICE AGAIN.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. I REST MY CASE.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY.

- 15 ROBERT BAGWELL: MAYOR, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THANK YOU VERY
- 16 MUCH FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK TODAY. MY NAME IS ROBERT
- 17 BAGWELL. I'M THE CURRENT MAYOR OF THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO. AND,
- 18 AS YOU CAN SEE, THIS ISSUE IS NOT A CONTRACT. WE'RE JUST
- 19 LOOKING FOR-- GETTING SOME TOOLS TO WHERE WE CAN RENDER A
- 20 EDUCATED DECISION AS TO WHETHER SHOULD WE OR SHOULDN'T WE. THE
- 21 PEOPLE OF OUR CITY HAVE ANSWERED ONE OF THE QUESTIONS, AND HE
- 22 TALKS ABOUT THE COUNCIL-- MOLONARI TALKS ABOUT A VOTE THAT WAS
- 23 TAKEN EIGHT YEARS AGO, I BELIEVE. WE HAD ONE APPROXIMATELY TWO
- 24 YEARS AGO THAT-- FOR OUR PUBLIC SAFETY AND IT FAILED MISERABLY
- 25 BY ABOUT 75%. THAT MEANS THEY DID NOT WANT TO FUND THE PUBLIC



- 1 SAFETY AT THAT POINT BUT WE ARE, LIKE ALL CITIES IN
- 2 CALIFORNIA, CONSTANTLY FIND A BUDGETARY RESPONSIBILITY TO
- 3 BRING THE BEST RESOURCES TO OUR CITY. AT THE PRESENT TIME, WE
- 4 ARE LOOKING, WITH OUR OWN WATER COMPANY, TO FIND OUT WHAT OUR
- 5 ASSETS ARE. THAT'S ONE OF THE ONGOING R.F.P.S THAT WE HAVE AND
- 6 ALSO WE ARE LOOKING FOR OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT. WE WANTED TO MAKE
- 7 SURE WE HAVE THE RIGHT DECISION. IT'S A LONG HISTORY OF GOOD
- 8 FIRE PROTECTION WITHIN OUR CITY BUT WE'RE NOW OPERATING IN A
- 9 POST-9/11 ENVIRONMENT. THE DEMANDS ARE BEING MADE GREATER AND
- 10 GREATER ON OUR PUBLIC SAFETY, OUR POLICE AND FIRE. THEY ARE
- 11 WORKING AT A LESSER CAPACITY. I DO NOT WANT TO SEE OUR FIRE
- 12 DEPARTMENT ACTUALLY LOSE ITS GREATNESS, I WOULD SAY, BECAUSE
- 13 WE'RE AT A POINT WHERE WE HAVE TO MAKE SOME HARD DECISIONS
- 14 AND, WITHOUT HAVING THE PROPER STUDIES, WE DON'T KNOW WHAT
- 15 THOSE DECISIONS WOULD BE. THEREFORE, I URGE YOU TO ACTUALLY
- 16 OKAY THIS SURVEY, IT IS JUST A SURVEY, NOT A CONTRACT, AND SO
- 17 WE CAN ACTUALLY PRESENT THIS NOW TO OUR CONSTITUENTS AND MOVE
- 18 FORWARD WITH A YEA OR NAY ON THE ITEM, BUT IT IS SOMETHING
- 19 THAT WE NEED TO LOOK AT. WE ARE SURROUNDED BY THE LOS ANGELES
- 20 COUNTY FIRE SERVICES, ALL CITIES EXCEPT FOR MONTEREY PARK ARE
- 21 CONTROLLED BY THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY FIRE. WE ARE LOOKING TO--
- 22 FORWARD TO THIS SURVEY AND SO WE CAN RENDER A PROPER DECISION.
- 23 THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR HEARING MY-- WHATEVER. THANK YOU SO
- 24 MUCH.



- 1 KATHY SALAZAR: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS KATHY SALAZAR. I AM A
- 2 FORMER COUNCILWOMAN AND MAYOR OF THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO. MY
- 3 CONCERN IS THAT WE HAVE DONE THIS BEFORE AND ALTHOUGH IT'S
- 4 EIGHT YEARS AGO, THE PEOPLE ARE STILL VERY ADAMANT ABOUT BEING
- 5 AN INDEPENDENT CITY. WE LOVE BEING INDEPENDENT. THAT'S WHO THE
- 6 PEOPLE OF MONTEBELLO ARE AND IT FEELS VERY UNCOMFORTABLE TO
- 7 HAVE THIS SO-CALLED STUDY BEING DONE WHEN WE ACTUALLY KNOW
- 8 THAT IT IS GOING TO END UP BEING A PROPOSAL. THE COUNCIL
- 9 MAJORITY ARE COMMITTED TO THAT, WE UNDERSTAND THAT BUT THAT'S
- 10 NOT WHAT THE COMMUNITY WANTS. SO THAT'S WHY WE'RE ASKING YOU
- 11 TODAY TO JUST GIVE US A LITTLE TIME BEFORE YOU MAKE THIS
- 12 DECISION TO GO FORWARD WITH THE STUDY. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: CALL RICHARD VOLKOFF, JOHN PEREZ, AND

- 15 CHRISTOPHER ROBLES. RICHARD, JOHN AND CHRISTOPHER. GIVE YOUR
- 16 NAME BEFORE YOU SPEAK, PLEASE.

13

- 18 RICHARD ALLAN VOLKOFF: YES, SIR. MY NAME IS RICHARD ALLAN
- 19 VOLKOFF, I AM THE PRESIDENT OF THE MONTEBELLO FIREFIGHTERS
- 20 LOCAL 3821. AND I WOULD LIKE TO THANK YOU FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY
- 21 TO SPEAK TO THE BOARD. I'M HERE TO REPRESENT LABOR AND,
- 22 UNFORTUNATELY, SOME OF THE THINGS THAT YOU WERE TOLD ARE A
- 23 LITTLE BIT MISLEADING. THERE HAVE BEEN FINANCIAL PROBLEMS IN
- 24 THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO FOR THE BETTER PART OF TWO DECADES. I
- 25 HAVE BEEN EMPLOYED BY THE CITY FOR 20 YEARS AND I HAVE SEEN



- 1 MANY, MANY CUTBACKS. MOST OF THE STAFF AND THE CITY IS
- 2 OPERATING AT SKELETON LEVELS AND, YES, 10 YEARS AGO, THERE WAS
- 3 A VOTE PUT TO THE CITIZENS REGARDING CONSOLIDATION WITH THE
- 4 COUNTY. IT WAS VOTED DOWN. A FEW YEARS AGO, THERE WAS A VOTE
- 5 PUT TO THE CITIZENS REGARDING A PUBLIC UTILITY TAX TO PROVIDE
- 6 FUNDING FOR PUBLIC SERVICES. THAT WAS TURNED DOWN. OUR COUNCIL
- 7 HAS VOTED, IN SESSION, TO ASK FOR THE SURVEY FROM THE
- 8 DISTRICT. I HAVE A PREPARED STATEMENT HERE THAT I'D LIKE TO
- 9 READ QUICKLY. IN THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO, WE'VE BEEN
- 10 EXPERIENCING FINANCIAL DIFFICULTIES, AS I MENTIONED AND, TO
- 11 BALANCE OUR BUDGETS FOR THE BETTER PART OF TWO DECADES, THE
- 12 CITY HAS DONE THAT IN CUTTING BACK SERVICES AND ELIMINATING
- 13 EMPLOYEE POSITIONS. LAST YEAR, 12 POLICE POSITIONS WERE
- 14 ELIMINATED. THE YEAR BEFORE THAT, WE HAD ONE FIREFIGHTER
- 15 POSITION ELIMINATED AND WE LAID OFF 7 FIREFIGHTERS. SO WE HAVE
- 16 BEEN EXPERIENCING SOME FINANCIAL PROBLEMS, WE DO NEED THE
- 17 RESOURCES TO FIND OUT WHAT WE CAN TO PROVIDE AN ADEQUATE LEVEL
- 18 OF SERVICE TO OUR CITIZENS. THE CITY COUNCIL, ALONG WITH THE
- 19 FIREFIGHTERS AND POLICE OFFICERS, ARE COMMITTED TO A HIGH
- 20 LEVEL OF SERVICE TO OUR RESIDENTS. THAT IS A FACT. AFTER THAT
- 21 CONSOLIDATION EFFORT NINE YEARS AGO, THE COUNCIL STEPPED UP
- 22 AND THEY MADE IT A POINT TO TELL THE CITIZENS THAT THEY WOULD
- 23 PROVIDE THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF PUBLIC SAFETY THAT THEY COULD
- 24 AFFORD AND THEY DID. THEY INCREASED THE FIRE SERVICES, THEY
- 25 MADE CUTBACKS IN THE POLICE AND WE'RE NOW-- WE'RE AT A POINT



- 1 WHERE THE CITY CAN'T AFFORD TO KEEP DOING WHAT IT'S DOING.
- 2 WE'VE MADE TREMENDOUS CUTBACKS IN ALL LEVELS OF SERVICE IN THE
- 3 CITY: PUBLIC SAFETY, ESSENTIAL SERVICES AND ALSO ANCILLARY
- 4 SERVICES. THE INFRASTRUCTURE HAS NOT BEEN ADDRESSED FOR YEARS.
- 5 WE ARE IN A LOT OF TROUBLE. SURE, WE BALANCE A BUDGET EVERY
- 6 YEAR BUT WE DO THAT ON THE BACKS OF THE EMPLOYEE AND WE DO
- 7 THAT BY COMPROMISING THE LEVEL OF SERVICE THAT WE DELIVER AND
- 8 THE AMOUNT OF SERVICES THAT WE DELIVER TO THE CITIZENS. AND SO
- 9 I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST YOUR VOTE TO APPROVE THIS ITEM AND WE
- 10 UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS JUST A SURVEY, THIS IS ANOTHER TOOL
- 11 FOR US TO LOOK AT TO SEE HOW BEST WE CAN PROVIDE A SUPERIOR
- 12 LEVEL OF SERVICE TO OUR RESIDENTS IN THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO
- 13 AND AT THE BEST COST THAT WE CAN ACHIEVE THIS. I UNDERSTAND
- 14 THAT THERE IS NO FISCAL IMPACT TO THE COUNTY AND THE SURVEY
- 15 WILL GIVE US A CHANCE TO EXPLORE THESE RESOURCES. JUST, IN
- 16 CLOSING, I WOULD LIKE TO ONCE AGAIN THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR
- 17 THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF LABOR IN THE CITY OF
- 18 MONTEBELLO. WE DO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS, UNFORTUNATELY, IT
- 19 SEEMS LIKE WE'RE KIND OF AIRING OUR DIRTY LAUNDRY HERE IN
- 20 FRONT OF THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND I SINCERELY
- 21 APOLOGIZE FOR THAT, BUT WE DO HAVE A CRISIS IN OUR CITY. WE
- 22 MAY BALANCE OUR BUDGETS BUT WHAT WE ARE PROVIDING THE CITIZENS
- 23 OF OUR CITY HAS DECREASED EVERY YEAR AND ANY MORE CUTBACKS, WE
- 24 UNDERSTAND TWO-THIRDS, TWO-THIRDS OF THE CITY'S BUDGET IS IN
- 25 PUBLIC SAFETY. THOSE CUTBACKS ARE COMING FROM PUBLIC SAFETY



- 1 AND ANY MORE CUTS WILL COMPROMISE THE LEVEL OF SERVICE THAT WE
- 2 DELIVER. THANK YOU.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: CAN I ASK A QUESTION? DO YOU HAVE 3% AT 50?

7

- 8 RICHARD ALLAN VOLKOFF: NO, WE DON'T. WE HAVE 2% AT 50. OUR
- 9 POLICE OFFICERS HAVE 3% AT 50.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. BECAUSE IN THIS STUDY THAT WOULD BE
- 12 DONE, WOULD THAT BE INCLUDED AS TO HOW THEY WOULD TRANSFER
- 13 THAT? BECAUSE IT'S EXPECTED THAT WE WOULD ABSORB THOSE
- 14 DEPUTIES OR THOSE FIREFIGHTERS, RIGHT? SO I WANT TO MAKE SURE
- 15 THAT, IF WE'RE GOING TO DO THAT, THAT THAT'S UNDERSTOOD. I
- 16 HAVE CITIES IN OTHER PARTS THAT HAVE 3% AT 50 AND THEY'RE
- 17 ASKING ME IF THEY CAN BE PART OF COMING BACK IN AND WHETHER IT
- 18 BE SHERIFFS OR WHETHER IT BE POLICE DEPARTMENT OR FIRE
- 19 DEPARTMENT BUT I'M NOT SURE THAT THAT'S PART OF THE STUDY, AND
- 20 I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT IT WOULD BE, AS TO HOW THAT WOULD BE
- 21 ABSORBED SHOULD THAT HAPPEN.

- 23 RICHARD ALLAN VOLKOFF: WELL, AS I SAID, THE FIREFIGHTERS ARE
- 24 2% AT 50 AND I UNDERSTAND YOUR FIREFIGHTERS AT L.A. COUNTY



- 1 FIRE ARE 2% AT 50, SO I WOULD ASSUME THAT THAT WOULD JUST--
- 2 NO, OUR POLICE OFFICERS ARE 3%. THE FIREFIGHTERS ARE 2%.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW BUT WHAT I'M SAYING IS THAT I STILL THINK
- 5 IT'S SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE EVALUATED.

6

- 7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEIR FIRE, HE'S SAYING, ARE THE SAME AS OURS,
- 8 SO IT MAY NOT BE AN ISSUE WITH THIS JURISDICTION.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: BUT IT IS STUDIED, CORRECT?

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. I ASSUME THEY HAVE TO LOOK AT THE
- 13 RETIREMENT SYSTEM, SALARIES, ET CETERA, RIGHT.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY.

- 17 JOHN PEREZ: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS JOHN PEREZ. I LIVE AT 117
- 18 NORTH 19TH STREET, MONTEBELLO, AND THE REASON I'M HERE, I'M A
- 19 RESIDENT OF MONTEBELLO. LAST YEAR, THEY HAD A PROPOSITION TO
- 20 ADD A UTILITY TAX TO THE RESIDENTS AND WE DEFEATED THAT. THIS
- 21 TIME AROUND, THEY'RE TRYING TO-- IT'S POLITICAL, THEY'RE
- 22 TRYING TO MOVE THE FIRE DEPARTMENT TO THE L.A. COUNTY FIRE
- 23 DEPARTMENT. THAT'S ALL POLITICAL. I LOOKED INTO IT AND A LOT
- 24 OF PEOPLE GOT THE BACKING FROM L.A. FIRE DEPARTMENT, SO THEY
- 25 COULD GO IN OFFICE GETTING ELECTED. I'M AGAINST THIS STUDY



- 1 BECAUSE I'M A RESIDENT OF MONTEBELLO FOR 17 YEARS AND WE DON'T
- 2 NEED IT. WE JUST BALANCED A BUDGET ABOUT A MONTH AGO. IT WAS
- 3 140,000 EXTRA AFTER, LEFT, MONEY LEFT OVER. SO THERE IS NO
- 4 CRITICAL THING THAT WE AIN'T GOT SOME MONEY IN THIS AND THAT,
- 5 IT'S CRITICAL. IT'S ALL POLITICAL. THEY'RE TRYING TO GET BACK
- 6 INTO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, L.A. FIRE DEPARTMENT, THE FIREMEN. I
- 7 THINK THE CAPTAIN OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT THERE GOT FIRED SO HE
- 8 GOT PEOPLE COMING AROUND HERE, THE GUYS WHO WORKED FOR THE
- 9 FIRE DEPARTMENT, TRYING TO MOVE HIM UP THERE, MOVE TO THE L.A.
- 10 FIRE DEPARTMENT. ACTUALLY, IN REALITY, WE DON'T HAVE A
- 11 DEFICIT, WE HAVE PLENTY OF MONEY IN MONTEBELLO. THERE'S FACE
- 12 LIFTING. IF YOU GO TO MONTEBELLO NOW, THEY'RE FACE LIFTING
- 13 WHITTIER BOULEVARD I THINK SIX BLOCKS. IT TAKES A LOT OF
- 14 MONEY. THEY'RE PUTTING NEW LIGHTS AND THEY'RE FIXING THE
- 15 SIDEWALKS AND EVERYTHING. THIS IS ALL A LIE ON THE FIRE
- 16 DEPARTMENT THAT ARE THERE. IT'S POLITICAL AND I RECOMMEND THAT
- 17 GIVE US MORE TIME. AGAIN, LET THEM HAVE THIS STUDY BUT I'M
- 18 AGAINST IT. THANK YOU.

- 20 CHRIS ROBLES: MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISORS, MY NAME IS CHRIS ROBLES.
- 21 I'M A RESIDENT AND BUSINESSMAN IN THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO. I
- 22 JUST WANT TO START OUT BY FIRST SAYING I WOULD NEVER SAY THAT
- 23 THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS IN INFORMING THE PUBLIC IS AIRING DIRTY
- 24 LAUNDRY. THIS KIND OF INFORMATION IS WHAT'S VALUABLE IN OUR
- 25 SOCIETY AND THE KIND OF GOVERNMENT THAT WE HAVE, SO I WOULD



- 1 NEVER CALL IT THAT. LET ME ALSO ADDRESS SOME OF THE OTHER
- 2 MISINFORMATION THAT WAS STATED. YES, FOR 10 YEARS WE'VE HAD
- 3 BUDGET PROBLEMS, LIKE EVERY CITY AND EVERY COUNTY IN THE STATE
- 4 OF CALIFORNIA AND PROBABLY THROUGHOUT THIS NATION. AND YET
- 5 THERE IS NOW A NEW BOOM, THERE'S NEW REVENUE SOURCES COMING IN
- 6 BECAUSE OF THE HIGHER RETURNS ON TAXES AND ALSO ON HOUSING
- 7 SALES. JUST LIKE THE COUNTY, MONTEBELLO IS NOW IN THE BLACK.
- 8 MONTEBELLO DOES NOT HAVE A BUDGET PROBLEM, WE HAVE A BUDGET
- 9 SURPLUS AND IT'S JUST A MATTER OF TIGHTENING THE BELT, WHICH
- 10 IS WHAT THIS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAVE SAID PUBLICLY, A MATTER
- 11 OF TIGHTENING THE BELT AND, WHEN THE GOOD TIMES COME, THEN YOU
- 12 CAN RESTORE THE KINDS OF PROGRAMS THAT YOU'VE HAD-- THAT YOU
- 13 HAD TO MAKE SOME CUTS. IT'S A MATTER OF SURVIVAL AND IT'S A
- 14 MATTER OF THE WAY YOU DO BUSINESS AS A GOVERNMENT AGENCY. SO
- 15 WE DO HAVE A SURPLUS IN MONTEBELLO AND IT MAY EVEN BE A
- 16 MILLION DOLLAR SURPLUS BY THE TIME THEY FINISH LOOKING AT IT.
- 17 YES, WE LAID OFF SOME FIREFIGHTERS BECAUSE WE WERE BLOATED
- 18 WITH FIREFIGHTERS FOR ANOTHER PURPOSE THAT NO LONGER EXISTED.
- 19 SO THEY ALL FOUND JOBS, THEY WERE LAID OFF IN A MANNER THAT
- 20 WAS SUPPORTIVE OF THEM AS EMPLOYEES. IT WAS NOT A MASSIVE
- 21 LAYOFF OR CUT BECAUSE WE WERE HAVING ANY FINANCIAL PROBLEMS
- 22 WHATSOEVER. IN FACT, MONTEBELLO HAS FOUR MAN TRUCKS, WHICH IS
- 23 UNHEARD OF AND UNPRECEDENTED IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, EVEN
- 24 L.A. CITY AND L.A. COUNTY DO NOT HAVE SUCH STAFFING ON THEIR
- 25 TRUCKS. WE ARE ACTUALLY LOOKING AT PERHAPS GOING BACK TO THREE



- 1 MAN TRUCKS, WHICH SEEMS TO BE THE STANDARD THROUGHOUT
- 2 CALIFORNIA. NOW I'D LIKE TO READ TO YOU A LETTER THAT HAS BEEN
- 3 SIGNED BY 41 RESIDENTS WHO CANNOT BE HERE BECAUSE THEY ARE
- 4 WORKING MEN AND WOMEN AND THEY WERE NOT ABLE TO MAKE IT AT
- 5 THIS DAY AND TIME. AS RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF MONTEBELLO, I
- 6 RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT YOU POSTPONE ITEM 10, PREPARATION OF
- 7 A PROPOSAL TO ANNEX MONTEBELLO'S FIRE DEPARTMENT, UNTIL SUCH
- 8 TIME THAT THE CITIZENS OF MONTEBELLO CAN BE DECIDE, BY A VOTE
- 9 OF THE PEOPLE, WHETHER THEY WANT TO UTILIZE COUNTY FIRE
- 10 SERVICES. IT WAS WRONG AND UNFAIR FOR THREE OF OUR COUNCIL
- 11 MEMBERS TO MAKE THIS REQUEST OF YOU SO THOROUGHLY DISREGARDING
- 12 THE PUBLIC'S DECISION IN 1997, WHEN MONTEBELLO'S VOTERS
- 13 OVERWHELMING CHOSE TO MAINTAIN OUR OWN FIRE DEPARTMENT. THE
- 14 REQUEST BEFORE YOU WAS DONE WITHOUT THE CONSENT OR INPUT OF
- 15 THE PUBLIC. I ASSURE YOU THAT IT IS NOT REFLECTIVE OF THE
- 16 ENTIRE CITY COUNCIL NOR IS IT REFLECTIVE OF OUR COMMUNITY. AN
- 17 INITIATIVE HAS BEGUN TO REQUIRE A VOTE OF THE PEOPLE BEFORE
- 18 ANY MOVE TOWARDS ANNEXATION OF EITHER OUR POLICE OR FIRE
- 19 DEPARTMENTS IS TAKEN. IT IS MY INTENTION TO SIGN THIS PETITION
- 20 AND I ASK THAT YOU WAIT UNTIL THE SPECIAL ELECTION IS HELD TO
- 21 MOVE FORWARD. THE COST OF \$6,500 FOR THIS PROPOSAL CAN BE PUT
- 22 TO FAR BETTER USE BY OUR CITY FOR CHILDREN AND SENIORS, IN
- 23 RECREATION PROGRAMS, PUBLIC LIBRARY PROGRAMS AND HEALTH
- 24 PROGRAMS. IN FACT, THE COUNCIL RECENTLY CUT \$3,500 IN C.D.B.G.
- 25 FUNDS FROM OUR HEALTHY KIDS PROJECT BETWEEN THE SCHOOL



- 1 DISTRICT AND Y.M.C.A. THE \$6,500 COULD GO TOWARD RESTORING
- 2 THOSE FUNDS SO THAT OUR CHILDREN IN OUR COMMUNITY CAN LEARN TO
- 3 MAKE HEALTHY CHOICES IN EATING AND EXERCISE. AND I WOULD LIKE
- 4 TO MAKE A CORRECTION ON THAT. THEY ACTUALLY CUT \$6,000 FROM
- 5 THAT PROGRAM, NOT \$3,500. THEY ALSO CUT \$6,000 FROM A HOMELESS
- 6 SHELTER, WHICH IS DESPERATELY NEEDED. I'VE TALKED TO CITY
- 7 PERSONNEL WHO TELL ME THAT, EVERY HOLIDAY, WE HAVE AN
- 8 INORDINATE NUMBER OF FAMILIES THAT END UP GETTING BROKEN UP
- 9 BECAUSE THERE'S NO FUNDS FOR OUR HOMELESS SHELTER THAT WE HAVE
- 10 BEEN FUNDING FOR, I DON'T KNOW, THE PAST 20 YEARS. NOW THIS
- 11 PROGRAM IS NOT FUNDED FOR THIS HOMELESS SHELTER. THAT MEANS
- 12 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO REFER THOSE PEOPLE TO THE L.A. MISSION
- 13 HERE IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES AND MY UNDERSTANDING FROM
- 14 STAFF IS THAT THEY ACTUALLY WILL END UP BREAKING UP FAMILIES
- 15 BECAUSE OF THIS, BECAUSE THEY WILL ONLY TAKE WOMEN WITH
- 16 CHILDREN. THEY WILL NOT TAKE THE FATHERS, AND THE FATHERS WILL
- 17 BE FENDING FOR THEMSELVES AND I JUST THINK THAT THIS IS JUST
- 18 DISGUSTING. WE HAVE THE FUNDS. WE HAVE NO BUDGET DEFICIT. WE
- 19 HAVE \$6,500 TO ASK FOR A PROPOSAL THAT WE DON'T NEED AND THE
- 20 PEOPLE DON'T WANT IT. WE ALL KNOW THIS IS JUST A STEP TOWARDS
- 21 MOVING FORWARD WITH THIS. YOU KNOW, EVERYBODY USES SEMANTICS.
- 22 THIS IS NOT-- THIS IS NOT A STUDY, IT'S A PROPOSAL. THEY DID
- 23 IT IN '97. IT WAS A PROPOSAL THEN AND IT CAME BEFORE THE
- 24 VOTERS, THANK GOODNESS, AND THIS TIME WE WANT TO PUT IT BEFORE
- 25 THE VOTERS. WE'RE SIMPLY ASKING, THE RESIDENTS OF MONTEBELLO



- 1 ARE SIMPLY ASKING FOR AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE THE DECISION OF
- 2 WHETHER TO EVEN REQUEST WHETHER WE ARE LOOKING AT COUNTY FIRE
- 3 OR NOT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU.

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS, AS YOU CAN SEE, THIS IS
- 8 A CONTENTIOUS ISSUE IN MONTEBELLO AND IT'S TOUGH FOR US TO
- 9 OVERRIDE WHAT THEIR CITY COUNCIL HAS REQUESTED US TO DO, AND
- 10 SO I THINK WE HAVE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE STUDY BUT I DO
- 11 WANT TO REMIND THOSE THAT WERE IN THE MINORITY ON THIS THAT
- 12 THIS DOES NOT PREVENT YOU FROM MOVING FORWARD ON ANY
- 13 INITIATIVE PROCESS WHATSOEVER. THAT CAN TAKE PLACE. I DON'T
- 14 KNOW EXACTLY HOW LONG THE STUDY WILL TAKE BUT THIS IS ONLY A
- 15 BEGINNING PROCESS AND THAT GIVES YOU AN OPPORTUNITY TO MOVE
- 16 FORWARD. WE CANNOT OVERRIDE A REQUEST OF THIS TYPE FROM THE
- 17 CITY UNLESS IT WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS BROUGHT UP THAT WAS
- 18 INAPPROPRIATE OR UNDOCUMENTED. I KNOW THERE ARE ALLEGATIONS,
- 19 BUT THAT'S NOT FOR US TO PURSUE AT THIS TIME. SO THE ONLY
- 20 THING WE CAN DO IS MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS, AS REQUESTED BY THE
- 21 MONTEBELLO CITY COUNCIL, AND I THINK THAT SOME OF THESE ISSUES
- 22 NEED TO BE ADDRESSED AT LOCAL LEVELS. MORE IMPORTANTLY,
- 23 THOUGH, YOU SHOULD REMEMBER THAT AN INITIATIVE PROCESS CAN
- 24 START RIGHT NOW. IT DOESN'T HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL THE STUDY IS
- 25 COMPLETE. SO YOU'RE ENTITLED TO DO THAT AT ANY TIME.



SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY MOLINA. SECOND. IS THERE ANY 2 3 OBJECTION? SO ORDERED. 4 5 SUP. BURKE: I'D LIKE TO ADD ONE ADDITIONAL ADJOURNMENT. THAT, WHEN WE ADJOURN, WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF WHITE LA CROIX AND HE 6 7 IS THE BUILDING CRAFT SUPERINTENDENT FOR THE SOUTH AREA 8 DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION AND FATHER -- I'M SORRY. 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO 10 11 ORDERED.

12

1

- 13 SUP. BURKE: I GOT THE WRONG NAME. IT'S THE FATHER OF DWIGHT LA
- 14 CROIX, THE CURRENT FACILITIES CRAFT SUPERINTENDENT FOR THE
- 15 SOUTH AREA WHO PASSED AWAY LAST WEEK. HIS NAME IS ED LA CROIX,
- 16 IS THE PERSON WHO WE'RE ADJOURNING IN MEMORY OF, ED LA CROIX,
- 17 WHO WAS THE FATHER OF DWIGHT LA CROIX, WHO IS THE CURRENT
- 18 FACILITIES AND CRAFT SUPERINTENDENT AND WE'LL GET IT IN
- 19 WRITING TO YOU.

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO ORDERED.

22

23 SUP. BURKE: I'LL CALL UP A-3.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DR. CLAVREUL.



- 2 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
- 3 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. INITIALLY, I HAD ASKED TO HOLD ITEM 11
- 4 BUT YOU PASSED IT ANYWAY, NOT THAT MY INPUT WOULD HAVE DONE
- 5 ANY DIFFERENCE, BECAUSE YOU ALWAYS PASS EVERYTHING ANYWAY BUT
- 6 I'M A LITTLE BIT CONCERNED ON THAT ITEM BECAUSE IT HAD TO DO
- 7 WITH PROPOSITION B MONEY AND THAT'S REALLY THE PEOPLE'S MONEY
- 8 AND WE HAD DONE IT SO NONE OF THE TRAUMA CENTERS WOULD BE
- 9 CLOSED AND YOU CLOSED KING/DREW MEDICAL CENTER AND, ACCORDING
- 10 TO THAT ITEM 11, MOST OF THE MONEY IS GOING TO CALIFORNIA
- 11 HOSPITAL, WHO TOTALLY BENEFITED FROM THE CLOSURE OF KING/DREW.
- 12 IT WOULD HAVE BEEN NICE IF WE HAD A BREAKDOWN ON HOW MUCH
- 13 MONEY THE OTHER NONCOUNTY TRAUMA CENTERS WERE RECEIVING.
- 14 PERSONALLY, I'M FEELING THAT CALIFORNIA HOSPITAL IS RECEIVING
- 15 A DISPROPORTIONATE AMOUNT OF MONEY VERSUS THE OTHER ONE AND I
- 16 EXPRESSED MY OPINION LAST YEAR AND I'M EXPRESSING IT AGAIN.
- 17 NOW I WILL SPEAK TO A-3. THINGS ARE NOT WELL AT KING/DREW AND
- 18 I HAVE ASKED REPEATEDLY FOR YOUR BOARD TO REVIEW THE AUDIT OF
- 19 THE PERFORMANCE OF NAVIGANT. I THINK THE PUBLIC HAS A RIGHT TO
- 20 KNOW HOW MUCH OF THE SCOPE OF WORK DID THEY REALLY PERFORM AND
- 21 ARE WE GETTING ANY MONEY BACK? PERSONALLY, I THINK IT'S FRAUD.
- 22 WE PAID THEM A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF MONEY, WAY OVER \$20
- 23 MILLION. WE EVEN PROVED, WHILE THEY WERE PERFORMING, THAT THEY
- 24 WERE MISREPRESENTING THE FACTS ABOUT THEIR BILLS AND WE
- 25 CONTINUED TO PAY THEM. ONE OF THE MAIN CORE FUNCTIONS OF THE



- 1 SCOPE OF WORK WAS TO FIND PERMANENT EMPLOYEES FOR KEY
- 2 POSITIONS OF MANAGEMENT AND, AS OF TODAY, WE DON'T HAVE--
- 3 MAYBE JUST ONE. AND MISS EPPS, I CANNOT SAY THE NEW EMPLOYEE
- 4 BECAUSE SHE USED TO WORK FROM NAVIGANT BEFORE, SO I DON'T
- 5 THINK THEY HAVE BROUGHT US WHAT THEY HAD PROMISED AND WHAT WE
- 6 PAID FOR. I WOULD LIKE A REVIEW OF THAT NAVIGANT PERFORMANCE,
- 7 THANK YOU, AND I WOULD LIKE IT TO BE BROUGHT AT THE BOARD SO
- 8 THE PUBLIC CAN HAVE SOME INPUT. THANK YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: DID YOU GET THE NUMBER OF PEDIATRICIANS FROM KING?
- 11 DID THEY GIVE THAT TO YOU? IT'S 41.

12

13 **GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: NO.**

14

15 **SUP. BURKE:** IT'S 41.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION-- THAT WAS JUST FOR
- 18 INFORMATION?

19

20 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. DID YOU GET AN ANSWER ON THAT FEE
- 25 WAIVER ISSUE? I WAS GOING TO-- ALL RIGHT, WE NEED TO DO IT.



- 1 OKAY. IT'S 43-C AND I HAD CALENDARED THIS ON THE GREEN SHEET
- 2 JUST SO THAT WE COULD HAVE THE DISCUSSION. I'M NOT SURE WHAT
- 3 THE-- WHERE THE BOARD'S GOING TO BE, SO I CALL IT UP. DO YOU
- 4 HAVE ANY PEOPLE FROM THE PUBLIC WHO WANT TO BE HEARD ON THIS?

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: 43-C...

7

8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: YES, THERE'S A COUPLE.

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE HAVE FRED...

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEN I WOULD-- PUBLIC HEARING AND THEN WE
- 13 COULD...

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO RUDY AND JASON SPEAR.

16

17 SUP. KNABE: I'LL SECOND SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: JUST GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD
- 20 BEFORE YOU SPEAK. YES, SIR.

- 22 FRED SOROUDI: MY NAME IS FRED SOROUDI, I REPRESENT
- 23 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRUCTION, THE COMPANY THAT WAS AWARDED THIS
- 24 PROJECT. TWO REASONS ARE BEING USED TO TRY TO RESCIND THE
- 25 AWARD ON THIS CONTRACT. THE FIRST ONE IS THAT THE



- 1 SPECIFICATION WAS TOO AMBIGUOUS FOR BIDDERS TO TURN IT FOR A
- 2 BID IN. PRIOR TO THE AWARD OF THE-- OF THIS PROJECT, PRIOR TO
- 3 THE FIRST BOARD MEETING, WE HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH THE PUBLIC
- 4 WORKS DEPARTMENT AND WE DISCUSSED VARIOUS OPTIONS TO GO WITH
- 5 THIS PROJECT AND THE OPTION OF REBIDDING THIS PROJECT CAME UP
- 6 SEVERAL TIMES. IN EACH CASE, THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
- 7 DECLARED THAT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH JUSTIFICATION IN THE
- 8 SPECIFICATION TO REBID THIS JOB. ADDITIONALLY, THEY SAID THAT
- 9 THEIR FUNDING FROM THE STATE GRANT IS IN JEOPARDY AND THAT
- 10 THEY WERE NOT GOING TO REBID THIS JOB. HERE WE ARE, FOUR WEEKS
- 11 LATER, NOTHING HAS CHANGED EXCEPT THAT OUR FIRM IS AWARDED
- 12 THIS PROJECT INSTEAD OF GRIFFITH. I'M ASKING THE BOARD OF
- 13 SUPERVISORS TO SEE THROUGH THE SMOKE SCREEN AND SEE THAT, AS
- 14 DECLARED ONCE BY PUBLIC WORKS, THERE IS NO AMBIGUITY IN THE
- 15 SPECIFICATIONS AND THERE IS NO JUSTIFICATION TO REBID THIS
- 16 JOB. THE SECOND REASON USED IS THAT THEY'RE SAYING GRIFFITH
- 17 COMPANY, THERE'S A LEGAL THREAT FROM GRIFFITH COMPANY ON THIS
- 18 PROJECT. A LEGAL PRECEDENCE FOR A BIDDER THAT WAS ERRONEOUSLY
- 19 FOUND NONRESPONSIVE WAS SET BY A CASE BETWEEN KEY WEEK PACIFIC
- 20 AND M.T.A. AND THAT'S THE MOST RECENT CASE. IN THAT CASE, THE
- 21 COURT FOUND ON BEHALF OF THE BIDDER, WHICH WAS KEY WEEK
- 22 PACIFIC. HOWEVER, THE AWARD WAS JUST THEIR ACTUAL DAMAGES,
- 23 WHICH WAS THE COST OF PREPARING THE BID. IN THIS CASE, THAT
- 24 COST IS ANYWHERE BETWEEN THREE TO \$8,000. SO IF GRIFFITH
- 25 WANTED TO BRING ANY KIND OF A LAWSUIT, THAT'S WHAT WOULD BE



- 1 THEIR DAMAGES. SHOULD THIS AWARD BE RESCINDED, OUR FIRM WOULD
- 2 BE THE REAL VICTIM. WE HAVE, BECAUSE THIS PROJECT WAS SO LARGE
- 3 FOR US AND FULFILLED THE CAPACITY, OUR OPERATIONAL CAPACITY,
- 4 WE HAVE SUSTAINED FROM BIDDING ON ANY PROJECT FOR THE PAST
- 5 FOUR WEEKS SINCE WE WERE AWARDED THIS PROJECT. THAT'S DURING
- 6 THE SEASON THAT ALL CONTRACTORS TRY TO FULFILL THEIR BACKLOG
- 7 FOR THE SEASON. ADDITIONALLY, WE HAVE RECEIVED A CONTRACT,
- 8 WE'VE EXECUTED THE CONTRACT, WE'VE INCURRED COSTS TO PURCHASE
- 9 BONDS FOR THIS CONTRACT, WHICH IS AROUND \$70,000. ALL THE
- 10 DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
- 11 I'M ASKING THE BOARD TO PLEASE UPHOLD THE DECISION THAT WAS
- 12 MADE, A DECISION THAT WAS LEGALLY CORRECT AND MORE SO MORALLY
- 13 JUST AND CORRECT AND UPHOLD THAT AWARD THAT WAS DONE FOUR
- 14 WEEKS AGO.

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. YES, SIR.

- 18 JASON SPEAR: MY NAME IS JASON SPEAR WITH GRIFFITH COMPANY. I
- 19 WAS THE ESTIMATOR ON THE PROJECT WHEN IT BID BACK ON JUNE 1ST.
- 20 THE CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS, AS STATED BEFORE, STATED THAT ANY
- 21 LISTED SUBCONTRACTOR HAD TO HAVE A C-27 LICENSE. GRIFFITH
- 22 COMPANY HAS BEEN AROUND SINCE 1902 AND HAS A CLASS A
- 23 CONTRACTORS LICENSE AND WE SELF-PERFORM THE WORK AND THERE WAS
- 24 NO NEED FOR US TO LIST A C-27 LICENSE. I THINK THE FOUNDING
- 25 THAT CAME BACK LAST WEEK WAS THAT ALL BIDDERS WERE RESPONSIVE,



- 1 THE TOP THREE. FOR US TO TAKE A LOW BIDDER WHO IS LOW BY
- 2 \$30,000 AND AWARD TO THE SECOND BIDDER ON A COMPETITIVE BID TO
- 3 ME SEEMS A LITTLE STRANGE. WE WERE THE LOW BIDDER AND FOR US
- 4 TO NOT BE AWARDED THE PROJECT IS KIND OF CONFUSING TO NOT ONLY
- 5 MYSELF BUT ALL THE COLLEAGUES THROUGHOUT THE INDUSTRY. SO I
- 6 JUST THINK THAT THIS PROJECT SHOULD BE AWARDED TO THE BIDDER
- 7 WHO MET THE SPECIFICATIONS AND WHO WAS THE LOW BIDDER AT THE
- 8 TIME OF BID AND THAT'S PRETTY MUCH IT. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 9 TIME.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MR. WOLFE, DID THE BIDDERS KNOW THAT
- 12 THE CONTRACT NEEDED TO BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF
- 13 SUPERVISORS?

14

- 15 DON WOLFE: IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING-- EXCUSE ME, MR. MAYOR AND
- 16 HONORABLE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, THIS IS DON WOLFE, DIRECTOR OF
- 17 PUBLIC WORKS, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. IT'S OUR UNDERSTANDING
- 18 THE BIDDERS DID. THE BID DOCUMENTS MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THE
- 19 BOARD HAS A RIGHT TO REVIEW AND MAKE A DETERMINATION OF AWARD
- 20 OF CONTRACT.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WERE THE BIDDERS INFORMED AHEAD OF
- 23 TIME THAT THIS ITEM WAS COMING BEFORE THE BOARD ON JUNE 20TH?



- 1 DON WOLFE: WE DID NOT FORMALLY NOTIFY THE BIDDERS THAT THE
- 2 ITEM WAS COMING BEFORE THE BOARD AND, AS A MATTER OF FACT, WE
- 3 DON'T HAVE A PRACTICE OF DOING THAT. OBVIOUSLY, TWO OF THE
- 4 BIDDERS WERE HERE. WE BELIEVE THAT GRIFFITH WAS AWARE THAT IT
- 5 WAS COMING BEFORE THE BOARD BUT I DO NOT KNOW THAT FOR A FACT.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WERE TELEPHONE CALLS MADE TO ADVISE
- 8 THAT THIS WAS BEING HEARD?

9

- 10 DON WOLFE: I DON'T BELIEVE THAT TELEPHONE CALLS WERE MADE TO
- 11 ADVISE THAT IT WAS BEING HEARD BECAUSE, AS I STATED BEFORE,
- 12 IT'S NOT BEEN OUR COMMON PRACTICE TO NOTIFY THE...

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LAST WEEK, A MEMBER FROM YOUR
- 15 DEPARTMENT SAID THEY MADE A TELEPHONE CALL.

- 17 DON WOLFE: WE MADE TELEPHONE CALLS, ACTUALLY, TO ALL OF THE
- 18 BIDDERS DURING THE PROCESS BECAUSE THERE WERE OUESTIONS THAT
- 19 WE WERE ASKING THE BIDDERS DURING OUR REVIEW OF THEIR-- THE
- 20 REVIEW OF THEIR BIDS, THEIR PROPOSALS AND GRIFFITH COMPANY WAS
- 21 NOTIFIED OF THE ISSUE WITH RESPECT TO THE CHALLENGE OF THEIR
- 22 QUALIFICATIONS AND WE ASKED THEM QUESTIONS CONCERNING THOSE
- 23 ISSUES, SO THEY WERE AWARE OF THAT CHALLENGE. IT'S MY
- 24 RECOLLECTION THAT WE WERE-- WE ALSO NOTIFIED THEM THAT WE HAD



- 1 DETERMINED THAT THEY WERE-- THEY WERE QUALIFIED AND DETERMINED
- 2 AS BEING RESPONSIVE.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE INFORMATION LAST WEEK INDICATED
- 5 THAT THEY WERE NOTIFIED THAT THIS WAS COMING BEFORE THE BOARD
- 6 OF SUPERVISORS.

7

- 8 SPEAKER: I WAS THE ESTIMATOR ON THE PROJECT AND ALL BUT
- 9 COMMUNICATION CAME THROUGH ME FROM GRIFFITH COMPANY AND THERE
- 10 WAS NEVER A DISCUSSION ABOUT IT COMING TO THE BOARD. ON THE
- 11 19TH OF JUNE, PRIOR TO THE DATE OF THE BOARD, WE HAD TALKED TO
- 12 THE COUNTY, TALKED TO THREE DIFFERENT PEOPLE, RUBEN MESQUA AND
- 13 THERE WAS A COUPLE OTHER INDIVIDUALS AT THE TIME AND THERE WAS
- 14 NEVER A DISCUSSION...

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO OUT BLUE IT CAME BEFORE THE BOARD?

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THAT'S NOT TRUE. YOUR OWN LAWYER, LAST
- 19 WEEK, TESTIFIED THAT THEY WERE AWARE OF IT AHEAD OF TIME.

- 21 SPEAKER: NO, JAMIE ANGUS IS MY SUPERVISOR. HE'S THE ONE, NOT
- 22 THE LAWYER. IT CAME FROM JAMIE ANGUS. HE'S MY SUPERVISOR. AND
- 23 ANY OF THE COMMUNICATION CAME FROM ME TO JAMIE AND THAT WOULD
- 24 BE THE ONLY TYPE OF COMMUNICATION THAT CAME ON THIS PROJECT.
- 25 IT WAS FROM ME TO HIM.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHO WAS THE GENTLEMAN WHO SAT HERE LAST WEEK
- 3 AND I ASKED THAT VERY QUESTION AND THEY WERE-- I DON'T
- 4 REMEMBER WHETHER THEY KNEW IT ON MONDAY OR WHETHER THEY KNEW
- 5 IT THE PRIOR FRIDAY BUT THEY DEFINITELY-- HE TESTIFIED THAT HE
- 6 WAS AWARE OF IT.

7

- 8 SPEAKER: YEAH, THAT CONVERSATION WAS JAMIE ANGUS. AND AFTER WE
- 9 GOT IN THE CAR...

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHO IS HE? HE'S NOT YOUR LAWYER?

12

- 13 SPEAKER: NO. NO, HE'S NOT. HE'S MY BOSS, HE'S THE VICE
- 14 PRESIDENT AND GENERAL MANAGER OF GRIFFITH COMPANY. AND HE WAS
- 15 THE CONTACT THAT I HAD WITH HIM AND THAT CONVERSATION WE
- 16 TALKED ABOUT THAT CONVERSATION IN THE CAR ON THE WAY BACK THAT
- 17 HE WAS NEVER AWARE OF A BOARD MEETING ON TUESDAY, THE 20TH. WE
- 18 TALKED TO THEM ON THE 19TH FROM THE COUNTY. THERE WAS NEVER A
- 19 DISCUSSION ABOUT A BOARD MEETING AND I THINK IT WAS-- I
- 20 DISTINCTLY REMEMBER THE CONVERSATION YOU GUYS HAD AND HE DID
- 21 GET KIND OF TURNED AROUND A LITTLE BIT IN IT BUT THAT DID NOT
- HAPPEN.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I MEAN, HE MAY HAVE GOTTEN TURNED
- 25 AROUND A LITTLE, BUT THAT WAS A REALLY VERY CLEAR SUBJECT OF



- 1 INQUIRY ON THE PART OF THE BOARD, IT WAS VERY CLEAR AND WE CAN
- 2 GO BACK AND LOOK AT THE TRANSCRIPT OF LAST WEEK'S MEETING BUT
- 3 I DON'T WANT TO RELY ON MY MEMORY ENTIRELY BUT MY RECOLLECTION
- 4 IS THAT, ON THE ISSUE OF WHETHER YOUR COMPANY OR SOMEBODY IN
- 5 YOUR COMPANY WAS INFORMED THAT THERE WAS-- SOMEBODY IN A
- 6 POSITION OF RESPONSIBILITY IN YOUR COMPANY WAS INFORMED THAT
- 7 THIS ISSUE WAS COMING UP, I BELIEVE MAYBE EVEN THAT THERE WAS
- 8 AN ISSUE RAISED THAT THERE WAS-- THAT THERE WAS GOING TO BE A
- 9 PROTEST OR SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT, WAS DISCUSSED.

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK THE ISSUE WAS THEY WERE NOTIFIED THAT
- 12 THERE WAS A PROTEST.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: A PROTEST, AND SO...

15

- 16 SPEAKER: I ASKED THE ACTUAL QUESTION WHETHER THERE WAS A
- 17 FORMAL PROTEST FILED ON THIS PROJECT AND THE ANSWER THAT I GOT
- 18 BACK FROM THE COUNTY WAS NO, THERE WERE SOME QUESTIONS...

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. THAT MAY BE WHAT YOU ASKED BUT I'M
- 21 TELLING YOU THAT SOMEBODY REPRESENTING YOUR COMPANY LAST WEEK
- 22 SAT HERE AND SAID THAT THEY WERE AWARE, THEY WERE MADE AWARE
- 23 THAT THERE WAS A PROTEST. SO, I MEAN, I CAN'T-- I'M NOT GOING
- 24 TO GO GRILL EVERY EMPLOYEE OF YOUR COMPANY BUT THE ISSUE IS
- 25 WHETHER SOMEBODY-- I GUESS THE ISSUE IS WHETHER YOU COULD



- 1 LEGITIMATELY CLAIM, AND I THINK I ASKED THE QUESTION, HAVE YOU
- 2 EVER DONE BUSINESS WITH THE COUNTY BEFORE AND YOU SAID YOU'VE
- 3 HAD HALF A DOZEN OR SO CONTRACTS WITH THE COUNTY, SO YOU KNOW
- 4 HOW THE COUNTY WORKS. YOU KNOW THAT WE MEET ON TUESDAYS. YOU
- 5 KNOW THAT A BID IS SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE BOARD. AND
- 6 I'M PROPOSING THAT WE REBID THIS FOR A VARIETY OF REASONS BUT,
- 7 ON THIS ONE ISSUE, I'M NOT PERSUADED THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT YOU-
- 8 EVEN IF YOU HADN'T HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED, THERE'S A QUESTION OF
- 9 WHY WOULDN'T YOU HAVE MADE IT YOUR BUSINESS TO KNOW WHAT WAS
- 10 GOING ON SINCE YOU KNOW YOU BID WORK ALL OVER THE PLACE, NOT
- 11 JUST WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES? BUT, IN THIS CASE, THE
- 12 TESTIMONY WAS THAT YOU WERE AWARE THAT THERE WAS TROUBLE ON
- 13 THE HORIZON, THAT YOUR COMPETITOR WAS RAISING AN ISSUE. AND
- 14 SO, ANYWAY, I DON'T THINK THAT DOG'S GOING TO HUNT.

15

- 16 SPEAKER: I WAS IN THAT CONFERENCE CALL WITH JAMIE WHEN THEY
- 17 TALKED TO THE COUNTY INSPECTOR IN REGARDS TO THERE WAS AN
- 18 INDIVIDUAL WHO HAD SOME CONCERNS ABOUT THE BID BUT IT WOULDN'T
- 19 A FORMAL PROTEST AT THAT TIME, SO WHEN WE ASKED THE COUNTY...

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT WAS THE NATURE OF THE CONVERSATION ON
- 22 THAT CONFERENCE CALL? WHAT WERE YOU TOLD?



- 1 SPEAKER: WE WERE TRYING TO FIND OUT THE STATUS ON WHETHER OR
- 2 NOT THERE WAS A FORMAL PROTEST, WHAT'S GOING ON, WHY THERE'S
- 3 SO MANY QUESTIONS BEING ASKED...

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT WERE YOU TOLD? IF IT WASN'T A FORMAL
- 6 PROTEST, WHAT WERE YOU TOLD?

7

- 8 SPEAKER: THAT THERE WERE SOME QUESTIONS BEING BROUGHT UP FROM
- 9 THE SECOND BIDDER.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHO TOLD YOU THAT?

12

- 13 SPEAKER: THAT CAME FROM THE L.A. COUNTY INSPECTOR, ISA
- 14 ADAWAYA.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. AND WHAT KIND OF QUESTIONS DID
- 17 THEY SAY WERE BEING RAISED?

18

- 19 SPEAKER: JUST THAT THEY WERE ASKING ABOUT THE C-27 LICENSE AND
- 20 DIFFERENT THINGS LIKE THAT.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE C-27. WHEN YOU HEARD THAT THEY WERE
- 23 RAISING QUESTIONS ABOUT THE C-27 LICENSE, DID YOU KNOW WHAT
- 24 THAT-- DID YOU KNOW WHAT THEY WERE DRIVING AT? DID YOU KNOW
- 25 THAT YOU DIDN'T HAVE A C-27 LICENSE?



1 2 SPEAKER: I KNOW THAT WE HAVE A CLASS A LICENSE WHICH ALLOWS US 3 TO OPERATE... 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW. I KNOW YOU KNOW THAT AND I'M NOT ARGUING WHETHER YOU'RE RIGHT OR WRONG ON THAT BUT DID YOU KNOW 6 AT THE TIME WHEN YOU HEARD THE WORD C-27 LICENSE IN THAT 7 8 CONFERENCE CALL, THAT YOU KNEW WHERE YOUR OPPOSITION WAS GOING WITH THAT ARGUMENT? DID YOU SUSPECT THAT THEY WERE GOING TO TRY TO MAKE AN ISSUE OUT OF THE FACT THAT YOU DID NOT HAVE, 10 11 LITERALLY, A C-27 LICENSE? 12 13 SPEAKER: YEAH, WE CAME TO THAT CONCLUSION, YES. 14 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. AND WHEN WAS THAT CALL? 16 SPEAKER: THAT CALL WOULD HAVE BEEN PRIOR TO THAT MONDAY THE 17 18 19TH OF JUNE, SO THAT WEEK PRIOR TO. I'M THINKING IT WAS 19 ACTUALLY-- I CAN PRETTY MUCH SAY... 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WAS ON A THURSDAY? 21 22 23 SPEAKER: EXACTLY RIGHT, IT WAS ON A THURSDAY.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THAT WAS THE TESTIMONY WE HAD LAST
- 2 WEEK, THAT THERE WAS-- OR SOMEBODY TOLD ME FROM THE PUBLIC
- 3 WORKS THAT YOU FOLKS WERE INFORMED OF THAT ON THURSDAY, SO
- 4 THAT WAS FIVE DAYS BEFORE THE BOARD MEETING ON WHATEVER THE
- 5 DATE WAS, SO YOU WERE AWARE ON JUNE 19TH THAT-- ON THE
- 6 THURSDAY BEFORE THE JUNE -- WAS IT A JUNE 19TH BOARD MEETING?

8 SPEAKER: JUNE 20TH.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU WERE AWARE ON JUNE 15TH THAT YOUR
- 11 COMPETITOR WAS MAKING AN ISSUE OUT OF A C-27-- LACK OF A C-27
- 12 LICENSE, WHICH YOU KNEW WHERE THEY WERE GOING TO GO WITH THAT,
- 13 SO YOU ALREADY FIGURED OUT THAT THEY'RE GOING TO GO TO THE
- 14 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THEY WERE GOING TO TRY TO EXPOSE US FOR
- 15 NOT HAVING A C-27, EVEN THOUGH WE THINK WE HAVE A CLASS A,
- 16 WHICH SHOULD DO THE TRICK, AND YOU KNEW THAT ISSUE WAS COMING
- 17 UP FIVE DAYS HENCE AND I GUESS THE ISSUE LAST WEEK WAS WHY,
- 18 WHY WEREN'T YOU-- BECAUSE YOU GUYS MADE THE ISSUE LAST WEEK
- 19 THAT YOU WEREN'T-- THAT YOU WEREN'T HERE TO DEFEND YOURSELF,
- 20 SOMEBODY MADE THAT ISSUE. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT WAS ONE OF
- 21 THE BOARD MEMBERS OR YOU, YOUR COMPANY. AND THEN THE ISSUE--
- 22 THAT'S HOW THE ISSUE AND WHY THE ISSUE AROSE AS TO WHETHER YOU
- 23 WERE AWARE OF IT. AND THE ANSWER CLEARLY IS YOU WERE AWARE OF
- 24 IT. AND YOU WERE AWARE OF THAT FIVE DAYS AHEAD OF TIME. AND
- 25 I'LL TELL YOU, IF I HAD A BID, WHATEVER THIS IS WORTH, HANGING



- I IN THE BALANCE AND I KNEW THAT THIS WAS COMING UP AND I KNEW
- 2 THAT MY COMPETITION WAS MAKING AN ISSUE THAT I KNEW MIGHT
- 3 CONFUSE THE GENIUSES UP HERE, I'D MAKE IT MY BUSINESS TO GET
- 4 MY BUTT DOWN HERE AND PROTECT MYSELF AND THAT'S WHAT I DON'T
- 5 UNDERSTAND. I HONESTLY DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY YOU DIDN'T DO IT
- 6 UNLESS SOMEBODY TOLD YOU, "DON'T WORRY ABOUT IT, IT'S IN THE
- 7 BAG."

8

- 9 SPEAKER: WELL, THE SPECIFICATIONS STATED THAT MEETING WAS
- 10 GOING TO TAKE PLACE ON JUNE 13TH, THE COUNCIL MEETING WAS
- 11 GOING TO TAKE PLACE ON THE 13TH OF JUNE. WHEN IT DIDN'T TAKE
- 12 PLACE ON THE 13TH OF JUNE AND THERE WAS THIS DISCUSSION GOING
- 13 ABOUT AS TO SOME POTENTIAL PROBLEMS WITH IT, NOBODY THOUGHT
- 14 THAT, OKAY, WELL, THEY'LL JUST DO IT THE NEXT WEEK. I MEAN, WE
- 15 NEVER KNEW THAT MEETING WAS TAKING PLACE ON THE 20TH OF JUNE.
- 16 THE CONVERSATION WE HAD WITH THE COUNTY ON THE 19TH WAS THAT,
- 17 YOU KNOW, EVERYTHING'S FINE, WE THINK WE GOT ALL OUR QUESTIONS
- 18 ANSWERED.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT WAS THE REASON FOR THE CONVERSATION ON
- 21 THE 15TH IN THE FIRST PLACE, ON THAT THURSDAY? WHY DID THAT
- 22 CALL TAKE PLACE?

- 24 SPEAKER: BECAUSE WE HAD BEEN GIVEN A LOT OF INFORMATION ABOUT
- 25 OUR BACKGROUND, PRIOR JOBS THAT WE HAD DONE TO THE COUNTY, AND



- 1 THEY WERE ASKING US QUESTIONS ON IT AND SO WE SAID, YOU KNOW,
- 2 WHERE IS THIS ALL GOING, WHEN THEY ASKED MY CONTACT, SOLOMON
- 3 CONN FROM THE COUNTY AS TO IS THERE A FORMAL PROTEST GOING ON
- 4 HERE, IS THERE A PROBLEM WITH THIS THING? WELL, THERE'S JUST
- 5 SOME QUESTIONS ARISE. WE TOOK IT UPON OUR OWN TO CALL ONE OF
- 6 THE COUNTY INSPECTORS AND ASK HIM TO KIND OF SNOOP AROUND AND
- 7 FIND OUT WHAT'S REALLY GOING ON WITH THIS THING AND THAT'S THE
- 8 CONVERSATION THAT TOOK PLACE ON THE 15TH WHEN HE SAID, "WELL,
- 9 YOU KNOW, THERE'S BEEN SOME CONCERNS BROUGHT UP FROM THE
- 10 SECOND..."

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO AFTER THE COUNTY INSPECTOR THAT YOU ASKED
- 13 TO SNOOP AROUND SNOOPED AROUND, DID HE GET BACK TO YOU?

14

15 **SPEAKER:** YEAH.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?

18

- 19 SPEAKER: THAT WAS THE CONVERSATION ON THURSDAY THAT WE STARTED
- 20 HERE JUST SHORTLY AGO.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT WAS THE-- RESULTED IN THAT CONFERENCE
- 23 CALL?

24

25 SPEAKER: YEAH, EXACTLY RIGHT.



1
2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW DID THAT CONFERENCE CALL END?

3

- 4 SPEAKER: BASICALLY, THAT IT WAS JUST-- AT HIS POINT, IT WAS
- 5 OUT OF HIS HANDS, THERE WAS NOTHING THAT HE COULD DO, THAT WAS
- 6 JUST THE INFORMATION THAT HE HEARD AND HE WASN'T INVOLVED IN
- 7 THE...

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT DID HE MEAN BY THAT, OUT OF HIS HANDS?
- 10 WHOSE HANDS WAS IT IN?

11

- 12 SPEAKER: IT WAS JUST IN THE DEPARTMENT'S HANDS, SO IT WAS A
- 13 DECISION THAT WAS GOING TO BE MADE FROM THE DEPARTMENT, THAT
- 14 HE, YOU KNOW, HE DIDN'T HAVE ANY CONTROL OVER IT, AND DIDN'T,
- 15 YOU KNOW, HE JUST GAVE US THE INFORMATION THAT WE WERE LOOKING
- 16 FOR, THAT THERE WAS A POTENTIAL PROBLEM WITH IT.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND DID YOU ASK HIM WHEN THIS-- DID YOU
- 19 INQUIRE WHEN THIS WAS COMING UP? YOU KNEW IT WAS COMING UP--
- 20 HAD TO COME TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR APPROVAL, DID YOU
- 21 NOT?

22

- 23 SPEAKER: WELL, TRUTHFULLY, NO, DIDN'T KNOW HOW IT WAS GOING TO
- 24 TAKE PLACE.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU DIDN'T KNOW THAT A BID HAS TO BE AWARDED 2 BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS?

3

- 4 SPEAKER: NO, I DO KNOW THAT. I DO KNOW THAT. I JUST DIDN'T
- 5 KNOW...

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU WERE AWARE ON THE 15TH THAT THE BOARD OF
- 8 SUPERVISORS HAD NOT YET AWARDED THE CONTRACT?

9

10 **SPEAKER:** CORRECT.

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO YOU KNEW THAT THERE WAS A PROBLEM, I
- 13 MEAN, I FEEL LIKE PERRY MASON HERE, THIS IS PRETTY OBVIOUS;
- 14 YOU KNEW THERE WAS A PROBLEM, YOU KNEW THAT THIS HADN'T BEEN
- 15 APPROVED BY THE BOARD, THE PROBLEM MEANS THAT THE BOARD MIGHT
- 16 NOT APPROVE IT. WHY DIDN'T YOU DO SOMETHING TO PROTECT
- 17 YOURSELF? THAT'S THE ONLY QUESTION I-- I MEAN, I'M NOT GOING
- 18 TO PUT YOU IN A TOUGH-- I DON'T WANT TO BROWBEAT YOU. YOU
- 19 SCREWED UP BY NOT BEING HERE AND I HOPE YOU NEVER DO THAT
- 20 AGAIN. I MEAN, WE ALL MAKE MISTAKES, BUT YOU HAD PLENTY OF
- 21 WARNING, ANY WARNING WOULD HAVE BEEN PLENTY OF WARNING, SINCE
- 22 MOST OF THE TIME THESE BIDS SAIL THROUGH WITHOUT ANY
- 23 CONTROVERSY AND WITHOUT ANY PROTEST, SO WHEN THERE WAS I
- 24 PROBLEM AND YOU KNEW WHAT THE PROBLEM WAS, WHEN HE SAID THE C-
- 25 27 LICENSE, YOU KNEW THAT'S WHAT THEY WERE ZEROING IN ON, SO



- 1 YOU KNEW WHAT THEY WERE GOING TO TRY TO DO HERE, YOU DIDN'T
- 2 SHOW UP AND THAT WAS A MISTAKE.

3

- 4 SPEAKER: BUT WE KNEW THE C-27, THERE WAS NO PROBLEM WITH THE
- 5 C-27 BECAUSE WE HAVE A CLASS A LICENSE SO...

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I WANT TO FINISH MY QUESTION. MR.
- 8 WOLFE, WERE THE REFERENCES FOR GRIFFITHS COMPANY CHECKED?

9

10 DON WOLFE: YES, THEY WERE, SUPERVISOR.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THEY WERE ALL CHECKED? AND FOR THE
- 13 OTHER COMPANIES?

14

- 15 DON WOLFE: YES. FOR ALL THREE COMPANIES, WE CHECKED ALL THEIR
- 16 QUALIFICATIONS AND WE DETERMINED THAT ALL THREE OF THE LOW
- 17 BIDDERS WERE RESPONSIVE AND QUALIFIED.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND QUALIFIED. AND THAT WAS THE
- 20 STATEMENT THAT WAS MADE LAST WEEK.

21

22 DON WOLFE: YES.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND THEN WE WERE ADVISED, COUNTY
- 2 COUNSEL, YOU ADVISED US ON JUNE 20TH THAT THE BOARD HAD THE
- 3 ABILITY TO AWARD THE CONTRACT TO THE SECOND LOWEST BIDDER?

4

5 SPEAKER: I'M SORRY, MR. MAYOR?

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LAST-- ON JUNE 20TH, YOU ADVISED THE
- 8 BOARD THAT WE HAD THE ABILITY TO AWARD THIS CONTRACT TO THE
- 9 SECOND LOWEST BIDDER?

10

11 SPEAKER: NO, I DON'T THINK WE ADVISED THAT AT THE TIME.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DID YOU ADVISE US LAST WEEK?

14

15 SPEAKER: LAST WEEK, WE ADVISED YOU THAT YOU COULD, YES.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. AND LAST WEEK, WE WERE ALSO
- 18 ADVISED THAT ALL OF THE BIDDERS WERE QUALIFIED.

19

20 SPEAKER: THAT'S CORRECT.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO WHY IS THE COUNTY COUNSEL NOW
- 23 RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD REJECT ALL BIDS AND START OVER
- 24 AGAIN?



- 1 SPEAKER: BECAUSE WE BELIEVE THAT THE BID PROCESS MAY HAVE BEEN
- 2 AFFECTED NOT TO THE COUNTY'S BENEFIT BY THE FACT THAT THE
- 3 BIDDERS DID NOT HAVE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF THE PLANS AND
- 4 SPECIFICATIONS BECAUSE OF AMBIGUITIES IN THE PLANS AND
- 5 SPECIFICATIONS.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT THERE IS A BIDDERS CONFERENCE
- 8 WHERE ANY AMBIGUITIES CAN BE CLARIFIED. THERE HAVE BEEN
- 9 REPORTED COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN THE PARTIES RELATIVE TO ANY
- 10 CLARIFICATIONS THAT NEEDED TO BE DONE. I DON'T BELIEVE OUR
- 11 OFFICE OR ANY OF THE OTHER SUPERVISOR OFFICES HAVE RECEIVED
- 12 CORRESPONDENCE THAT THERE MAY HAVE BEEN AMBIGUITY BUT IT WAS
- 13 NOT A LEGITIMATE AMBIGUITY BECAUSE THESE ARE THE FACTS. WE DID
- 14 NOT RECEIVE THAT EITHER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OR FROM ANY OF THE
- 15 PARTIES, SO THE QUESTION I DON'T UNDERSTAND IS WHY YOU BELIEVE
- 16 THAT-- AND I SHOULD SAY, COUNTY COUNSEL, YOU REVIEWED
- 17 PROPOSALS BEFORE THEY EVEN DISTRIBUTED BY PUBLIC WORKS. DO YOU
- 18 NOT ADVISE PUBLIC WORKS PRIOR TO THEIR ISSUING THESE
- 19 PROPOSALS?

20

- 21 SPEAKER: I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT MY OFFICE REVIEWED THE PLANS
- 22 AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THIS PROJECT.

- 24 DON WOLFE: MR. MAYOR, IF I MAY INTERJECT, OUR STANDARD
- 25 OPERATING PROCEDURE IS NOT TO HAVE COUNTY COUNSEL REVIEW THE



- I PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS. MOST OF THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS
- 2 ARE BOILERPLATE. IF WE HAVE ISSUES THAT WE BELIEVE NEED A
- 3 LEGAL REVIEW, THEN COUNTY COUNSEL DOES REVIEW THOSE ISSUES AND
- 4 COMMENTS ON IT FOR US.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO THIS CONTRACT HAD NO NEED FOR A
- 7 LEGAL REVIEW PRIOR TO IT BEING ISSUED BY THE DEPARTMENT?

8

- 9 DON WOLFE: THAT'S CORRECT, AND THE ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN
- 10 RAISED AS AMBIGUITIES WOULD NOT REALLY BE LEGAL ISSUES IN
- 11 THEMSELVES AS FAR AS A REVIEW BY COUNTY COUNSEL. THEY WERE
- 12 FRANKLY POOR LANGUAGE ON OUR PART THAT LED TO THE CONFUSION ON
- 13 WHETHER OR NOT A C-27 LICENSE WAS REQUIRED.

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, AGAIN, YOU HAVE THREE OUALIFIED
- 16 BIDDERS. ONE MADE A PRESENTATION THAT HE FOLLOWED ALL THE
- 17 CRITERIA AND THEREFORE BEING QUALIFIED AND WE WERE ADVISED
- 18 THAT THE BOARD COULD MAKE A DETERMINATION AWARDING THAT
- 19 CONTRACT TO THAT BIDDER. THE BOARD MAJORITY VOTED TO DO THAT.
- 20 AND NOW COUNSEL IS SAYING WE SHOULDN'T DO THAT, THAT PERHAPS
- 21 THAT ADVICE YOU WERE GIVING US WAS WRONG. THE DEPARTMENT IS
- 22 SAYING THAT WE SHOULDN'T DO THAT BECAUSE PERHAPS THE BIDDER
- 23 THAT THIS BOARD VOTED TO AWARD THE CONTRACT TO IS NOT
- 24 QUALIFIED, BECAUSE IF HE WAS QUALIFIED, THERE WOULD BE NO
- 25 CAUSE TO QUESTION THE AWARDING OF THIS CONTRACT AND YET IT'S



- 1 THIS DOUBLE SPEAK THAT I DON'T UNDERSTAND. CONTRACTORS KNOW
- 2 THAT CITIES AND COUNTIES AWARD THE CONTRACT, NOT THE
- 3 DEPARTMENT THAT ISSUES THEM, AND IT'S TRADITIONAL THAT THEY
- 4 MONITOR THOSE MEETINGS. THIS IS NOT THE FIRST TIME THAT A
- 5 CONTRACTOR HAS COME BEFORE THE BOARD AND SAID, "I DIDN'T KNOW
- 6 YOU HAD TO APPROVE IT" OR "I DIDN'T KNOW IT WAS ON THE
- 7 AGENDA," AND WHEN YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT A MULTIMILLION DOLLAR
- 8 CONTRACT, YOU'RE PAID TO FOLLOW THAT UNTIL THE CONTRACT IS
- 9 SIGNED. AND FOR THE BOARD NOW TO PENALIZE THE AWARDEE BECAUSE
- 10 HE FOLLOWED THE PROCEDURE AND WAS HERE TO ANSWER ANY
- 11 QUESTIONS, TO ME, IS BEING ARBITRARY AND DISCRIMINATORY
- 12 BECAUSE WE HAVE BEEN TOLD HE IS A QUALIFIED BIDDER.

13

- 14 LEELA KAPUR: THE DEPARTMENT HAS DETERMINED THAT THEY'RE ALL
- 15 OUALIFIED BIDDERS. THE BOARD'S JOB IS TO DETERMINE THE LOWEST
- 16 RESPONSIBLE BIDDER AND IT'S OUR POSITION THAT THAT MAY NOT
- 17 HAVE BEEN DETERMINED IN THIS CASE BECAUSE OF THE PROBLEMS WITH
- 18 THE SPECIFICATIONS.

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND THERE'S ABOUT A 30,000-DOLLAR--
- 21 35,000-DOLLAR DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE CONTRACTS SO WE'RE NOT
- 22 TALKING ABOUT A MULTIMILLION-DOLLAR DIFFERENCE, WE'RE TALKING
- 23 ABOUT A SMALL DIFFERENCE, WHICH IS GOING TO COST THE COUNTY
- 24 MORE IF WE GO BACK AND DO A REBIDDING. IT'S GOING TO COST MORE
- 25 THAN \$30,000 TO GO THROUGH THIS PROCESS, PLUS IT MAY



- 1 JEOPARDIZE THE 2.3-MILLION-DOLLAR STATE GRANT AND YOU'RE
- 2 HOPEFUL TO GET ANOTHER TYPE OF GRANT IF WE DON'T ACHIEVE THIS
- 3 GRANT BUT WE WERE TOLD THAT TIME WAS OF THE ESSENCE AND WE HAD
- 4 TO QUALIFY BECAUSE THERE WAS A PARTICULAR DATE THAT WE HAD TO
- 5 MEET THIS REQUIREMENT BUT-- SUPERVISOR KNABE.

- 7 SUP. KNABE: YEAH. MR. MAYOR, THE BIGGER ISSUE HERE TO ME IS
- 8 NOT WHETHER THESE BIDDERS ARE QUALIFIED OR NOT. I DON'T THINK
- 9 THE DEPARTMENT EVER SAID THAT THE SECOND LOWEST BIDDER THAT
- 10 WAS AWARDED THE CONTRACT WAS UNQUALIFIED. ALL THREE BIDDERS
- 11 WERE QUALIFIED. THE BIGGER ISSUE IS OUR OWN DEPARTMENT GAVE US
- 12 CONFLICTING TESTIMONY ON ONE WEEK IN REGARDS TO THE BID AND
- 13 WHETHER A C-27 LICENSE OR A C-26 LICENSE WAS NECESSARY OR
- 14 WHETHER IT WASN'T. YOU WERE UP AGAINST THE WALL, SO YOU
- 15 THOUGHT, AND THEN THE RULES CHANGED THE FOLLOWING WEEK WHEN IT
- 16 WAS QUESTIONED. SO, TO ME, THE REASON I'M SUPPORTING
- 17 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION TO THROW EVERYTHING OUT AND
- 18 REBID IT, THERE WAS CLEARLY A CONFLICT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 19 PUBLIC WORKS IN THEIR PUBLIC TESTIMONY AS IT RELATED TO HOW
- 20 THIS BID WAS AWARDED, WHY IT WAS AWARDED, IF, IN FACT, THE
- 21 FACTS THAT ARE THERE NOW, THAT THEY-- THE LOW BIDDER DID NOT
- 22 NEED THE LICENSE BECAUSE THEY WERE A CLASS A LICENSE, WE WOULD
- 23 NOT BE HAVING THIS DISCUSSION BECAUSE IT WOULD HAVE BEEN
- 24 AWARDED TO THE LOW BIDDER, BASED ON THE FACT THAT THEY WERE
- 25 QUALIFIED. IS THAT CORRECT?



1

- 2 DON WOLFE: THAT'S MY PERCEPTION, SUPERVISOR. IF I MAY ADD A
- 3 COUPLE OF THINGS, IT'S ALL THREE-- ALL THREE OF THE LOW
- 4 BIDDERS ARE HIGHLY QUALIFIED AND WE, AS A DEPARTMENT, DON'T
- 5 CARE WHICH ONE OF THEM CONSTRUCTS THE PROJECT.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: ABSOLUTELY. I MEAN, THAT'S-- THERE'S NOTHING--
- 8 THAT'S WHY, YOU KNOW, WHETHER THE BIDDERS WERE HERE TO DEFEND
- 9 THEMSELVES OR NOT, THE POINT IS WE HAVE THREE QUALIFIED
- 10 BIDDERS BUT WE HAVE TWO SEPARATE DAYS OF CONFLICTING TESTIMONY
- 11 FROM OUR OWN DEPARTMENT IN AWARDING THE CONTRACT. NO BIDDER
- 12 SHOULD BE PUNISHED FOR THE DEPARTMENT'S MISTAKES, AND THAT'S
- 13 WHY I THINK THE RECOMMENDATION BEFORE US TO THROW EVERYTHING
- 14 OUT AND REBID IT IS THE BEST WAY TO GO. I THINK THAT SAVES US
- 15 TIME BECAUSE, OBVIOUSLY, THERE'S POTENTIAL LITIGATION HERE
- 16 THAT COULD REALLY DRAG IT DOWN IF YOU'RE CONCERNED ABOUT TIME
- 17 BY THE WAY THIS WAS HANDLED BY THE DEPARTMENT. SO I'M JUST
- 18 SPEAKING IN SUPPORT OF SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION THAT WE
- 19 SHOULD THROW ALL BIDS OUT AND START ALL OVER AGAIN,
- 20 UNFORTUNATELY.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: AT SOME POINT, YOU HAVE TO RELY ON SOMEONE. WHEN
- 25 WE HAD THIS MATTER BEFORE US IN THE FIRST INSTANCE, WE WERE



- 1 TOLD WE HAD TO VOTE IMMEDIATELY BECAUSE THERE WAS A STATE
- 2 GRANT THAT WOULD BE LOST IF WE DID NOT ACT ON THAT DAY. THAT'S
- 3 WHAT THEY WERE TOLD. WE WERE THEN TOLD, THE FOLLOWING WEEK,
- 4 THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAD BEEN ADVISED, PRIOR TO THE DATE WE
- 5 HEARD IT, THAT THEY SUGGESTED THAT THE SPECIFICATIONS MIGHT BE
- 6 IN QUESTION. THE DEPARTMENT, AFTER HAVING BEEN ADVISED BY THE
- 7 COUNTY COUNSEL OF THAT, DECIDED TO GO FORWARD BECAUSE THEY
- 8 FELT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO GO FORWARD THAT DAY. THESE TWO
- 9 THINGS, IF I UNDERSTAND IT, ARE NOT CONTROVERTED. THOSE ARE
- 10 UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED BY EVERYONE. IN VIEW OF THAT, I AM NOT
- 11 PREPARED TO VOTE FOR MR. YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION. AS FAR AS I'M
- 12 CONCERNED, WE HAD BEEN TOLD IT'S IMPORTANT TO SAVE A STATE
- 13 GRANT. OBVIOUSLY, THE DEPARTMENT MADE A DETERMINATION THAT IT
- 14 WAS IMPORTANT TO GO FORWARD BECAUSE THEY REFUSED TO CONTINUE
- 15 IT. THEY HAD BEEN ALSO TOLD BY THE COUNTY COUNSEL THAT IT
- 16 MIGHT NOT BE WISE TO GO FORWARD BUT THEY MADE THAT
- 17 DETERMINATION. I'M GOING TO ABIDE BY THE DETERMINATIONS THEY
- 18 MADE. I HAVE NO INTENTION TO VOTE FOR MR. YAROSLAVSKY'S
- 19 MOTION. I WILL ABSTAIN AGAIN.

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I AGREE. CALL IT. SUPERVISOR

22 YAROSLAVSKY?

20

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I JUST WONDERED, WE CAN'T GET INTO THE
- 25 LEGAL ADVICE THAT WE GOT IN CLOSED SESSION BUT I JUST THINK WE



- 1 ALL OUGHT TO REMEMBER THE LEGAL DISCUSSION WE HAD IN CLOSED
- 2 SESSION AND WHAT LED US TO THIS POINT AND I THINK THE CLEANEST
- 3 THING WOULD BE TO WIPE THE SLATE CLEAN AND START OVER. THE
- 4 JEOPARDY TO THE GRANT IS ALREADY -- WE'VE ALREADY BEEN EXPOSED
- 5 TO THE JEOPARDY OF THE GRANT WHETHER WE GET A GRANT EXTENSION
- 6 OR WHETHER WE'LL GET SOMETHING ELSE, WHO KNOWS? BUT THE
- 7 LIKELIHOOD THAT YOU'RE GOING TO GET SUED NO MATTER WHAT YOU DO
- 8 IS THERE AND THE COUNTY'S POSITION, REGARDLESS OF WHOSE FAULT
- 9 IT IS, AND THERE'S PLENTY OF FAULT TO GO AROUND, INCLUDING
- 10 AMONG THE FIVE OF US WHO RUSHED TO JUDGMENT ON THE 20TH TO
- 11 AWARD IT, AND I FAULT MYSELF BECAUSE I WAS UNCOMFORTABLE NOT
- 12 DOING IT BUT I DIDN'T SPEAK UP AND I WENT ALONG WITH IT. AND I
- 13 WISH I HAD SPOKEN UP AT THAT TIME. BUT I DIDN'T AND NEITHER
- 14 DID ANYBODY ELSE AND HERE WE ARE. THE ADVICE WE'VE GOTTEN IS
- 15 THAT OUR BEST POSITION, NOT OUR ONLY POSITION BUT OUR BEST
- 16 POSITION WOULD BE TO START OVER AND THAT'S WHY I BROUGHT THIS
- 17 IN. THAT'S ALL.

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, LET ME JUST SAY THE BEST
- 20 POSITION WE WERE GIVEN AT THE TIME WE MADE THE VOTE WAS THAT
- 21 WE HAD ALL THREE QUALIFIED INDIVIDUALS AND THAT WE HAD THE
- 22 ABILITY TO MAKE THAT DETERMINATION AND ANY DELAY WOULD IMPAIR
- 23 THIS NECESSARY PROJECT FROM GOING FORWARD AND THERE COULD BE--
- 24 NOW WE'RE BEING TOLD THERE COULD BE A LEGAL CHALLENGE. WELL,
- 25 THERE WILL PROBABLY BE A LEGAL CHALLENGE FROM EITHER SIDE WE



- 1 AWARD THE CONTRACT TO TODAY. HOWEVER, A JUDGE WHO HEARS THE
- 2 CASE CAN MAKE A VERY QUICK JUDGMENT RELATIVE TO THE FACT THAT
- 3 THE BOARD WAS GIVEN THIS INFORMATION FROM COUNSEL AND FROM THE
- 4 DEPARTMENT THAT WE HAD THE ABILITY TO MAKE THIS DECISION AND
- 5 THAT THE NEEDS OF THIS PROJECT WAS THAT TIME WAS OF THE
- 6 ESSENCE, WE HAD TO MOVE FORWARD AND WE DID ACT UPON ADVICE
- 7 FROM THE DEPARTMENT THAT THEY WERE OUALIFIED AND FROM COUNSEL
- 8 WE HAD THE ABILITY TO MAKE THAT DECISION. AND FOR US NOW TO GO
- 9 BACK AND SAY WE DIDN'T LIKE IT IS BEING ARBITRARY AND
- 10 DISCRIMINATORY AGAINST A QUALIFIED BIDDER THAT HAS BEEN-- THAT
- 11 HAS MET THE QUALIFICATIONS OF BIDDING ON THAT CONTRACT AND
- 12 VOUCHED FOR BY THE DEPARTMENT AND BY COUNTY COUNSEL THAT WE
- 13 HAVE THAT AUTHORITY. SO...

- 15 SUP. KNABE: WELL, MR. MAYOR, BUT THAT'S NOT THE CASE. THE
- 16 CASE-- THE REASON THE CHANGE WAS MADE TO GO TO THE SECOND
- 17 LOWEST BIDDER WAS BECAUSE WE WERE TOLD BY THE DEPARTMENT THAT
- 18 THE LOWEST BIDDER WAS NOT QUALIFIED, THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE
- 19 PROPER LICENSE. THAT'S THE ISSUE HERE AND THEN, WHEN THEY
- 20 LOOKED AT IT AND RESEARCHED IT, BY THEM, THE LOWEST BIDDER
- 21 HAVING THE LOWEST BIDDER HAVING A CLASS A LICENSE, THEY WERE
- 22 QUALIFIED. THEY DID NOT NEED THAT C-27 OR C-26 OR WHATEVER IT
- 23 IS BUT THE REASON THAT THIS BOARD WENT TO THE SECOND LOWEST
- 24 BIDDER WAS BECAUSE WE WERE TOLD BY THE DEPARTMENT AND BY OUR
- 25 PEOPLE THAT THE LOWEST BIDDER WAS NOT QUALIFIED BECAUSE THEY



- 1 DID NOT HAVE THE C-27 OR C-26 LICENSE. THAT STORY CHANGED A
- 2 WEEK LATER.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: WELL, WE WERE ALSO TOLD, BY THE DEPARTMENT, THAT
- 5 NOT ONLY DID THEY NOT HAVE IT BUT THEY HAVE ALREADY OR WERE IN
- 6 THE PROCESS OF REINSTATING IT. THAT THEY-- BUT IT WOULD TAKE--
- 7 YOU KNOW, YOU ACT AS THOUGH WE DIDN'T SPEND HOURS-- NOT HOURS
- 8 BUT WE SPENT A LOT OF TIME ON THIS, THAT IT WOULD TAKE, I
- 9 THINK THEY SAID A FEW WEEKS TO GET IT REINSTATED. THE PERSON
- 10 HAD IT BUT IT HAD LAPSED. AND, AS A RESULT...

11

12 SUP. KNABE: THEY DIDN'T NEED IT.

13

- 14 SUP. BURKE: WAIT A MINUTE. BUT THEY WERE UNDER THE IMPRESSION
- 15 THAT THEY WERE GOING TO HAVE TO REINSTATE IT AND THAT THEY
- 16 WERE IN THE PROCESS OF REINSTATING IT AND I ASSUME THEY GOT
- 17 THAT INFORMATION FROM THE BIDDER.

- 19 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I'M JUST-- BUT THE POINT THAT MR. MAYOR MADE
- 20 WAS THE FACT THAT WE GOT THE REPORT FROM THE DEPARTMENT WE HAD
- 21 THREE QUALIFIED BIDDERS. AND THEN THEY RECOMMENDED THE SECOND
- 22 LOWEST BIDDER. THAT'S NOT THE CASE. THEY SAID THAT THE FIRST--
- 23 THE LOWEST BIDDER WAS NOT QUALIFIED DUE TO THIS LICENSE ISSUE.
- 24 A WEEK LATER, WHETHER OR NOT THEY WERE TRYING TO REINSTATE THE
- 25 C-26 OR C-27 LICENSE, WHATEVER THE HECK IT IS, WE WERE TOLD



- 1 THAT, BY THE FACT THAT THEY HAD A CLASS A LICENSE, THAT THAT
- 2 QUALIFIED THEM TO PERFORM THE WORK THAT WAS WITHIN THE
- 3 CONFINES OF THAT BID. THAT'S ALL I'M SAYING. WE WERE NOT TOLD
- 4 THAT WE HAD THREE QUALIFIED BIDDERS.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: CALL THE ROLL.

- 8 DON WOLFE: SUPERVISOR, COULD I SAY A FEW WORDS? I AM
- 9 RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS CONFUSION AND I HUMBLY APOLOGIZE FOR
- 10 THAT. THE DEPARTMENT HAS DONE A LOUSY JOB ON THIS CONTRACT.
- 11 WHEN THE ENVIRONMENTAL RAISED THE ISSUE OF THE QUALIFICATIONS,
- 12 INCLUDING THE C-27 LICENSE, WE REVIEWED THAT, WE SENT A LETTER
- 13 TO ENVIRONMENTAL, TELLING THEM THAT THE C-27 LICENSE, WE HAD
- 14 DETERMINED, WAS NOT AN ISSUE, THAT WE WERE-- WE'RE NOT
- 15 CHANGING OUR POSITION ON THE QUALIFICATIONS OF THE GRIFFITH
- 16 COMPANY. WHEN WE HAD THE DISCUSSION AT THE BOARD MEETING ON
- 17 THE 20TH, I DID A HORRIBLE JOB OF EXPLAINING THE ISSUE, WE GOT
- 18 CAUGHT UP IN THE ACTIVE VERSUS INACTIVE LICENSE ISSUE AND, AT
- 19 THAT POINT, I SHOULD HAVE MADE IT CLEAR AND I DID NOT, THAT A
- 20 CONTRACTOR WITH A CLASS A LICENSE, WHICH ALL THREE BIDDERS
- 21 HAVE, CAN DO THE TYPE OF WORK THAT WAS REQUIRED AND WHICH THE
- 22 C-27 COVERED. OUR SPECIFICATIONS WERE CLEAR, WE THOUGHT, THAT
- 23 IF YOU WERE GOING TO USE A SUBCONTRACTOR, THAT SUBCONTRACTOR
- 24 HAD TO HAVE A C-27 LICENSE AND, IN FACT, THE THIRD LOW BIDDER
- 25 SAID THAT THAT WAS-- IT WAS HIS UNDERSTANDING, FROM OUR



- 1 AMBIGUOUS LANGUAGE, THAT HE WAS REQUIRED, EVEN THOUGH HE WAS A
- 2 QUALIFIED, TO HAVE A SUBCONTRACTOR, SO THEREFORE THE LANGUAGE
- 3 WAS OBVIOUSLY AMBIGUOUS. I MADE IT WORSE BY NOT MAKING IT
- 4 CLEAR WHAT OUR INTENT WAS AND THE ISSUE OF THE CLASS A LICENSE
- 5 BUT WE DID SAY VERY STRONGLY ON THE 20TH THAT WE HAD
- 6 DETERMINED THAT ALL THREE OF THE LOW BIDDERS MET THE
- 7 REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPECIFICATION BUT I DID NOT CLEAR UP WHAT
- 8 WAS THE REAL ISSUE IN YOUR MINDS AND DID A LOUSY JOB OF THAT.
- 9 SO THAT, COMBINED WITH THE FACT THAT IT WAS CLEAR THAT THERE
- 10 WAS MISUNDERSTANDING ABOUT THAT C-27 LICENSE ON THE PART OF
- 11 THE BIDDERS, THE FACT THAT OUR BID DOCUMENTS SAY SPECIFICALLY
- 12 AND CLEARLY THAT, IF THE CONTRACT AWARDED, IT WILL BE AWARDED
- 13 TO THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER BUT THE BOARD
- 14 OF SUPERVISORS RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ANY AND ALL BIDS,
- 15 SO THERE WAS A COMMITMENT ON OUR BEHALF, ON OUR PART, TO AWARD
- 16 TO THE LOW-- TO THE LOW BIDDER IF THAT LOW BIDDER WAS
- 17 DETERMINED TO BE RESPONSIVE, AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT THE
- 18 COUNTY COUNSEL WAS POINTING OUT TO ME WAS THE PRIMARY ISSUE
- 19 THAT WE HAD TO DEAL WITH HERE. BECAUSE I'LL BE FRANK AND I
- 20 LIKE TO BE FRANK AND SAY THAT, WHEN SUPERVISOR BURKE BAILED US
- 21 OUT ON THE TIME ISSUE BUT WITH YOUR MOTION, I WAS ELATED AT
- 22 THAT TIME. BUT, IN RETROSPECT, WE DETERMINED THAT THERE WERE
- 23 ISSUES OF FAIRNESS IN THIS PROCESS.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: CALL THE ROLL.



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA? 2 3 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I'M ABSTAINING. 4 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR BURKE? 6 7 8 SUP. BURKE: ABSTAIN. 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES. 12 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE? 14 15 16 SUP. KNABE: AYE. 17 18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? 19 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO. MOTION FAILS, SO THE ACTION OF THE PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING IS THE ORDER. THANK YOU. SUPERVISOR 21 22 YAROSLAVSKY. ANY OTHER ITEMS? DO YOU HAVE ANY ADJOURNMENTS? 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I DON'T HAVE ANY ADJOURNMENTS. LET'S SEE IF 24 25 I WAS HOLDING ANYTHING ELSE. NO. THAT'S IT.



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. SUPERVISOR KNABE.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE: YES, MR. MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, I DO HAVE
- 5 SOME ADJOURNMENTS. FIRST OF ALL, THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 6 DEPUTY DAVID STAN PIQUETTE, WHO WAS 34 YEARS OLD AND A 10-YEAR
- 7 VETERAN OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. HE
- 8 JOINED THE DEPARTMENT AFTER BEING HONORABLY DISCHARGED FROM
- 9 THE MARINE CORPS. LAST FRIDAY MORNING, AS WE ALL KNOW, DEPUTY
- 10 PIQUETTE WAS ON HIS WAY TO HIS JOB AT THE STARS TRAINING
- 11 CENTER IN WHITTIER WHEN HE TRAGICALLY LOST HIS LIFE IN A VERY
- 12 DIFFICULT ACCIDENT THERE WITH A TRUCK.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ALL MEMBERS ON THAT.

- 16 SUP. KNABE: YES. HE HAD AN INFECTIOUS SMILE, WAS WELL
- 17 RESPECTED AMONG THE TRAINING CENTER STAFF AS WELL AS THE
- 18 RECRUITS. HE LOVED TEACHING AND SHARING WITH THE CENTER
- 19 RECRUITS THE IMPORTANCE OF THE JOB AND HIS LOVE FOR IT. HE
- 20 WOULD OFTEN EMPHASIZE THE WILL TO SURVIVE TO THE DEPUTIES IN
- 21 TRAINING. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE AND THREE-YEAR-OLD TWINS,
- 22 A BOY AND A GIRL. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF RYAN
- 23 CLARK, WHO IS THE SON OF DETECTIVE KEITH CLARK, ASSIGNED TO
- 24 THE WALNUT DIAMOND BAR STATION AND STEPSON TO DETECTIVE
- 25 MELINDA CLARK, ASSIGNED TO THE COMMERCIAL CRIMES BUREAU. RYAN



- 1 WAS INJURED WHILE SERVING HIS COUNTRY AS A MEMBER OF THE
- 2 UNITED STATES ARMY IN IRAQ. HIS MILITARY VEHICLE CAUGHT FIRE
- 3 WHEN AN IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DETONATED. HE WAS BURNED OVER 80%
- 4 OF HIS BODY. HE BRAVELY FOUGHT THE FIGHT TO SURVIVE AND WAS
- 5 FLOWN BACK TO THE UNITED STATES FOR FURTHER TREATMENT.
- 6 UNFORTUNATELY, THE WOUNDS THAT HE SUFFERED WERE TOO SEVERE. HE
- 7 IS SURVIVED BY HIS DAD, KEITH, AND STEP MOM, MELINDA. ALSO
- 8 THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF LILLIE GRIGSBY, FOUNDING MEMBER
- 9 OF THE N.A.A.C.P. BRANCH IN LONG BEACH, PASSED AWAY ON JULY
- 10 1ST AT THE AGE OF 95. A DEVOUT CHRISTIAN. LILLIE AND JOHN ALSO
- 11 PLAYED A VERY FUNDAMENTAL ROLE IN OPENING UP DIALOGUE BETWEEN
- 12 THE VARIOUS RELIGIOUS GROUPS THERE IN LONG BEACH, THEY WERE
- 13 ACTIVE IN THE LONG BEACH AREA COUNCIL OF CHURCHES WHICH LATER
- 14 BECAME THE SOUTH COAST ECUMENICAL COUNCIL. LILLIE WAS A MENTOR
- 15 TO MANY THROUGH HER VOLUNTEER WORK AT ST. JOHN BAPTIST
- 16 MISSIONARY CHURCH IN LONG BEACH WHERE SHE WAS A CHARTER MEMBER
- 17 AND A SUNDAY SCHOOL TEACHER. SHE IS SURVIVED BY SEVERAL
- 18 GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MICHAEL
- 19 VINCENT GUZMAN, AN EL SEGUNDO POLICE OFFICER VACATIONING OVER
- 20 THE WEEKEND DIED WHEN HIS PERSONAL WATERCRAFT COLLIDED WITH A
- 21 BOAT ON LAKE MOHAVE. OFFICER GUZMAN, 32, LIVED IN EL SEGUNDO,
- 22 WORKED AS A FIELD TRAINING OFFICER AND RECENTLY WAS ASSIGNED
- 23 TO THE I.C.E. ENFORCEMENT DETAIL TASK FORCE, ASSOCIATED WITH
- 24 THE BUREAU OF HOMELAND SECURITY. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS MOTHER,
- 25 SHARON. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS, MR. MAYOR.



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO

3 ORDERED.

4

5 SUP. KNABE: I'M NOT HOLDING ANYTHING. THANK YOU.

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MY ADJOURNMENTS. DR. STEVE KADIVAR WAS
- 8 A WELL RESPECTED SURGEON IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY AND IN THE
- 9 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AREA FOR THE PAST 30 YEARS. HE WAS
- 10 TRAGICALLY MURDERED AT HIS RANCH IN LANCASTER ON JULY 7TH. I
- 11 PERSONALLY HAVE KNOWN STEVE, WHO IS A FORMER PARTNER OF DR.
- 12 VILLACONNER, WHOSE DAUGHTER WAS A COUNCIL MEMBER IN PASADENA
- 13 FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS. STEVE WAS QUITE INVOLVED IN THE
- 14 COMMUNITY, A VERY GIVING PERSON, AN INDIVIDUAL WHO CAME HERE
- 15 FROM IRAN AND BECAME A CITIZEN AND A LEADING MEMBER OF A
- 16 COMMUNITY. HIS DEATH IS A TRAGEDY AND A BIG LOSS AND WE'VE
- 17 OFFERED A 10,000-DOLLAR REWARD FOR ANY INFORMATION LEADING TO
- 18 THE ARREST AND CONVICTION OF THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR HIS DEATH.
- 19 DON DYE, WHO SERVED AS PRESIDENT OF THE PINE CREST SCHOOLS, A
- 20 CO-EDUCATIONAL PRIVATE SCHOOL SYSTEM WHICH WAS FOUNDED BY HIS
- 21 MOTHER, EDNA, IN 1951. HE WAS A RESERVE CAPTAIN ON THE EL
- 22 MONTE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR EIGHT YEARS, A CIVIL WAR HISTORY
- 23 BUFF AND OUITE INVOLVED IN THE COMMUNITY AND PROVIDING
- 24 OPPORTUNITIES, EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE STUDENTS IN
- 25 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. CLARENCE "CHET" CHEDSEY, A LONG-TIME AGUA



- 1 DOLCE RESIDENT. HE AND HIS WIFE WERE HONORED AS THE 1983
- 2 FAMILY OF THE YEAR AND AGAIN IN 2000 AS THE PIONEER FAMILY OF
- 3 THE YEAR. PHILIP BUZA OF LANCASTER. PHILIP WAS BORN DEAF AND
- 4 GRADUATED FROM THE MICHIGAN SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF, WORKED FOR
- 5 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATER AND POWER FOR 29 YEARS. JOSEPH
- 6 FIDLEY, RETIRED DEPUTY SHERIFF, PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 85.
- 7 HE SERVED THE DEPARTMENT FOR OVER 30 YEARS. DAVID PIQUETTE, WE
- 8 HAD DONE, OUR SHERIFF'S DEPUTY. SERGEANT SCOTT HANSON OF
- 9 COVINA WHO WAS HIRED AS A POLICE OFFICER FOR THE COVINA POLICE
- 10 DEPARTMENT IN '83, CONTINUED WORKING THERE FOR 21 YEARS,
- 11 WORKED AS A PATROL OFFICER, DETECTIVE SENIOR OFFICER AND
- 12 PATROL SERGEANT, WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN IMPROVING THE VERONICA
- 13 PARK IN THE '90S AND WORKING ECONOMIC CRIMES FOR EIGHT YEARS.
- 14 CRAIG SCOTT, RESIDENT OF LANCASTER, FORMERLY A L.A. COUNTY
- 15 DEPUTY SHERIFF AND THEN RETIRED AS DIRECTOR OF BUILDING AND
- 16 SAFETY FOR THE CITY OF PALMDALE. ALICE MARIE "ALI" SCHLICHER,
- 17 WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 57 FROM THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. AND
- 18 JUNE ALLYSON, THE FAMOUS ACTRESS WHO PASSED AWAY THE DAY
- 19 BEFORE YESTERDAY. SO SECONDED BY BURKE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 20 ORDERED. CALL UP ITEM NUMBER 9. LET ME ASK COUNTY COUNSEL, HOW
- 21 IS THIS PROPOSAL MORE RESTRICTIVE OR HOW IS IT LESS
- 22 RESTRICTIVE THAN SENATE BILL 8, WHICH HAS PROHIBITIONS AGAINST
- 23 LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS FROM ACTING AS A PAID AGENT OR
- 24 ATTORNEY?



- 1 LEELA KAPUR: MR. MAYOR, THE-- OUR PROPOSAL IS MORE RESTRICTIVE
- 2 THAN THE SENATE BILL IN SEVERAL KEY WAYS. FIRST OF ALL, THE
- 3 SENATE BILL ONLY APPLIES TO ELECTED OFFICIALS AND THE CHIEF
- 4 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, WHEREAS OUR PROPOSAL APPLIES TO THE
- 5 ELECTED OFFICIALS AND ALL DESIGNATED EMPLOYEES.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO APPLIES TO ALL OFFICIALS, WHEREAS--
- 8 I SHOULD SAY APPLIES TO ALL EMPLOYEES, WHEREAS SECTION--
- 9 SENATE BILL 8 ONLY APPLIES TO ELECTED OFFICIALS?

10

- 11 LEELA KAPUR: IT APPLIES TO ALL DESIGNATED EMPLOYEES. THOSE ARE
- 12 THE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE REQUIRED TO FILE FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE
- 13 FORMS EVERY YEAR, SO THAT'S A FEW THOUSAND OF OUR EMPLOYEES.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND DOES THE ORDINANCE HAVE A LIFETIME
- 16 BAN ON LOBBYING UNDER SPECIFIC CIRCUMSTANCES AND, IF SO, WHAT
- 17 ARE THOSE?

18

- 19 LEELA KAPUR: THE ORDINANCE HAD A PERMANENT BAN WHICH APPLIES
- 20 TO EVERYBODY WHO IS GOVERNED BY THE ORDINANCE AND IT PRECLUDES
- 21 THESE INDIVIDUALS FOR LOBBYING ON ANY ITEM THAT THEY WERE
- 22 PERSONALLY AND SUBSTANTIVELY INVOLVED IN WHILE IN COUNTY
- 23 EMPLOYMENT.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO IT DOES NOT HAVE A LIFETIME BAN ON

2 LOBBYING, ONLY IF THEY WORKED ON A SPECIFIC ISSUE?

3

4 LEELA KAPUR: CORRECT.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DOES SENATE BILL 8 CONTAIN A LIFETIME
- 7 BAN?

8

- 9 LEELA KAPUR: NO. SENATE BILL 8 ONLY CONTAINS A ONE-YEAR BAN
- 10 FOR THE INDIVIDUALS THAT IT COVERS.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND WHAT YEAR BAN DOES THE-- THIS
- 13 PROPOSAL HAVE?

14

- 15 LEELA KAPUR: OUR ORDINANCE HAS A ONE YEAR BAN FOR THE ELECTED
- 16 OFFICIALS AND THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER. FOR ONE YEAR,
- 17 THEY MAY NOT LOBBY ON ANY-- THEY MAY NOT LOBBY ANY COUNTY
- 18 AGENCY ON ANY ITEM. FOR THE DESIGNATED EMPLOYEES, THEY MAY NOT
- 19 LOBBY THEIR AGENCY, THE AGENCY THAT THEY WERE ASSIGNED TO OR
- 20 EMPLOYED BY, FOR ONE YEAR.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: DOES THE ORDINANCE LIMIT FORMER BOARD
- 23 MEMBERS AND STAFF FROM LOBBYING ALL BOARD OFFICES OR ONLY THE
- 24 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT THEY WORKED IN?



- 1 LEELA KAPUR: THE ORDINANCE DOESN'T ADDRESS THAT. THAT IS
- 2 ADDRESSED IN THE RULES THAT ARE BEFORE YOU TODAY AND, AS
- 3 WRITTEN, THE RULES WOULD PRECLUDE A BOARD DEPUTY FROM LOBBYING
- 4 ONLY THEIR FORMER OFFICE OR THE BOARD AS A WHOLE IF THEIR
- 5 SUPERVISOR IS PRESENT AT THAT TIME.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT'S A MAJOR LOOPHOLE IN THAT IF YOU
- 8 HAVE AN ORDINANCE THAT'S AGAINST CONFLICTS OF INTEREST OR
- 9 POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, IT OUGHT TO APPLY TO ALL SUCH
- 10 LOBBYING AND NOT JUST BE RESTRICTED TO ONE PERSON OR ONE
- 11 OFFICE.

12

13 **LEELA KAPUR:** SUPERVISOR, IT CERTAINLY...

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S NOT WHAT SHE SAID, UNLESS I
- 16 MISUNDERSTOOD. I THINK YOU ASKED A QUESTION, SHE ANSWERED IT
- 17 AND YOU ASSUMED THAT IT WAS A DIFFERENT ANSWER BECAUSE I'VE
- 18 READ YOUR AMENDMENT. CAN I JUST, ON THAT, INTERJECT? I THOUGHT
- 19 I HEARD YOU SAY THAT A STAFF MEMBER COULD NOT LOBBY THE OFFICE
- 20 FOR WHICH THEY WORKED OR THE BOARD AS A WHOLE-- WELL, WHAT DID
- 21 YOU SAY?

- 23 LEELA KAPUR: THEY CANNOT LOBBY THE OFFICE FOR WHICH THEY
- 24 WORKED, THE SUPERVISOR FOR WHOM THEY WORKED OR THE BOARD AS A



- 1 WHOLE AT A MEETING SUCH AS THIS IF THEIR FORMER SUPERVISOR IS
- 2 PRESENT.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT INDIVIDUALLY THEY CAN?

5

- 6 LEELA KAPUR: INDIVIDUALLY, THEY COULD LOBBY THE REMAINING FOUR
- 7 BOARD OFFICES. JUST SO YOU KNOW, JUST SO I CAN LET YOU KNOW...

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND THAT'S WHY I'D LIKE TO AMEND THE
- 10 PROPOSAL AND AMEND IT TO READ COUNTY AGENCY MEANS ANY
- 11 DEPARTMENT, OFFICE, BOARD, COMMISSION OR OTHER AGENCY OF THE
- 12 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES FOR PURPOSES OF THE ONE-YEAR LOBBYING
- 13 RESTRICTION OF SECTION 2175020 OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE.
- 14 THE COUNTY AGENCY OF A FORMER EMPLOYEE OF A MEMBER OF THE
- 15 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IS DEEMED TO BE ALL SUPERVISORIAL
- 16 DISTRICTS AND SUPERVISORS, ANY COMMITTEE OF WHICH A SUPERVISOR
- 17 IS A MEMBER OR THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THAT CLARIFIES
- 18 THAT.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT COMMITTEE ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT?

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IT TALKS-- WHAT THEY'RE REFERRING TO
- 23 IS THAT AN INDIVIDUAL IS NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO LOBBY
- 24 OUTSIDE-- LET'S SAY WILL NOT BE ABLE TO LOBBY SUPERVISORS OR
- 25 OFFICES OF THE SUPERVISORS FOR A ONE-YEAR TIME FRAME. RIGHT



1 NOW, THEY CAN ONLY-- THEY'RE PROHIBITED FROM LOBBYING ONLY THE

2 OFFICE THEY WORKED FOR.

3

- 4 LEELA KAPUR: SUPERVISOR, IF I MAY, WE GOT THAT LANGUAGE FROM
- 5 THE CITIES ORDINANCE...

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND ON THE COMMITTEE-- LET ME JUST
- 8 SAY, IN SECTION 204, THAT'S THE LANGUAGE THAT YOU HAVE WHERE
- 9 YOU SAY ANY COMMITTEE.

10

- 11 LEELA KAPUR: CORRECT. AND, AGAIN, THAT WAS TAKEN FROM THE
- 12 CITY'S LANGUAGE. I RECOGNIZE THAT CURRENTLY YOU DO NOT OPERATE
- 13 UNDER A COMMITTEE SYSTEM BUT IF, IN THE FUTURE, YOU EVER WERE
- 14 TO APPOINT A COMMITTEE, THEN IT WOULD APPLY IN THAT CASE.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: WOULD THAT APPLY, FOR INSTANCE, TO L.A.F.C.O. OR
- 17 SOME OTHER SANITATION?

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OR THE MTA OR SCAG?

20

- 21 LEELA KAPUR: NO, THIS WOULD APPLY-- IT WOULD NOT APPLY TO THE
- 22 MTA OR SCAG AS THOSE ARE SEPARATE ENTITIES, AS IS L.A.F.C.O.

23

24 SUP. BURKE: HOW ABOUT L.A.F.C.O.?



1 **LEELA KAPUR:** NO. I BELIEVE L.A.F.C.O. IS A SEPARATE 2 GOVERNMENT.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE: THE COMMITTEE THEY'RE REFERRING TO IS IF WE HAD
- 5 COMMITTEE...

6

7 LEELA KAPUR: RIGHT. WHICH YOU, I RECOGNIZE, NORMALLY DO NOT.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BECAUSE THAT'S THE LANGUAGE OF THE
- 10 CITY OF L.A. THEY HAVE COMMITTEES.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I GUESS COMMITTEE SOMETIMES IS INTERPRETED
- 13 AS COMMISSION BUT IT WOULD NOT BE IN THIS CASE?

14

15 **LEELA KAPUR: CORRECT.**

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL THEN WHY IS COMMITTEE IN HERE OTHER
- 18 THAN YOU LIFTED IT FROM THE SAME LANGUAGE THAT APPLIES TO
- 19 CITIES?

20

- 21 LEELA KAPUR: THE ONLY REASON WE KEPT IT IN THERE IS IN CASE,
- 22 IN THE FUTURE, YOU EVER CHOOSE TO ESTABLISH WHAT YOU MIGHT
- 23 WANT TO CALL A SUBCOMMITTEE OF YOUR BOARD FOR A SPECIFIC
- 24 REASON OR ISSUE.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME THAT WAS DONE?

2

3 LEELA KAPUR: IT HAS NOT BEEN DONE IN A LONG TIME, SUPERVISOR.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHY-- RATHER THAN LEAVE AN AMBIGUITY, WHY
- 6 DON'T YOU JUST TAKE THAT WORD OUT?

7

8 LEELA KAPUR: THAT'S FINE.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WE CAN REVISIT IT IF WE EVER ESTABLISH A
- 11 COMMITTEE. I DON'T THINK THERE'S EVER BEEN A COMMITTEE OF THE
- 12 BOARD.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I ACCEPT THAT AS A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT.
- 15 OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THEN IT WOULD READ, "IS DEEMED TO BE ALL
- 18 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS..."

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND SUPERVISORS-- DELETE ANY
- 21 COMMITTEE...

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT DOESN'T MAKE ENGLISH SENSE. HELP ME OUT
- 24 ON THIS, LEELA. A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DEEMED TO
- 25 BE ALL SUPERVISORIAL-- A FORMER EMPLOYEE OF A MEMBER OF THE



- 1 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IS DEEMED TO BE ALL SUPERVISORIAL
- 2 DISTRICTS...

3

- 4 LEELA KAPUR: WHAT IT REALLY READS IS THE COUNTY AGENCY IS
- 5 DEEMED TO BE ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS AND SUPERVISORS, SO
- 6 YOU CAN GET BOTH THE OFFICE AND THE INDIVIDUAL SUPERVISOR...

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. THE COUNTY AGENCY OF FORMER EMPLOYEE
- 9 OF A MEMBER OF THE BOARD IS TO BE-- IS DEEMED TO BE ALL
- 10 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS...

11

12 LEELA KAPUR: AND ALL SUPERVISORS.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHY DON'T YOU SAY, AND "ALL SUPERVISORS,
- 15 COMMA, OF WHICH A SUPERVISOR IS A MEMBER?"

16

- 17 LEELA KAPUR: NO, TO BE ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS AND ALL
- 18 SUPERVISORS OR THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND YOU CAN SAY IN ITS
- 19 ENTIRETY OR IN ITS WHOLE, IF YOU WOULD LIKE. WHAT YOU'RE
- 20 TRYING TO DO IS EACH SUPERVISORIAL OFFICE, EACH INDIVIDUAL
- 21 SUPERVISOR AND THE BOARD AS A WHOLE.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. SO THEN YOU WOULD STRIKE, "ANY
- 24 COMMITTEE OF WHICH A SUPERVISOR IS A MEMBER." I SEE. THAT'S
- 25 WHERE I WAS CONFUSED. SO IT WOULD READ, TO BE ALL



- 1 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS AND ALL SUPERVISORS OR THE BOARD OF
- 2 SUPERVISORS IN ITS ENTIRETY.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT'S FINE.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS NEED TO BE ALL
- 7 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS AND EACH SUPERVISOR OR THE BOARD OF
- 8 SUPERVISORS IN ITS ENTIRETY. I THAT SAYS WHAT YOU SAID.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT'S FINE. OKAY. SECONDED BY
- 11 YAROSLAVSKY. ANY OBJECTION? SO ORDERED. THE SHERIFF IS HERE AT
- 12 THIS TIME. I'D CALL...

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE: THE SHERIFF IS HERE. WE'RE GOING TO ADDRESS ALL
- 15 THE ISSUES, THE NUMEROUS ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, IS THAT CORRECT?
- OR YOU'RE GOING TO TAKE THEM ONE BY ONE? ALSO, THEY INTERTWINE
- 17 HERE.

18

19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THERE ARE QUITE A FEW.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I DO MY ADJOURNMENTS?

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SURE.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 2 ANGELICA GALLEGOS BARRERAS, WHO IS A BELOVED GREAT-GRANDMOTHER
- 3 OF MY STAFF MEMBER, DESTINY FLORES. MS. BARRERAS WAS BORN IN
- 4 NEW MEXICO, MOVED TO CALIFORNIA IN 1943. SHE IS SURVIVED BY
- 5 HER 10 CHILDREN AND, REMARKABLY, 37 GRANDCHILDREN AND 75
- 6 GREAT- GRANDCHILDREN AND 13 GREAT, GREAT GRANDCHILDREN AND 13
- 7 GREAT-GREAT-GRANDCHILDREN. WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR DEEPEST
- 8 CONDOLENCES TO DESTINY AND HER FAMILY. I'D ALSO LIKE THAT WE
- 9 ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF JUDGE ALBERT PENA, ONE OF THE
- 10 ORIGINAL FOUNDERS OF THE MEXICAN-AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND
- 11 EDUCATION FUND. HE SERVED AS PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE SAN
- 12 ANTONIO MUNICIPAL COURT FROM 1982 TO 1992. JUDGE PENA WAS A
- 13 LATINO CIVIL RIGHTS PIONEER AND A PUBLIC SERVANT TO DEDICATE
- 14 HIS LIFE TO SEEKING QUALITY FOR THE LATINO COMMUNITY. HIS WORK
- 15 TO SEGREGATE SCHOOLS, IMPLEMENT CIVIL RIGHTS LAWS AND FIGHT
- 16 DISCRIMINATION WILL NEVER BE FORGOTTEN AND WE WANT TO HONOR
- 17 HIS LEGACY AND SO WE WANT TO EXTEND OUR CONDOLENCES TO HIS
- 18 FAMILY. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SECONDED, WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO

- 21 ORDERED.
- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. MAYOR?
- 25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MR. JANSSEN.

24

22



- 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: COULD I FRAME THIS ISSUE FOR A MINUTE BEFORE
- 3 THE SHERIFF COMES UP TO TESTIFY? I FEAR THAT THIS IS GOING TO
- 4 BE A VERY-- COULD BE A VERY COMPLICATED ISSUE WITH ALL OF THE
- 5 OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES BEFORE YOU BUT IF I COULD SUMMARIZE
- 6 WHERE I THINK WE ARE IN TERMS OF THE INFORMATION THAT YOU
- 7 HAVE. ITEMS-- AS SUPERVISOR KNABE INDICATED, ITEMS 38 THROUGH
- 8 42 ARE ALL SEPARATE PREVIOUS BOARD REFERRALS TO THIS
- 9 DISCUSSION HAVING TO DO EITHER WITH AN E.I.R. FOR SYBIL BRAND,
- 10 A JAIL FACILITY'S MASTER PLAN, CANCELLATION OF A STATE
- 11 CONTRACT OR THE AUDITOR'S REPORT ON THAT STATE CONTRACT. SO
- 12 THAT'S-- THOSE ARE ITEMS 38 THROUGH 42. S-1 IS A DISCUSSION OF
- 13 A JAIL FACILITIES MASTER PLAN, WHICH WAS DELIVERED TO YOU
- 14 FORMALLY JUST THIS MORNING. SO WE HAVE THAT ISSUE. AND
- 15 THIRDLY, IN TERMS OF THE MONEY IN THE BUDGET, YOU HAVE SET
- 16 ASIDE \$168 MILLION IN A CAPITAL BUDGET FOR USE IN CONSTRUCTION
- 17 OF YET TO BE DETERMINED FACILITIES. YOU HAVE SET ASIDE \$21
- 18 MILLION FOR ONE-TIME EXPENDITURES FOR SECURITY AS YET
- 19 UNDETERMINED IN THE JAILS. AND YOU HAVE SET ASIDE \$25 MILLION
- 20 OF ONGOING RESOURCES FOR AS YET UNDETERMINED PURPOSE. SO
- 21 THAT'S THE MONEY SIDE OF IT. THE SPREADSHEET THAT YOU HAVE IN
- 22 FRONT OF YOU WAS DEVELOPED BY MY OFFICE AND THE SHERIFF'S
- 23 DEPARTMENT. THERE ARE SIX OPTIONS IDENTIFIED BUT THERE CAN BE
- 24 ANY NUMBER OF OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO YOU. IT LITERALLY PROVIDES
- 25 THE COST, BOTH CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATIONS, FOR SPECIFIC ITEMS



- 1 THAT WE CONSOLIDATED INTO VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES. IT CAN BE
- 2 PICKED APART, MOVED AROUND, DEPENDING ON HOW MUCH MONEY YOU
- 3 WANT TO SPEND ON CONSTRUCTION, HOW MUCH YOU WANT TO SPEND ON
- 4 OPERATIONS. THERE'S A FURTHER EXPLORATION, IF YOU WILL, OF A
- 5 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND MEASURE, SHOULD YOU WISH TO DO THAT.
- 6 THAT COULD PAY FOR PART OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF SOME OF THE
- 7 OPTIONS, ANY OF THE OPTIONS. IF NOT THAT, WE COULD LOOK AT
- 8 ISSUING OUR OWN BONDS, WHICH WOULD REQUIRE A DEBT SERVICE. SO
- 9 YOU HAVE ALL OF THESE PIECES AS PART OF THOSE VARIOUS OPTIONS.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT ONE OPTION THAT YOU HAVE LEFT OUT
- 12 IS A PAY AS YOU GO SCHEDULE. FOR THE SIX SCENARIOS, HAVE A
- 13 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FINANCING OPTION, INCLUDING SCENARIO
- 14 THREE, SO WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE INCREASED PERCENTAGE OF TIME
- 15 WOULD BE TO REDUCE THE EARLY RELEASE AND, EVEN WITH THE
- 16 SCENARIO WHICH ADDS THE MOST NUMBER OF BEDS BY 5,542, IT WILL
- 17 STILL NOT BE ENOUGH TO ADDRESS ALL OF THE PRIORITIES
- 18 IDENTIFIED ABOVE. SO YOU'RE NOW SAYING THAT ADDITIONAL
- 19 PROGRAMS WILL BE NECESSARY TO BETTER ADDRESS THE EARLY RELEASE
- 20 AND OVERCROWDING, SUCH AS THE INCREASE OF ELECTRONIC
- 21 MONITORING PROGRAM.

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK, SUPERVISOR, MR. MAYOR, ALL YOU HAVE
- 24 TO DO, IN THE FAR RIGHT COLUMN OF EVERY ITEM IS THE ASSOCIATED
- 25 DEBT SERVICE WITH THAT ITEM, SO SHOULD THE BOARD NOT PUT AN



- 1 ITEM ON THE BALLOT, FOR EXAMPLE, THE MOST EXPENSIVE OPTION IS
- 2 SCENARIO ONE. YOU WOULD SIMPLY ADD \$54.8 MILLION, THAT'S THE
- 3 DEBT SERVICE COST OF FUNDING THAT OPTION IN LIEU OF A G.O.
- 4 BOND, SO YOU WOULD TAKE THE OPERATING COST ESTIMATE OF 126
- 5 MILLION, YOU'D ADD 54 MILLION TO IT. SO, AS I SAID, WE'RE NOT
- 6 RECOMMENDING THAT YOU DO ONE OR THE OTHER. ALL THE PIECES ARE
- 7 HERE. YOU NEED TO TELL US WHAT YOU WANT TO DO AND WE CAN TELL
- 8 YOU HOW MUCH IT'S GOING TO COST.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: HAVING A PAY AS YOU GO SHEET WITHOUT
- 11 EXTRAPOLATING FIGURES HERE AND THERE WOULD MAKE IT A LOT
- 12 EASIER FOR THE BOARD TO DEAL WITH THE ISSUE.

13

14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I BELIEVE THE INFORMATION IS...

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OH, IT'S THERE BUT NOT IT'S NOT IN THE
- 17 SAME SHEET THAT YOU HAVE THE OTHER INFORMATION. THAT'S ALL I'M
- 18 SAYING.

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ONE LAST COMMENT AND THEN I'LL TURN IT OVER TO
- 21 THE SHERIFF. A KEY TO ALL OF THIS-- WELL, A COUPLE OF THINGS.
- 22 ONE, THE SECURITY PLAN, WE HAVE A DRAFT THAT WE RECEIVED
- 23 TODAY. SO, ON THE 21 MILLION, WE'LL COME BACK AT A LATER BOARD
- 24 MEETING TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION. THE REAL HEART OF ALL OF
- 25 THESE OPTIONS IS HOW DO WE GET THE WOMEN OUT OF C.R.D.F.? THAT



- 1 REALLY IS AT THE HEART BECAUSE THERE 1,460 HIGH SECURITY CELLS
- 2 AT C.R.D.F. WE CAN ACCESS THOSE BY MOVING THE WOMEN SOMEWHERE
- 3 ELSE. THEY CAN EITHER GO TO SYBIL, THEY CAN GO TO SYBIL AND
- 4 PITCHESS OR THEY CAN GO TO PITCHESS. WE'RE NOT ABLE, SURPRISE,
- 5 SURPRISE, TO CITE NEW FACILITIES OUTSIDE OF PROPERTY WE
- 6 ALREADY OWN. THAT'S JUST NOT GOING TO HAPPEN. SO THE OPTIONS
- 7 REALLY ARE SOMEWHAT LIMITED BUT THE KEY TO ALL OF THIS IS
- 8 MOVING THE WOMEN OUT OF C.R.D.F. SO, WITH THAT, LET ME TURN IT
- 9 OVER TO SHERIFF BACA.

- 11 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THANK YOU, MR. JANSSEN AND GOOD AFTERNOON,
- 12 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, MR. MAYOR. WITH ME I HAVE CHIEF MARC
- 13 KLUGMAN OF OUR CORRECTIONAL SERVICES DIVISION AND CHIEF SAMMY
- 14 JONES FROM OUR CUSTODY DIVISION. THEY, ALONG WITH OUR STAFF,
- 15 AND THE C.A.O. STAFF AND SOME REPRESENTATIVES FROM ACTUALLY
- 16 YOUR STAFFS HAVE BEEN LOOKING AT THIS PROGRESSIVELY, ALONG
- 17 WITH MR. RAMPULA, MR. TANAKA, ASSISTANT SHERIFF, AS YOU KNOW,
- 18 FOR MONTHS. AND I APOLOGIZE TO YOU FOR COMING AT THIS HOUR,
- 19 ALTHOUGH I BELIEVE THAT OUR TIME SPENT WAS WELL SPENT BECAUSE
- 20 THE COMPLEXITY OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY JAIL SYSTEM IS UNLIKE
- 21 ANY OTHER JAIL SYSTEM IN AMERICA TODAY AND I COMMEND YOU FOR
- 22 THE WORK THAT YOU HAVE DONE IN SUPPORTING THIS JAIL SYSTEM,
- 23 EVEN IN THE TOUGHEST TIMES THAT WE'VE HAD RECENTLY WITH OUR
- 24 BUDGET/RECESSION PROBLEMS. THE REPORT IS COMPLEX, THERE'S NO
- 25 QUESTION ABOUT IT. I WANT TO COMMEND THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE FOR



- 1 SUMMARIZING ITS CONTENT IN A WAY THAT OFFERS VARIOUS
- 2 SCENARIOS. WE DO BELIEVE THAT SCENARIO 3 IS PERHAPS THE ONE
- 3 THAT HAS THE MOST VIABILITY FOR THE IMMEDIATE PURPOSE. I DO
- 4 THINK, HOWEVER, TO BE CLEAR TO YOU, THAT WE ARE DOING
- 5 SOMETHING FOR THE FUTURE TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEM OF TODAY IN
- 6 THIS REPORT. THAT, EVEN WHEN WE DO WHAT WE ARE INTENDING TO DO
- 7 WITH, SAY, PROPOSAL 3, ALL WE ARE DOING IS SAYING WE'RE FIXING
- 8 TODAY'S PROBLEMS. THE SITUATION OF EARLY RELEASE WE THINK CAN
- 9 BE ADDRESSED MORE APPROPRIATELY THROUGH A ANKLE MONITORING
- 10 SYSTEM AND THAT SYSTEM WOULD THEREFORE ALLOW FOR SOME
- 11 SUPERVISION TO OCCUR FOR AS MANY AS 12 OR 1,300 INMATES AND IT
- 12 COULD GROW EVEN BEYOND THAT. RATHER THAN WADE THROUGH THE
- 13 COMPLEXITY OF EACH PART IN AN ORAL PRESENTATION, I WOULD ASK
- 14 THAT YOU SPEND A FEW MOMENTS LOOKING AT SCENARIO 3, SEE HOW
- 15 THAT RESONATES WITH YOU, AND THEN, FOR ANY OTHER ITEM IN THIS
- 16 PLAN THAT MAY OF BE CONCERN TO YOU OR EVEN NEW SUGGESTIONS
- 17 THAT MAY COME TO MIND, THAT I AND MY STAFF ARE HERE TO RESPOND
- 18 TO YOUR CONCERNS. AND I THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP.

- 20 SUP. KNABE: MR. MAYOR? I'LL JUST BEGIN WITH A CLARIFICATION,
- 21 MAYBE, ON THE SYBIL BRAND ISSUE. WE SET ASIDE, YOU KNOW, THIS
- 22 BOARD SET THE FUNDING ASIDE IN THE BUDGET TO REOPEN THAT
- 23 FACILITY. WHEN SYBIL CLOSED, IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WE
- 24 HAD 1,800 BEDS. UNDER SCENARIO TWO AND THREE, IT INDICATES
- 25 THAT IT WOULD ONLY REOPEN 1,000 BEDS AT SYBIL. SO THERE'S \$168



- 1 MILLION THAT WE APPROVED FOR THE REFURBISHMENT OF SYBIL, COVER
- 2 1,800 BEDS. IF SO, THEN WHY WOULD THE TWO SCENARIOS SUGGEST
- 3 1,000 BEDS? AND WHY DOESN'T SCENARIO 4 NOT EVEN INCLUDING
- 4 REOPENING SYBIL?

5

- 6 SHERIFF LEE BACA: VERY GOOD QUESTION. I THINK THAT'S A
- 7 STARTING POINT THAT WE BELIEVE IS ESSENTIAL TO WORK WITH, THAT
- 8 THE CAPACITY...

9

10 SUP. KNABE: A THOUSAND BEDS?

11

- 12 SHERIFF LEE BACA: NO-- YES, A THOUSAND BEDS. HOWEVER, THE
- 13 CAPACITY FOR THE FACILITY IS 1,800 BEDS AND IT CAN GROW TO
- 14 THAT, DEPENDING ON NEED. WHAT I'M TRYING TO DO...

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE: BUT IS THAT A COST ABOVE THE 168 MILLION, THEN? IS
- 17 THE 168 MILLION THAT WE'VE APPROVED FOR 1,000 OR FOR 1,800?

18

19 SHERIFF LEE BACA: NO.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: MAN I MAKE A CLARIFICATION? BECAUSE WE'VE NOT
- 22 APPROVED THE REOPENING OF SYBIL BRAND. WE HAVE ONLY SET ASIDE
- 23 MONEY. THAT'S ALL.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. MR. MAYOR, LET ME CLARIFY, BECAUSE I
- 2 BELIEVE SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS CORRECT. WE DID SET ASIDE 168
- 3 MILLION. IT WAS NOT IDENTIFIED FOR SYBIL, OKAY? THE PREVIOUS
- 4 REPORTS HAVE ATTACHED THE TWO BUT WHAT YOU DID DID NOT ATTACH
- 5 IT TO SYBIL, SO THAT'S ONE. ON YOUR QUESTION ABOUT THE COST OF
- 6 REOPENING, THE PROPOSAL 3, AND I'M NOT SURE ABOUT 4, BUT
- 7 PROPOSAL 3 HAS A THOUSAND BEDS AT SYBIL, A THOUSAND BEDS AT
- 8 PITCHESS FOR THE WOMEN SO THAT WE CAN HANDLE OVER 2,000
- 9 FEMALES. SYBIL CAN'T HANDLE, BY ITSELF, THE POPULATION OF
- 10 WOMEN THAT WE HAVE IN THE FACILITY SO THAT'S WHY WE WERE
- 11 LOOKING AT A BETTER UTILIZATION OF THE FACILITIES. IT WILL
- 12 COST MORE THAN THE 168 MILLION BECAUSE REOPENING SYBIL, I
- 13 THINK, IS 114 MILLION. A NEW WOMEN'S FACILITY IS 125. SO WE
- 14 WOULD HAVE TO COME UP WITH ABOUT \$80 MILLION ADDITIONAL TO
- 15 HAVE THE TWO SEPARATE WOMEN'S FACILITIES. WE DON'T REALLY
- 16 KNOW, OTHER THAN OUR BEST ESTIMATE, WHAT SYBIL IS GOING TO
- 17 COST UNTIL YOU GET INTO IT AND REOPEN IT, BUT IT WILL COST 80
- 18 MILLION MORE TO HAVE TWO FACILITIES FOR WOMEN. SYBIL CAN'T
- 19 HANDLE ALL THE WOMEN.

21 SUP. KNABE: TWO FACILITIES, BUT SHOULD WE APPROVE THE

- 22 REOPENING OF SYBIL, LET ME REPHRASE IT.
- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

25

23



- 1 SUP. KNABE: AND THE-- HEH-- AND THE 168 MILLION THAT HAS BEEN
- 2 SET ASIDE.

3

4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE: DISREGARDING THE TWO DIFFERENT FACILITIES BUT JUST
- 7 THE ONE FACILITY, IS THAT FOR 1,000 BEDS OR FOR 1,800 BEDS?

8

C.A.O. JANSSEN: OPTION 3 IS 1,000 BEDS.

10

11 SUP. BURKE: BUT THE 168, COULD I JUST ASK ONE QUESTION?

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: HAS THE WORK THAT WAS NECESSARY IN TERMS OF THE
- 16 LAND AND THE-- THE MAKING SURE THAT THERE WERE NOT SLIDES AND
- 17 ALL OF THAT, HAS THAT ALREADY BEEN DONE?

18

19 SHERIFF LEE BACA: YES.

- 21 SUP. BURKE: SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THIS MONEY, THIS IS ALL IN
- 22 TERMS OF REDOING THE STRUCTURE ITSELF AND REHABBING THE
- 23 STRUCTURE AND SO MY QUESTION REALLY IS HOW MUCH IS THE
- 24 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A THOUSAND BEDS AND 1,800 BEDS? IS IT A
- 25 MATTER OF WOULD IT TAKE MORE THAN THE 168?



1

- 2 SHERIFF LEE BACA: NO, IT WOULD NOT. YOU SEE, THE CAPACITY OF
- 3 THE FACILITY, WHEN IT WAS ORIGINALLY BUILT IN THE ERA THAT IT
- 4 WAS BUILT IN, WAS FOR ABOUT 960 WOMEN. WHEN WE DOUBLE BUNK THE
- 5 FACILITY, WE AUTOMATICALLY ADD MORE CAPACITY AND, THEREFORE,
- 6 WHATEVER THE REFURBISHMENT COSTS ARE, IT'S INCLUSIVE NO MATTER
- 7 IF THE NUMBER IS A THOUSAND, 1,200, 1,400, 1,600 AND
- 8 POTENTIALLY 1,800.

9

- 10 SUP. BURKE: SO THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE THOUSAND AND 1,800
- 11 RELATES TO DOUBLE BUNKING RATHER THAN ADDITIONAL FACILITY?

12

13 SHERIFF LEE BACA: CORRECT.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE: BUT IT IS POSSIBLE BECAUSE, UNDER SCENARIO ONE,
- 16 UNDER SCENARIO ONE, YOU SAY YOU CAN DO THE 1,800 BEDS.

17

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: FOR 168 MILLION. IT IS AN OPTION AVAILABLE TO
- 19 YOU AND, ON SCENARIO FOUR, SUPERVISOR, THAT WOULD HAVE ALL OF
- 20 THE WOMEN AT PITCHESS. THAT'S WHY REOPENING SYBIL IS NOT
- 21 INCLUDED. IT'S ANOTHER OPTION.

22

23 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.



1

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GO AHEAD. I'LL WAIT FOR YOU.

3

2

- 4 SAMMY JONES: ON THE 168 MILLION THAT WAS DESIGNATED,
- 5 ORIGINALLY THAT WAS FOR AN 1,800-BED SYBIL BRAND AND IT WAS
- 6 ALSO FOR AN ADDITIONAL FACILITY THERE OF 600 FOR OUR MENTAL
- 7 HEALTH FACILITY. SO THE ACTUAL SYBIL BRAND STRUCTURE, AS IT
- 8 EXISTS, I BELIEVE IS 109 MILLION TO BRING IT BACK. THE OTHER
- 9 59 MILLION WAS TO BUILD ANOTHER FACILITY THERE, WHICH WE ARE
- 10 NOT DOING NOW.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I HAVE A COUPLE OF JUST KIND OF DEFINITIONAL
- 13 QUESTIONS, MR. JANSSEN, LOOKING AT THESE CHARTS. I'M GOING TO
- 14 TAKE THE SHERIFF'S REQUEST TO HEART AND FOCUS ON, REALLY,
- 15 SCENARIO THREE AND THEN I WANT TO COMPARE IT TO SCENARIO SIX.

16

17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OKAY.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AM I CORRECT THAT, UNDER SCENARIO THREE, THE
- 20 TOTAL-- I THINK I SCREWED UP ALREADY-- TOTAL BEDS WOULD BE
- 21 22,327, IS THAT RIGHT?

22

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT IS CORRECT.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



```
SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND, UNDER SCENARIO SIX, TOTAL BEDS WOULD
1
2
    BE...
3
   C.A.O. JANSSEN: 20,919.
4
5
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 20,919, SO THAT'S A DIFFERENCE OF ABOUT 15,
6
7
    1,600, CORRECT?
8
   C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT.
10
11
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE COST ON ITEM-- ON SCENARIO THREE FOR THE
    22,300 BEDS WOULD BE A TOTAL-- A CAPITAL COST WOULD BE 557
12
13
   MILLION, CORRECT?
14
   C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT.
15
16
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THE TOTAL CAPITAL COST FOR THE 20,900
17
18
    BEDS IN SCENARIO SIX IS $252 MILLION, CORRECT?
19
20
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT.
21
22
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WOULD ONE WAY OF LOOKING AT THIS AND THE
23
    DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 557 MILLION AND 252 MILLION IS ABOUT $305
24
   MILLION, CORRECT?
```

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: GOOD. AND SO WE WOULD BE, FOR 1,600
- 4 ADDITIONAL BEDS, WE WOULD BE SPENDING OVER \$300 MILLION,
- 5 CORRECT?

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. I JUST-- MAYBE IT'S WORTH IT, MAYBE IT
- 10 ISN'T WORTH IT BUT, WHEN YOU START LOOKING AT COST, THAT'S
- 11 WHERE THE COST IS. AND I WANT-- GO AHEAD.

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND LET ME-- LET ME TELL YOU EXACTLY WHAT THE
- 14 DIFFERENCE IS AS WELL. A NEW MEDIUM SECURITY FACILITY FOR MEN
- 15 IS \$157 MILLION. A HIGH SECURITY POD, 384 BEDS, IS 147
- 16 MILLION. SO IT'S THOSE TWO FACILITIES THAT ARE INCLUDED IN
- 17 THREE AND NOT INCLUDED IN SIX. SO THAT'S EXPANSION CAPACITY
- 18 FOR THE JAIL.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU NEED-- WELL, WAIT A MINUTE NOW. I'VE
- 21 GOT THE FLOOR HERE. LET ME FINISH MY TRAIN OF THOUGHT HERE.
- 22 WHAT IS THE CURRENT SITUATION AT THE JAIL? DO YOU HAVE, IN
- 23 HIGH AND MEDIUM SECURITY BEDS-- I WAS INFORMED YESTERDAY THAT
- 24 THERE IS A SURPLUS AT THIS MOMENT, AM I CORRECT? WAS IT A
- 25 THOUSAND OR 2,000 BED SURPLUS? IT VARIES BUT...



1
2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT VARIES. ON 8S AND 9S?

3

- 4 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, BEAR IN MIND THAT THE HIGH SECURITY
- 5 BEDS IS WHAT WE HAVE THE GREATEST SCARCITY OF AND THE NUMBER I
- 6 THOUGHT WAS 1,600 OR SOMETHING THEREOF.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 1,600 WHAT?

9

- 10 SHERIFF LEE BACA: FOR THE WHOLE SYSTEM OF HIGH SECURITY SINGLE
- 11 MAN CELL TYPE HOUSING FOR THOSE INMATES WHO GIVE US THE
- 12 GREATEST HEADACHES. AND SO PART OF THIS BUILD-OUT PLAN THAT
- 13 YOU'RE ALLUDING TO TO INCREASE ANOTHER 700 OF THOSE TYPE HIGH
- 14 SECURITY CELLS IS WHAT'S DRIVING SOME OF THE SUBSTANTIAL COST
- 15 THAT YOU'VE OBSERVED. SEE, THOSE CELLS ALONE, IN THE SYSTEM OF
- 16 CONSTRUCTION, ARE THE MOST COSTLY.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND. IN SCENARIO SIX, IS THE 1,600
- 19 DIFFERENCE, MAYBE THIS IS WHAT YOU WERE TRYING TO SAY, IS THE
- 20 1,600 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SCENARIO THREE AND SCENARIO SIX ALL
- 21 IN HIGH SECURITY?

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO. IT'S-- IN MEDIUM SECURITY, A THOUSAND
- 24 BEDS, AND THEN 384, HIGH SECURITY. IT'S CHEAPER, I GUESS,
- 25 OPERATIONALLY, IT'S CHEAPER TO BUILD HIGH SECURITY THAN IT IS



- 1 MEDIUM SECURITY BECAUSE IT TAKES MORE STAFF. SO YOU SPEND MORE
- 2 FOR SMALLER AND-- BUT THAT'S THE DIFFERENCE, IS THOSE TWO
- 3 FACILITIES.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT ALSO IN SCENARIO SIX, IT DOESN'T
- 6 DEAL WITH THE OVERCROWDING OF MALE INMATES.

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT DOES NOT ADD ADDITIONAL CAPACITY.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND THAT'S WHERE WE HAVE THE PROBLEM.
- 11 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SORRY. NOT SO EASY. I WANT TO GO INTO THE
- 14 FINANCING ISSUE, MR. JANSSEN. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A GENERAL
- 15 OBLIGATION BOND AND A CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION, AM I
- 16 CORRECT, IS THAT THESE GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND REQUIRES A TWO-
- 17 THIRDS VOTE OF THE PEOPLE AND IT ADDS TO THE TAX? IT INCREASES
- 18 THE TAX.

19

20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT. IT DRAINS THE REVENUE STREAM WITH IT.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: FROM THE TAX, WHEREAS A CERTIFICATE OF
- 23 PARTICIPATION IS A FINANCING MECHANISM THAT DOES NOT ADD A NEW
- 24 REVENUE STREAM BUT REQUIRES US TO TAKE IT OUT OF WHATEVER OUR



- 1 GENERAL FUND REVENUE STREAM IS OVER THE LIFETIME OF THAT
- 2 BONDED INDEBTEDNESS, CORRECT?

3

4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT IS CORRECT.

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IT HAS TO COME OUT OF WHATEVER WE HAVE.

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND, AS THE GROWTH IN REVENUES, PROPERTY
- 11 TAX, SALES, WHATEVER THE GROWTH IS OVER THE NEXT 30 YEARS, IF
- 12 THAT'S THE TERM OF THIS DEAL, OF A CERTIFICATE OF
- 13 PARTICIPATION, THEN WHATEVER THE GROWTH IS IS HOW WE WOULD
- 14 FINANCE IT?

15

16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHICH, BY THE WAY, IS GOOD REASON TO BE
- 19 A LITTLE SENSITIVE ABOUT REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES IN OTHER
- 20 CITIES. JUST THOUGHT I'D GET THAT IN, BECAUSE THAT'S PART OF
- 21 OUR-- THAT'S PART OF OUR GROWTH IN REVENUES THAT OTHERS ARE
- 22 TRYING TO TAKE AWAY FROM US. AND YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT-- I
- 23 DIDN'T MENTION ANY NAMES. [LAUGHS]

24

25 SUP. BURKE: WELL, WE KNOW WHO YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. N.F.L. TEAM EARLY RELEASE. LET ME--2 3 ALL RIGHT. [LAUGHTER] ALL RIGHT. THE CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION ON SCENARIO 3 WOULD COST \$25 MILLION A YEAR? 4 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT IS CORRECT. 6 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TO FINANCE THE 300-SOME-ODD-- \$388 MILLION 8 IS WHAT YOU PROJECT TO BE FINANCED THERE? 10 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CORRECT. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT NOT NECESSARILY IN THE CONTEXT OF THE POLICY OF SCENARIO 3 BUT IN TERMS OF THE AMOUNT, IS THAT 14 SOMETHING THAT IS MANAGEABLE? HOW LONG IS A C.O.P.? IS THAT 15 16 FOR 20 OR 30 YEARS NOWADAYS? WHAT ARE THEY...? 17 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 25. 19 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: 25 YEARS? IS THAT RIGHT? 21 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 25 YEARS.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND IS THAT A REALISTIC-- IS THAT A
- 2 MANAGEABLE AMOUNT TO FINANCE OVER 25 YEARS? AND THAT WOULD BE
- 3 A CONSTANT FIGURE, CORRECT?

4

- 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I MEAN, IT'S REASONABLY CHEAP, ACTUALLY,
- 6 COMPARED TO WHAT IT USED TO BE IN THE OLD DAYS BECAUSE OF THE
- 7 INTEREST RATE. SO, YES, PROBABLY 25 MILLION IS MANAGEABLE BUT
- 8 YOU WANT TO LOOK AT IT IN CONCERT WITH THE \$123 ESTIMATED IN
- 9 OPERATING COSTS FOR SCENARIO THREE.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH IS A BIGGER ISSUE FOR US.

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, IT IS. AND YOU HAVE TO PUT THE TWO OF
- 14 THEM TOGETHER IF YOU'RE LOOKING AT HOW YOU'RE GOING TO PAY FOR
- 15 SCENARIO 3 WITHOUT A BOND, IT'S 123 PLUS 2,500 AND \$58 MILLION
- 16 ANNUALLY.

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK IT'S-- I THINK WE HAVE A FINANCING--
- 19 A WAY FORWARD TO FINANCE IT EITHER WAY AND, AT LEAST ON THE
- 20 FRONT-- THE CAPITAL FINANCING SIDE OF IT, I THINK WE HAVE--
- 21 IT'S PROBABLY A MORE DIRECT WAY TO DO IT AND A MORE CERTAIN
- 22 WAY TO DO IT IS IF YOU'RE GOING TO FINANCE ANYTHING, IS TO--
- 23 IS THROUGH CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION. I DON'T THINK PAY AS
- 24 YOU GO-- YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE PLENTY OF PAY AS YOU GO IF YOU
- 25 DO ANY KIND OF A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN CAPACITY, AS YOU JUST



- 1 INDICATED IN THE OPERATION COSTS. WHEN YOU'RE TALKING 125
- 2 MILLION OR MORE TO OPERATE ANNUALLY ON TOP OF YOUR DEBT
- 3 SERVICE, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE PLENTY OF OPPORTUNITY TO
- 4 DEMONSTRATE YOUR ABILITY TO PAY AS YOU GO. AND I DON'T-- I
- 5 REALLY DON'T THINK THAT, ON THE CAPITAL PROJECT, WE FINANCE
- 6 PROJECTS ALL THE TIME AND WE'VE DONE IT IN THE PAST, CITIES DO
- 7 IT ALL THE TIME, PEOPLE DO IT IN THEIR HOMES, YOU TAKE OUT A
- 8 MORTGAGE AND YOU PAY IT OUT OVER TIME. IT'S AN INTELLIGENT WAY
- 9 TO FINANCE CAPITAL. I'M NOT INTIMIDATED BY THAT PIECE OF THE
- 10 ECONOMICS.

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ALL OF OUR COURTHOUSES ARE FINANCED THAT WAY,
- 13 WE'RE PAYING DEBT SERVICE ON ALL OF OUR NEW FACILITIES.

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO I THINK THAT-- I THINK-- I ALSO THINK
- 16 THAT, IN LIGHT OF THAT, I MEAN, DEPENDING, OBVIOUSLY, ON WHAT
- 17 THE OTHER BOARD MEMBERS DO BUT IF WE'RE NOT FOCUSED ON GOING
- 18 TO A GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND, WHICH WOULD REQUIRE US TO BE
- 19 PREPARED FOR AN ELECTION IN NOVEMBER, WE ARE-- THIS WAS THE
- 20 MONTH OF DECISION ON THAT ISSUE AND IF THAT'S NOT WHERE WE'RE
- 21 HEADED BECAUSE WE HAVE ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE OR PARTIALLY EVEN A
- 22 THIRD ALTERNATIVE, THEN THE TIME PRESSURE AT LEAST ON THAT
- 23 PORTION OF IT IS SOMEWHAT REMOVED, ALTHOUGH WE HAVE A TIME
- 24 PRESSURE GENERALLY TO GET THIS ON THE ROAD, WHICH I APPRECIATE
- 25 THE WORK THAT THE SHERIFF AND YOUR STAFF HAVE DONE. WHAT



- 1 BOTHERS ME, I HOPE WE'RE NOT GOING TO MAKE ANY FINAL DECISIONS
- 2 TODAY, I THINK WE REALLY NEED TO HAVE A DISCUSSION AND THEN
- 3 TRY TO FIGURE IT OUT, THE PROBLEM I'VE ALWAYS HAD WITH THIS
- 4 SINCE DAY ONE IS THAT THE NUMBERS KEEP CHANGING. IT'S A MOVING
- 5 TARGET. BEFORE THE BOARD SHOULD BE ASKED TO EITHER COMMIT TO A
- 6 SPECIFIC AMOUNT OF MONEY OR A SPECIFIC AMOUNT OF FINANCING OR
- 7 BOTH, WE NEED TO KNOW FOR SURE WHAT THE DEAL IS, WHAT THE COST
- 8 IS, WHAT THE-- WHAT THE RETURN IS, HOW MANY BEDS FOR SURE ARE
- 9 WE GOING TO GET OUT OF THIS, AND THAT THE NUMBERS ARE AS
- 10 ACCURATE AS POSSIBLE BECAUSE, JUST IN THE LAST 24 HOURS,
- 11 CERTAINLY, IN THE LAST FOUR OR FIVE DAYS, THE NUMBERS HAVE
- 12 BEEN MOVING AROUND AND IT'S A JIGSAW PUZZLE HERE, IT'S A 3-
- 13 DIMENSIONAL JIGSAW PUZZLE. AND I'M NOT BLAMING ANYBODY BECAUSE
- 14 YOU'RE UNDER A LOT OF PRESSURE TO DO A LOT OF THINGS, BUT IF
- 15 WE'RE NOT SHOOTING FOR A JULY 31ST OR WHATEVER THE DEADLINE
- 16 FOR A BALLOT MEASURE WAS, I THINK YOU HAVE THE TIME TO FOCUS
- 17 MORE ON THE REVENUE PIECE AND ON THE POLICY PIECE AND
- 18 SYNTHESIZE THOSE TOGETHER. I WANT TO COMMEND YOUR STAFF, EVEN
- 19 UNDER THE DURESS AND UNDER THE PRESSURE, YOU'VE GOT THIS,
- 20 YOU'VE GOT THE RUTHERFORD CASE THAT'S BEEN HANGING OVER YOUR
- 21 HEAD AND YOU MADE SOME SIGNIFICANT STRIDES IN THAT REGARD, I
- 22 THINK THAT SHERIFF SAID IT AT THE OUTSET, THIS IS AN
- 23 INCREDIBLY COMPLICATED ISSUE. THE JAIL SYSTEM IS FAR MORE
- 24 COMPLICATED THAN I EVER UNDERSTOOD IT UNTIL WE GOT INTO ALL OF
- 25 THIS IN THE LAST SIX MONTHS. ALL OF THE PIECES, THE CAPITAL



- 1 AND THE OPERATIONAL PIECES, THE TRANSPORT ISSUES, THE HIGH
- 2 SECURITY, MEDIUM SECURITY AND NOW WE HAVE A RACIAL ISSUE THAT
- 3 REARED ITS HEAD IN THE EARLY PART OF THIS YEAR. IT'S
- 4 COMPLICATED AND I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THE FOCUS YOU GUYS
- 5 HAVE PUT ON THIS. YOU'RE UNDER A LOT OF-- A LOT OF PRESSURE TO
- 6 PERFORM, BY US, BY A FEDERAL JUDGE, BY PLAINTIFFS IN A CASE
- 7 AND I THINK WE'RE MAKING SOME PROGRESS TOWARDS GETTING TO A
- 8 COMMON UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. THE KEY TO ME
- 9 IS, UNLESS WE WANT A FEDERAL JUDGE RUNNING OUR JAILS, WE HAVE
- 10 TO RUN OUR JAILS CONSTITUTIONALLY. THAT'S CLEAR. THAT'S OUR
- 11 LEGAL OBLIGATION, THAT'S THE OATH WE'VE BEEN SWORN-- THAT WE
- 12 SWORE TO UPHOLD WHEN WE TOOK THIS OFFICE. THAT MEANS YOU CAN'T
- 13 HAVE OVERCROWDED CONDITIONS, THAT MEANS THAT A SICK PRISONER
- 14 NEEDS TO GET MEDICAL ATTENTION, THAT MEANS THAT A MENTALLY ILL
- 15 PRISONER NEEDS TO GET MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES. IT'S THE WAY IT
- 16 IS AND WHETHER THE PRISONER IS A SUSPECT OR IS ON TRIAL OR
- 17 CONVICTED, EVEN A PRISONER IS ENTITLED TO THOSE THINGS UNDER
- 18 THE LAW AND WE NEED TO TAKE CARE OF THAT. AND I WOULD JUST
- 19 HOPE THAT, OUR OF ALL OF THIS, WHATEVER COMES OUT OF THIS,
- 20 THAT THERE COMES SOME KIND OF INSTITUTIONAL MANAGEMENT, WHICH
- 21 I THINK YOUR CURRENT TEAM HAS CERTAINLY BROUGHT TO THE TABLE,
- 22 LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT OF THIS SITUATION. WE'VE GOT SOME LONG-
- 23 TERM ISSUES THAT WE'VE GOT TO DEAL WITH, I WON'T GET INTO THAT
- 24 TODAY, BUT THIS IS A PROBLEM THAT'S GOING TO KEEP-- THE STATE
- 25 IS HAVING, OTHER COUNTIES ARE HAVING, NOT NEARLY TO THE EXTENT



- 1 WE ARE AND THERE ARE A LOT OF ISSUES THAT NEED TO BE
- 2 ADDRESSED. SO THAT'S ALL I'LL SAY FOR NOW.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE.

- 6 SUP. BURKE: YES. I ALSO WANT TO THANK YOU FOR COMING FORWARD
- 7 WITH THE ALTERNATIVES THAT WE HAVE BEFORE US AND I RECOGNIZE
- 8 THAT BASICALLY OUR JAIL IS PROBABLY EQUAL TO A MAXIMUM
- 9 SECURITY PRISON IN OTHER STATES. WHAT WE'RE DEALING WITH ARE,
- 10 IN MANY INSTANCES, ARE PEOPLE-- EVEN I UNDERSTAND NOW SOME OF
- 11 THE FEMALE PRISONERS REQUIRE SOME MAXIMUM SECURITY. SO WHAT WE
- 12 HAVE IS A VERY DISTINCT SITUATION, ONE OF WHICH WE RECOGNIZE
- 13 WE'RE NOT ADEQUATELY FUNDED FOR AND WE CAN GET INTO A WIDE
- 14 MYRIAD OF ISSUES IN TERMS OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT RESPONSIBILITY
- 15 AS WELL AS OUR TAKING ON SOME OF THOSE RESPONSIBILITIES THAT
- 16 ARE FAR BEYOND OUR ABILITY TO PAY. I HAVE A LITTLE BIT
- 17 DIFFERENT CONCERNS THAN WHAT SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY HAS
- 18 EXPRESSED. I'VE BEEN HERE AND, WHEN I FIRST CAME, I WAS SHOWN
- 19 TWIN TOWERS, I WAS SHOWN THE JUSTICE CENTER, NOW WE'RE CALLING
- 20 IT SOMETHING ELSE, BUT BOTH OF THOSE WERE NEW FACILITIES.
- 21 THERE IS NOTHING MORE EMBARRASSING THAN HAVING A NEW JAIL
- 22 THAT'S NOT USED. I RECOGNIZE THAT THE POPULATION CHANGES BUT
- 23 THE ONE THING I WANT TO FEEL VERY COMFORTABLE ABOUT IS THAT,
- 24 WHEN WE BUILD THESE, WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE SOMETHING THAT
- 25 SITS VACANT FOR TWO, THREE, FOUR YEARS BECAUSE WE DON'T



- 1 NECESSARILY HAVE THE PEOPLE, THE CUSTODIAN-- THE CUSTODY
- 2 ASSISTANTS OR THE SHERIFF DEPUTIES WHO CAN ACTUALLY MAKE SURE
- 3 IT OPERATES. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO GET SOME COMFORT IN IS THAT
- 4 THIS IS REALLY GOING TO BE A FACILITY THAT WE CAN PROVIDE
- 5 SHERIFF DEPUTIES AND CUSTODY ASSISTANTS THAT CAN OPERATE IT
- 6 AND THAT WE'RE NOT GOING TO FACE WHAT HAS BEEN AN EMBARRASSING
- 7 THING. I EVEN READ THAT, IN OREGON, THEY BUILT A JAIL THERE
- 8 AND THEY'VE NOT BEEN ABLE TO OPERATE IT. NOW, MY UNDERSTANDING
- 9 OF THE REASONS IN THE PAST WE'VE HAD THIS PROBLEMS WAS BECAUSE
- 10 WE SET THEM UP FOR MAXIMUM SECURITY AND THEN WE COULDN'T
- 11 PROVIDE THE NECESSARY SHERIFFS AND CUSTODY ASSISTANTS TO BE
- 12 ABLE TO PROVIDE FOR THOSE HIGH SECURITY. I SEE HERE WE'RE
- 13 LOOKING AT A NUMBER OF THINGS. WE'RE LOOKING AT MEDIUM
- 14 SECURITY, WE'RE LOOKING AT HIGH SECURITY BUT, AS WE CONSTRUCT
- 15 THESE NEW FACILITIES, WE NEED SOME ASSURANCE THAT EITHER THERE
- 16 ARE GOING TO BE THE NECESSARY DEPUTIES TO OPERATE THEM OR THAT
- 17 THERE'S FLEXIBILITY IN THE DESIGN SO THAT IT CAN BE UTILIZED
- 18 FOR NOT JUST THE 8S AND 9S OR IT CAN BE UTILIZED FOR LESSER
- 19 PRISONERS. WE NEED SOME KIND OF ASSURANCE IN TERMS OF THE
- 20 FUTURE OF THAT FACILITY. NOW, ALSO, I GUESS CRIMINOLOGY
- 21 CHANGES AND THE WAY PEOPLE APPROACH CUSTODY CHANGES. I KNOW
- 22 THAT WE WERE EXPECTING TO HAVE AN AUDIT THAT WOULD SHOW US
- 23 EXACTLY WHAT THE REQUIREMENTS WERE, BASED UPON THE EXISTING
- 24 POPULATION, AND I WOULD HOPE THAT, AS PART OF OUR APPROVING
- 25 THE FINANCE FOR THIS, WE WOULD GET SOME KIND OF OUTSIDE



- 1 ASSURANCES THAT THE SCENARIOS ARE SUCH THAT IT'S MOVING IN A
- 2 METHOD OF WHERE THERE IS FLEXIBILITY OR OF WHAT IT REFLECTS AT
- 3 LEAST FOR A CERTAIN PERIOD OF TIME WE CAN EXPECT THE
- 4 POPULATION TO BE. AND I'D LIKE TO GET SOME INFORMATION ABOUT
- 5 THAT. IS THERE FLEXIBILITY OR ARE YOU ABSOLUTELY SURE WE'RE
- 6 NOT GOING TO GET BACK TO TWIN TOWER, JUSTICE CENTER SCENARIOS?

- 8 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, IF I MAY, THANK YOU, THE TWIN TOWER
- 9 PROBLEM ESSENTIALLY WAS, AT THE TIME THAT THE CONSTRUCTION WAS
- 10 COMPLETED AND, AS YOU KNOW, THE FINANCING FOR THAT CAME FROM A
- 11 STATE MEASURE THAT THE TAXPAYERS PASSED, THE COUNTY DID NOT
- 12 HAVE MONEY TO CREATE THE STAFFING THAT WAS NECESSARY TO OPEN
- 13 UP THAT FACILITY, SO A HYBRID PACKAGING OF CLOSING SYBIL
- 14 BRAND, AND CLOSING RANCH FACILITY PROVIDED ENOUGH MONEY TO
- 15 OFFSET THE GAP IN THE COUNTY'S INABILITY TO FUND THAT
- 16 OPERATIONAL COST AND THAT CAUSED THE DILEMMA THAT WE'RE IN
- 17 TODAY. HAD I BEEN AROUND IN THOSE DAYS AND I WAS IN A
- 18 DIFFERENT ROLE, I WOULD NOT HAVE CLOSED SYBIL BRAND TO BEGIN
- 19 WITH AND THEREIN IS WHERE THE PROBLEM HAS CONTINUED TO FESTER
- 20 AND THAT SITUATION IS BEFORE YOU TODAY. WE HAVE RECRUITED, TO
- 21 DATE, AND IN TRAINING RIGHT NOW, OVER 400 DEPUTY SHERIFF
- 22 POSITIONS. WE ARE WORKING HARDER, ACTUALLY, TO HIRE CUSTODY
- 23 ASSISTANTS THAN DEPUTY SHERIFF POSITIONS. I'M CONFIDENT THAT,
- 24 WHATEVER WE DO HERE IN THIS PLAN, WE WILL BE ABLE TO HAVE THE
- 25 STAFFING AS NECESSARY TO OPENING THESE FACILITIES.



1

- 2 SUP. BURKE: WILL WE HAVE THE AUDIT AND THE OUTSIDE AUDIT THAT
- 3 WE KNOW WAS COMING IN TERMS OF WHAT IS NECESSARY IN TERMS OF
- 4 THE NUMBER OF SHERIFFS FOR THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT WE HAVE
- 5 OF VARYING DIFFERENT CLASSES?

6

- 7 MARC KLUGMAN: WE'RE VERY CLOSE TO A CONTRACT NOW. THE BIDDER
- 8 HAS MET WITH CONTRACTS PEOPLE, THEY'VE GONE OVER THE SCOPE OF
- 9 WORK AND WE EXPECT TO SEE A CONTRACT IN THE NEAR TERM.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: WOULD THAT BE UTILIZED IN ANY WAY IN TERMS OF
- 12 CONFIGURING THESE DIFFERENT FACILITIES?

13

- 14 MARC KLUGMAN: YES, ABSOLUTELY. THE RESULTS OF THAT AUDIT WILL
- 15 GIVE US DIRECTION THAT COULD IMPACT THE DIRECTION THAT WE'RE
- 16 TRYING TO GO NOW IN A POSITIVE WAY.

- 18 SUP. BURKE: NOW, IS THERE SUCH A THING AS FLEXIBILITY IN TERMS
- 19 OF THE KIND OF FACILITY, OR CAN YOU ALWAYS PUT A PERSON WHO IS
- 20 A MINOR OFFENDER IN A HIGH SECURITY FACILITY, EVEN IF YOU
- 21 CAN'T DO IT THE OTHER WAY? I MEAN, MAYBE SOMETHING WOULD
- 22 HAPPEN IN TERMS OF OUR COUNTY AND SUDDENLY WOULDN'T HAVE ALL
- 23 OF THESE HIGH SECURITY PEOPLE, OR WHATEVER MIGHT HAPPEN. IS
- 24 THERE GOING TO BE FLEXIBILITY OR SUPPOSE YOU START LOSING



1	SHERIFFS	AGAIN,	WILL	WE	HAVE	THE	FLEXIBILITY	THAT	WE'LL	BE
---	----------	--------	------	----	------	-----	-------------	------	-------	----

2 ABLE TO OPERATE? IS IT POSSIBLE TO CONFIGURE IT IN THAT WAY?

3

- 4 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THE ANSWER IS THIS. WHAT HAS TRAGICALLY
- 5 OCCURRED IN THE COUNTY JAIL SYSTEM IS THAT OUR OFFENDER
- 6 POPULATION, TO THE DEGREE OF 90% OF THE TOTAL, HAS BECOME
- 7 PRESENTENCED FELONS WHO HAVE COMMITTED SERIOUS CRIMES. THERE
- 8 ARE 700 UNCONVICTED MURDERERS IN THE SYSTEM. THERE ARE A TOTAL
- 9 OF 1,200 MURDERERS THAT FLOAT IN AND OUT OF THE SYSTEM. WE
- 10 HAVE 4,000 GANG MEMBERS WHO ARE WAITING TRIAL WITHIN THE
- 11 SYSTEM. WHAT WE'RE SEEING, AS A DEMOGRAPHIC REALITY, IS THAT
- 12 THE WORST OF THE WORST ARE CROWDING OUR JAILS AND ONLY 10% OF
- 13 THE POPULATION'S BASE IS FOR SENTENCED COUNTY OFFENDERS, THE
- 14 LOWER LEVEL TYPE OFFENDER.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: ONLY 10% AND THAT'S THE PERSON WHO WAS DRUNK
- 17 DRIVING OR HAS WARRANTS OR CHILD SUPPORT OR MINOR THEFT?

18

19 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THAT'S CORRECT.

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE: AND SO WE HAVE-- BUT WHAT IS THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE
- 22 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IN THAT CATEGORY? SENTENCED OFFENDERS?

23

24 SHERIFF LEE BACA: ABOUT 2,000 OR LESS.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: SO, UNDER SCENARIO THREE, WOULD WE HAVE FACILITIES
- 2 FOR THEM OR IS THAT WHERE WE GET INTO THIS WHOLE IDEA OF A
- 3 PERSON WHO GETS A BAD CHECK PROBABLY GETS ON A BRACELET. IS
- 4 THAT...

5

6 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THAT'S CORRECT.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE: THAT'S WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT, UNDER SCENARIO
- 9 THREE.

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: BUT, WELL, SCENARIO THREE, THOUGH, DOES ADD A
- 12 THOUSAND ADDITIONAL BEDS, MEDIUM SECURITY BEDS FOR MEN, SO YOU
- 13 WOULD HOPEFULLY BE ABLE TO DEAL-- START DEALING WITH EARLY
- 14 RELEASE. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO SOLVE IT BUT IT IS ADDED
- 15 CAPACITY, PLUS AN ADDITIONAL 384 HIGH SECURITY BEDS. SO THAT
- 16 COMPONENT OF SCENARIO THREE IS ACTUALLY ADDING CAPACITY TO THE
- 17 SYSTEM. IT DOES COST 300 PLUS MILLION DOLLARS TO DO BUT THAT
- 18 IS ADDITIONAL.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE: AND THAT TAKES CARE OF THESE 2,000 PEOPLE OR-- IS
- 21 THAT CORRECT?

22

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WHICH 2,000?



- 1 SUP. BURKE: IT TAKES THOSE 2,000 WHO HAVE BEEN SENTENCED FOR
- 2 BAD CHECKS, DUI...

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. I MEAN, THEY HAVE-- THEY HAVE ABOUT 1,300
- 5 YESTERDAY INMATES SENTENCED TO COUNTY TIME, MEN, ABOUT 1,300.
- 6 SO THEY ARE TAKING CARE OF THOSE NOW. BUT, I MEAN, THE WHOLE
- 7 IDEA OF SOLVING EARLY RELEASE, I THINK, IS NOT-- IT'S NOT
- 8 POSSIBLE TO SOLVE EARLY RELEASE BECAUSE THEY DON'T CONTROL THE
- 9 PEOPLE COMING INTO THEIR FACILITY. THEY CAN'T CONTROL THE
- 10 COURTS AND THE PROCESSING OF ALL OF THESE PRISONERS SO IT'S
- 11 ALWAYS GOING TO FLUCTUATE.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I UNDERSTAND AND, OF COURSE, WHAT MY VIEW IS
- 14 THAT MAYBE THAT THE JUDGE SHOULD HAVE THIS IN THE SCENARIO SO
- 15 THAT, AT THE TIME THE PERSON IS SENTENCED, THERE WOULD BE
- 16 COORDINATION IN TERMS OF WHAT FACILITIES ARE AVAILABLE WITH
- 17 WHAT THE SENTENCING IS AND HOW THAT SENTENCE WOULD BE CARRIED
- 18 OUT, IN TERMS OF WHETHER IT'S PROBATION OR WHETHER IT'S A
- 19 BRACELET OR WHATEVER WAY IT'S HANDLED SO THAT THERE CAN BE A
- 20 COORDINATION BUT I'M TRYING TO GET AN UNDERSTANDING THAT, WHEN
- 21 WE VOTE THIS MONEY, IT TAKES CARE OF THIS 10% OR 2,000 PEOPLE
- 22 WHO ARE SERVING TIME FOR MINOR OFFENSES.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, I MEAN, THE ANSWER IS TODAY THEY'RE
- 2 ALREADY TAKING CARE OF IT, SO ARGUABLY FOR THOSE 2,000, YOU
- 3 DON'T NEED THEM.

4

5 SUP. BURKE: BUT WE HAVE EARLY RELEASE TODAY.

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. YOU HAVE EARLY RELEASE.

8

- 9 SUP. BURKE: WE HAVE EARLY RELEASE TODAY. AND WE ANTICIPATE
- 10 EARLY RELEASE UNDER THIS SCENARIO WHERE WE ALLOCATE THESE
- 11 FUNDS, IS THAT CORRECT?

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WILL THIS SOLVE EARLY RELEASE? A THOUSAND NEW
- 14 MEDIUM SECURITY BEDS, WILL THAT SOLVE EARLY RELEASE? THE
- 15 ANSWER HAS GOT TO BE NO. IT WILL HELP. BUT IT WON'T SOLVE IT.

- 17 SUP. KNABE: BUT I THINK-- CAN I JUST FOLLOW UP ON THAT,
- 18 SUPERVISOR BURKE? YOU KNOW, BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE
- 19 NEED, A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THE BEDS THAT WE'VE
- 20 ALREADY APPROVED, THESE, WHAT, 4,474 ARE BEING CONVERTED INTO
- 21 THESE VARIOUS SCENARIOS AND, YOU KNOW, HOW IT AFFECTS THE
- 22 OVERALL TOTAL SYSTEM COUNTS AND ARE WE REDUCING CAPACITY BY
- 23 DOING THE MEDIUM SECURITY, HIGH SECURITY, LOW-- I MEAN, THAT
- 24 KIND OF THING, IS THAT AFFECTING OUR CAPACITY? AND I'M JUST--
- 25 BECAUSE, I MEAN, THAT'S THE ISSUE YOU'RE RAISING, RIGHT? I



- 1 MEAN, EVEN THOUGH WE'VE APPROVED IT AND WE'VE GOT ALL THESE
- 2 OTHER ISSUES, HOW DOES IT ULTIMATELY, IN ANY OF THE SCENARIOS,
- 3 AFFECT THE OVERALL CAPACITY? BECAUSE, I MEAN, IF YOU'RE
- 4 LOOKING AT EVER GOING TO THE VOTERS, THEY MAY BE SYMPATHETIC
- 5 TO, YOU KNOW, INCREASING, YOU KNOW, THE EARLY RELEASES TO
- 6 PREVENT AGAINST THAT BUT THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BE VERY
- 7 SYMPATHETIC TO OVERCROWDING ISSUES OR, YOU KNOW, JAIL SAFETY
- 8 ISSUES BECAUSE THEY SORT OF LAUGH AT YOU WHEN YOU'RE OUT THERE
- 9 IN PUBLIC ON THAT.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: I THINK IT WORKS BOTH WAYS. I THINK THAT, AS SOON
- 12 AS YOU HAVE AN EARLY RELEASE PERSON WHO HAPPENS TO COMMIT SOME
- 13 OFFENSE, THE PUBLIC IS BACK UPSET, SO WE HAVE TO LOOK AT
- 14 BOTH...

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE: THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. THE EARLY RELEASE SIDE OF
- 17 IT, THEY'RE SYMPATHETIC TO, IT'S THE OTHER PART, THE...

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ON YOUR OTHER QUESTION, THE MORE DIFFICULT ONE
- 20 TO ANSWER, ARE YOU GOING TO BUILD A FACILITY YOU CAN'T
- 21 OPERATE? YOU KNOW, TWIN TOWERS, IN LARGE PART, DIDN'T OPEN
- 22 BECAUSE THAT WAS THE TIME WHEN THE STATE TOOK THE PROPERTY TAX
- 23 FROM LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND L.A. COUNTY LOST \$900 MILLION A
- 24 YEAR.



1 SUP. KNABE: IF WE WOULD HAVE HAD PROP 1-A, TWIN TOWERS WOULD

2 HAVE OPENED.

3

4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IF YOU HAD PROP 1-A, THAT WOULDN'T HAVE

5 HAPPENED.

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** EXACTLY.

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND YET, EVEN WITH PROP 1-A, WE STILL HAD
- 10 BUDGET ISSUES RECENTLY IN THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS WHERE WE DID
- 11 HAVE TO CLOSE FACILITIES, SO THERE'S NO GUARANTEE. BUT, FROM
- 12 MY STANDPOINT, DOING IT INCREMENTALLY IS THE BEST APPROACH.
- 13 AGAIN, IF YOU DO SOMETHING ABOUT THE WOMEN AT C.R.D.F., YOU'RE
- 14 PICKING UP 1,460 HIGH SECURITY CELLS. WHERE YOU PUT THEM IS
- 15 SOMETHING. THEN THE NEXT STEP IS, OKAY, WHAT THEN IS THE NEXT
- 16 BEST EXPENDITURE OF DOLLARS? IS IT A THOUSAND MEDIUM SECURITY
- 17 BEDS AT PITCHESS? IS IT 384 MEDIUM SECURITY BEDS AT PITCHESS?
- 18 IS IT A NEW DESIGN ENTIRELY FOR A POPULATION? AND IT'S LIKELY
- 19 GOING TO HAVE TO BE AT PITCHESS BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE OTHER
- 20 LAND, WE'RE NOT GOING TO SITE IT IN DOWNEY.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE: BUT RESPONDING TO THE JUDGE'S ISSUE ABOUT
- 23 OVERCROWDING, WE WERE TOLD LAST WEEK WE'RE REDUCING OUR
- 24 CAPACITY BY 1,200 BEDS.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. AND THE RUTHERFORD CASE, I THINK, IS
- 2 SOMETHING WE DON'T WANT TO GET INTO PUBLICLY, WE KNOW IT'S
- 3 THERE, BUT, YES, THAT FACTORS INTO THE WHOLE EQUATION ABOUT
- 4 WHAT IS REALLY AVAILABLE, WHICH GETS INTO VERY COMPLICATED
- 5 SUBJECT BECAUSE IT'S CELLS, BEDS, PEOPLE, BUNKS.

6

- 7 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, LET ME TRY AND ADDRESS THAT LAST POINT
- 8 THAT MR. KNABE RAISED WHICH IS A VERY GOOD POINT. WHEN WE
- 9 ELIMINATED WOMEN OUT OF ONE TOWER, WE HAD BEEN PROGRESSIVELY
- 10 OPENING UP NEW FLOORS, SO WE DIDN'T LOSE BEDS, WE GAINED BEDS
- 11 IN THE CONTEXT OF NOT LOSING ANY, MEANING WE MOVED THE WOMEN
- 12 OUT, PUT THEM IN C.R.D.F. AND THEN WE HAD 1,000 BEDS, CLOSE TO
- 13 2,000 BEDS IN TOWER TWO AVAILABLE. AS THEY COME AVAILABLE,
- 14 THAT'S GIVING US THE CAPACITY TO UNLOAD THE 600 OF THE 1,200
- 15 THAT WE WANT TO GET OUT OF THE OLD CENTRAL JAIL SIDE. THEN WE
- 16 HAVE THIS MEDIUM FACILITY UP AT THE RANCH THAT ALSO IS NOT
- 17 FULLY OCCUPIED. THEREIN WE'RE GOING TO TAKE ANOTHER 600 AND
- 18 PUT THEM THERE. SO, IN TOTAL, IN THE SYSTEM, THERE ARE ABOUT
- 1,500 OR SO BEDS THAT ARE NOT BEING OCCUPIED CURRENTLY FOR A
- 20 VARIETY OF REASONS THAT I JUST EXPLAINED. AND SO WE'RE FILLING
- 21 THOSE FACILITIES NOW. THUS, IT'S NOT A NET LOSS, IT'S JUST A
- 22 SHIFTING OF THE POPULATION THAT'S GOING ON HERE.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME ASK, DAVID, IN A SCENARIO,
- 25 YOU'RE RECOMMENDING THE ADDITION OF A LITTLE OVER A THOUSAND



- 1 MEDIUM SECURITY BEDS AT A COST OF ABOUT \$147 MILLION. WHY DOES
- 2 IT MAKE SENSE TO SPEND \$147 MILLION FOR APPROXIMATELY 1,000
- 3 BEDS IF WE CAN GAIN 1,200 BEDS INSTANTLY BY TERMINATING THE
- 4 STATE CONTRACT AT A TINY FRACTION OF THAT COST?

5

6 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, CAN I START ON THAT ONE AND...

7

8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, PLEASE. I'D APPRECIATE IT IF YOU WOULD.

9

- 10 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THE SYBIL BRAND FACILITY COULD GROW TO 1,800
- 11 FOR THE SAME AMOUNT OF DOLLARS, SO WE'RE REALLY SAYING WE WANT
- 12 TO KEEP IT AT A THOUSAND AS A STARTING POINT BUT, FOR THE SAME
- 13 AMOUNT OF MONEY, THE POPULATION COULD CLOSE TO DOUBLE, SO
- 14 THAT'S ONE EXPLANATION. AND THE SECOND HALF DEALT WITH--
- 15 EXCUSE ME IF-- I'M NOT SURE-- BUT...

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT IF WE STOPPED OUR CONTRACT...

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: HE WAS ASKING ABOUT CANCELING THE STATE
- 20 CONTRACT.

- 22 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THE SECOND HALF, I THINK, NOW THAT YOU'VE
- 23 BROUGHT IT UP, SHOULD COME BACK ON THE TABLE AND, AS I EARLIER
- 24 INDICATED MONTHS BACK, THE C.A.O. AND I WERE SOMEWHAT WORRIED
- 25 THAT, IF WE SENT THEM BACK, WE ELIMINATE THE MONEY RESOURCE



- 1 THAT THEY'D COMPEL US TO KEEP THE INMATES. I'M PLEASED TO
- 2 ANNOUNCE TO YOU TODAY, AND I JUST HEARD THIS TODAY, THAT, IN
- 3 CHECKING WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, THEY
- 4 KNOW THAT THEY'RE OBLIGATED TO TAKE THOSE INMATES OUT OF OUR
- 5 SYSTEM. SO THIS IS A NEW PIECE OF INFORMATION THAT I LEARNED A
- 6 HALF HOUR AGO BEFORE WE BEGAN. SO THERE MAY BE THE OPPORTUNITY
- 7 TO ACQUIRE 1,200 BEDS, SO LONG AS THIS BOARD ELECTS TO FUND
- 8 THE OPERATIONAL COST OF THE \$27 MILLION THAT THE STATE
- 9 PROVIDES THE COUNTY, WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY MRS. MOLINA'S IDEA
- 10 TO BEGIN WITH.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IF WE WEREN'T HOUSING THE STATE
- 13 INMATES, WE WOULD BE REDUCING OUR COSTS AND ALSO THE STATE
- 14 INMATES AREN'T NECESSARILY THE...

15

- 16 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WE WOULD NOT REDUCE OUR COSTS BY ELIMINATING
- 17 THE STATE INMATES. WE WOULD HAVE TO REPLACE THE FUNDING THAT
- 18 THEY PROVIDE US.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: BECAUSE WE'D STILL-- WE'D INCREASE OUR CAPACITY BY
- 21 1,200 BEDS.

22

- 23 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WE INCREASE OUR CAPACITY BY 1,200 BEDS BUT
- 24 THEY'RE NOT ALL IN ONE FACILITY, SO THEY'RE DISBURSED...



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE PUT OUR INMATES IN THOSE POSITIONS
- 2 AND, SECONDLY, THOSE THAT ARE COMING FROM THE STATE ARE
- 3 BASICALLY HARDCORE FELONS.

4

5 SHERIFF LEE BACA: CORRECT.

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHICH IS AN ADDITIONAL COST.

8

- 9 SHERIFF LEE BACA: YES. WHERE WE SAVE IS WE DON'T HAVE TO GO
- 10 BUY ANOTHER 1,200-BED FACILITY.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: RIGHT. THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE: THAT WOULD HELP THE EARLY RELEASE PROGRAM FOR 27
- 15 MILLION, I MEAN, BECAUSE YOU'D HAVE TO REPLACE THE 27 MILLION.
- 16 YOU INCREASE THE 1,200 CAPACITY, THAT'S GOT TO HELP WITH YOUR
- 17 EARLY RELEASE ISSUE.

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU WOULD WANT TO EQUATE THE STATE CONTRACT
- 20 BEDS WITH THE OPTION OF BUILDING A THOUSAND ADDITIONAL BEDS IS
- 21 WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT? AND YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT, IT
- 22 WOULD BE A BETTER EXPENDITURE IF THE STATE ACTUALLY TOOK THEM
- 23 OUT TO DO IT FOR \$25 MILLION THAN BUILDING A NEW FACILITY,
- 24 THAT IS ABSOLUTELY CORRECT. BUT YOU STILL HAVE TO DO SOMETHING
- 25 ABOUT C.R.D.F. FIRST AND WE'VE SET ASIDE \$25 MILLION TO DO



- 1 SOMETHING. IF THE STATE CONTRACT TAKES THAT, YOU'RE HELPING A
- 2 LITTLE BIT YOUR EARLY RELEASE PROBLEM. YOU ARE DOING NOTHING
- 3 TO SOLVE THE HIGH SECURITY BED PROBLEM. AND THAT'S WHAT WE ARE
- 4 LOOKING AT IN FEBRUARY, IS WHICH IS THE PRIORITY? OPTION THREE
- 5 IS A WAY TO START DOING BOTH BUT, YEAH, WE NEED TO TAKE A LOOK
- 6 AT THE STATE CONTRACT, I AGREE WITH THAT. I'M NOT AWARE OF
- 7 WHETHER THE STATE SAID THEY HAVE THE CAPACITY-- THEY ALREADY
- 8 HAVE THE OBLIGATION, WE KNOW THAT, THAT'S WHY THEY'RE PAYING
- 9 US-- DO THEY HAVE THE CAPACITY TO TAKE THEM?

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S WHERE WE WERE LAST TIME ON THIS
- 12 DISCUSSION A FEW MONTHS AGO, WHEN WE DISCOVERED THAT, WHILE
- 13 THE IDEA SOUNDED GOOD, IN IMPLEMENTATION, IT WASN'T GOING TO
- 14 WORK THAT WELL BECAUSE THERE WERE TWO KINDS OF PRISONERS, AS I
- 15 RECALL, STATE PRISONERS THAT WE HAVE, SOME THAT WE ARE
- 16 OBLIGATED TO HAVE AND SOME THAT WE ARE TAKING, BUT THAT THEY
- 17 HAVE NO PLACE TO TAKE BACK, WE COULD END UP HAVING THOSE VERY
- 18 PRISONERS WITHOUT THE MONEY. SO, I MEAN, I JUST-- BEFORE WE
- 19 GET TOO EXCITED ABOUT ALL THIS, I THINK, UNTIL THEY TAKE THE
- 20 PRISONERS BACK, I WOULDN'T WANT TO COUNT ON THOSE BEDS.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, THAT'S THEIR RESPONSIBILITY BUT
- 23 THE POINT...



- 1 MARC KLUGMAN: I'D LIKE TO RESPOND A LITTLE BIT. I TALKED TO
- 2 THE STATE. THEIR POSITION IS THAT THESE 1,292 BEDS ARE PART OF
- 3 THEIR BED COUNT PER THE CONTRACT. IF THEY LOSE THE CONTRACT,
- 4 THEY LOSE THE 1,292 BEDS. THAT MEANS THAT THE 1,292 PAROLE
- 5 VIOLATORS IN THE SYSTEM WOULD BE REMOVED. THEIR RESPONSE
- 6 FURTHER SAYS...

7

9

14

16

18

20

22

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TO WHERE? TO WHERE?

10 MARC KLUGMAN: TO STATE PRISON. AND THEN THE FOLLOWING

- 11 STATEMENT WAS MADE TO ME THAT THEY WOULD THEN PAROLE BACK TO
- 12 LOS ANGELES COUNTY A LARGER NUMBER OF STATE INMATES WHO ARE
- 13 CONVICTED FELONS.
- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND NOT PAY US FOR IT?
- 17 MARC KLUGMAN: THEY WOULD BE RELEASED IN L.A. COUNTY.
- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEY WOULD BE PAROLED ON THE STREET.
- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY'LL HAVE THEIR OWN EARLY RELEASE.
- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO DON'T SWEAT THE EARLY RELEASE. THIS IS AN
- 24 OLD GEORGE KARLIN ROUTINE, DON'T SWEAT THE THUNDERSTORM.



- 1 MARC KLUGMAN: THAT'S THE APPROACH THEY'RE TAKING, THAT THIS IS
- 2 A STATEWIDE PROBLEM, IT'S NOT A LOCAL PROBLEM, IT'S
- 3 EVERYBODY'S PROBLEM AND THAT'S THE WAY THAT THEY'RE ADDRESSING
- 4 IT. BUT THEY DO RECOGNIZE THAT THOSE BEDS, SHOULD THE CONTRACT
- 5 GO AWAY, WOULD THEN ALSO GO AWAY.

6

- 7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT POINT BECAUSE
- 8 THE GOVERNOR IS, YOU KNOW, THEY'RE UNDER A FEDERAL COURT ORDER
- 9 WITH RESPECT TO THE STATE OPERATIONS. THEY HAVE A TREMENDOUS
- 10 CAPACITY PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE, IT'S NOT UNIQUE, SO THAT MAKES
- 11 SENSE THAT, IF THEY ARE FORCED TO RETAKE OURS, THEY'RE GOING
- 12 TO RELEASE SOMEBODY ELSE. THERE AREN'T A LOT OF OPTIONS IN
- 13 OPERATING THE JAILS.

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: BUT, DAVID, THE OTHER PART OF IT AS WELL IS THAT
- 16 HOW THIS HAS TO BE EXPLAINED, ALL RIGHT? FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE
- 17 TO CLEARLY UNDERSTAND WHAT OUR OBLIGATION IS. RIGHT NOW, WE
- 18 HAVE VOLUNTARILY ACCEPTED THE STATE CONTRACT, SO WE'VE
- 19 ACCEPTED THESE STATE PRISONERS. THE ISSUE IS, NOW WE'RE
- 20 DEBATING AS TO WHETHER TAXPAYERS IN L.A. COUNTY SHOULD PAY FOR
- 21 THESE NEW BEDS. THAT IS THE ISSUE. BECAUSE, IF YOU SUBTRACT
- 22 THOSE NUMBERS FROM THERE, THAT IS AN ISSUE THAT THIS BODY
- 23 NEEDS TO ADDRESS. NOW, YOU'VE ASSUMED IT IN ALL DIFFERENT
- 24 KINDS OF WAYS. ONE WAY IS YOU ASSUME IT WE HAVE TO REPLACE THE
- 25 25 MILLION OR THE 27 MILLION. AT THE END OF THE DAY, IT'S ONLY



- 1 5 MILLION BECAUSE OF THE AUDITOR'S REPORT THAT HE DID THE LAST
- 2 TIME. THEN THERE'S BEEN THE ASSUMPTION THAT WE'RE GOING TO
- 3 REPLACE THOSE THEN WE HAVE TO BACK IT UP AND THEY'RE GOING TO
- 4 BE STATE PRISONERS ANYWAY, WHICH WE'RE NOT GET REIMBURSED
- 5 WITH, WHICH WE DO KNOW WE DO GET REIMBURSED FOR. SO EVERY
- 6 WHICH WAY YOU PLAY THIS SCENARIO, THIS HAS TO BE PART OF THE
- 7 EQUATION. IT HAS TO BE A PART OF WHETHER, AGAIN,
- 8 PHILOSOPHICALLY YOU DISAGREE OR AGREE, IT HAS TO BE PART OF
- 9 THE SCENARIO AND IT CAN'T JUST BE THROWN IN THERE AS AN
- 10 ASSUMED AND THAT'S THE ISSUE.

11

12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I AGREE. I ABSOLUTELY AGREE.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME STATE THAT, AS YOU HAVE WORKED
- 17 WITH THE COMMUNITY FOR SYBIL BRAND RELATIVE TO WHAT YOU WANT
- 18 TO DO THERE, I WOULD SUGGEST YOU ALSO WORK WITH THE CASTAIC
- 19 TOWN COUNCIL RELATIVE TO THE ISSUES DEALING AROUND PITCHESS,
- 20 AS YOU HAVE IN THE PAST, BUT TO INCLUDE THEM IN THE DIALOGUE.

21

22 SHERIFF LEE BACA: I WILL. THANK YOU.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR MOLINA.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: I HAVE A COUPLE OF OUESTIONS AND A COUPLE OF
- 2 CONCERNS. I'M VERY TROUBLED WITH THIS INFORMATION COMING TO US
- 3 SO LATE, SO LATE THAT WE CAN'T EVEN MAKE A DECISION HERE AND
- 4 WE'VE BEEN WAITING FOR A LONG TIME. BUT NOW THAT WE HAVE THE
- 5 INFORMATION, IT IS STILL VERY CONFUSING. IT'S AS CONFUSING AS
- 6 THE NUMBERS WERE IN JANUARY WHEN WE STARTED THIS. AND SO I
- 7 NEED TO ASK YOUR ASSISTANTS, AND WE HAD ASKED THAT THE C.A.O.
- 8 WOULD WRITE A COMPREHENSIVE REPORT TO US SO THAT WE COULD GET
- 9 AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WAS GOING ON, AND, UNFORTUNATELY, HE
- 10 IS AT THE MERCY OF THE SHERIFF GIVING YOU THE DATA OR THE
- 11 INFORMATION AS WELL. BUT I'M GOING TO ASK AGAIN IF WE COULD
- 12 HAVE ONE COLLECTIVE MEMO. THERE SHOULDN'T BE A REASON. NOW, WE
- 13 MAY DISAGREE AS TO WHICH ONE TO DO BUT, AT THE END OF THE DAY,
- 14 IF, BETWEEN THE SHERIFF AND THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE, THEY CAN'T
- 15 COME UP WITH A RECOMMENDED OPTION FOR US, I'M TROUBLED BY THAT
- 16 BECAUSE THE BEST RECOMMENDATION SHOULD BE ONE THAT MAKES SENSE
- 17 AND THAT IS THAT WE CAN IMPLEMENT AND THAT DOES EVALUATE ALL
- 18 ASPECTS OF IT. I DON'T KNOW WHEN YOU SEE US BEING ABLE TO GET
- 19 TO THAT. DO YOU, DAVID?

20

21 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, WE'RE VERY...

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'RE VERY CLOSE. THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT IS
- 24 DOING SOME RETHINKING ON THE OPERATING COSTS OF SOME OF THESE
- 25 OPTIONS, CUSTODIAL ASSISTANTS VERSUS DEPUTIES. THAT WON'T TAKE



- 1 THAT MUCH LONGER TO COME TO SOME KIND OF AN AGREEMENT. I'M NOT
- 2 SURE, IN TERMS OF THE CONTRACT THAT MARC REFERRED TO, WHETHER
- 3 THAT ENTERS INTO THIS EQUATION OR NOT IN TERMS OF THE JAIL
- 4 POPULATION. DOES THAT INFLUENCE THE PLAN?

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: IT MUST. IT HAS TO.

7

- 8 MARC KLUGMAN: WE'LL GAIN 1,292 BEDS EVENTUALLY BUT, IN TERMS
- 9 OF THE WAY THOSE INMATES ARE SPREAD THROUGH THE SYSTEM BECAUSE
- 10 OF SECURITY CLASSIFICATIONS, THEY'RE EVERYWHERE. THEY'RE NOT
- 11 IN ONE LOCATION.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT, BUT THAT'S A DIFFERENT ANSWER. WAIT, WAIT,
- 14 WAIT. LET'S NOT GO THERE YET BECAUSE I'VE HEARD THAT OVER AND
- 15 OVER AGAIN.

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT, THAT'S A DIFFERENT-- NO-- OKAY. NO, BUT
- 18 YOU INDICATED YOU'RE CLOSE TO HIRING SOMEBODY TO TAKE A LOOK
- 19 AT THE-- RIGHT? SECURITY? IS THAT GOING TO INFLUENCE
- 20 ALTERNATIVES, THAT REPORT? IT COULD?

21

22 MARC KLUGMAN: IT COULD.

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: SO THAT'S GOING TO TAKE A LITTLE LONGER. THE
- 25 SHERIFF REFERRED TO 1,200 TO 1,300, MAYBE HIGHER, OF



- 1 ELECTRONIC MONITORING. THAT NEEDS TO BE FACTORED IN. THAT IS
- 2 NOT HERE TODAY AS PART OF THE REPORT.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, WHEN WILL WE HAVE SOMETHING?

5

6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WHAT IS THE...

7

- 8 MARC KLUGMAN: WELL, I THINK IN A MATTER OF WEEKS WE CAN
- 9 DISTILL WHAT WE'VE GIVEN YOU. SEE, THE KEY TO THIS REPORT IS
- 10 THAT WE ARE FOCUSING AND RECOMMENDING ESSENTIALLY SCENARIO
- 11 THREE.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S YOUR RECOMMENDATION. >MARC KLUGMAN: THAT'S
- 14 CORRECT.

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW BUT WE STILL HAVE THE C.A.O. WE ASKED LEE,
- 17 IN THIS WHOLE THING, AS WE APPROACH THIS, THAT IT WOULD BE A
- 18 JOINT RECOMMENDATION OR A JOINT MEMO, WHICH I THINK IS NOW
- 19 SEPARATED. I MEAN, IT WAS TOGETHER AT ONE POINT AND NOW IT'S
- 20 SEPARATED OFF. I JUST WOULD LIKE TO HAVE-- THE REASON-- AND
- 21 MAYBE I CAN EXPLAIN MYSELF. I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND, I THINK
- 22 THE ONLY THING THAT WE CAN ALL AGREE WITH IS THAT I KNOW
- 23 NOTHING ABOUT THIS. AND YOU GUYS WILL AGREE WITH THAT FIRST.
- 24 AND I'VE SAID THAT FROM THE VERY BEGINNING. I HAVE TO RELY ON
- 25 A SET OF RECOMMENDATIONS THAT NEED TO BE MADE TO ME BECAUSE,



- 1 GUESS WHAT, WHETHER I KNOW NOTHING ABOUT IT OR NOT, IT'S MY
- 2 DUTY TO UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M VOTING ON AND I'M TRYING
- 3 DESPERATELY HARD TO UNDERSTAND IT. I FOLLOW THE NUMBERS, I
- 4 FOLLOW THE FINANCIAL NUMBERS, I FOLLOW THE "YES, I AGREE,"
- 5 LATER ON "I DON'T AGREE," "YES, I SAID THAT BUT THAT'S NOT
- 6 WHAT I MEANT AT THE TIME." I'M TRYING VERY HARD TO UNDERSTAND
- 7 THIS. AND SO I'M GOING TO GO BACK THAT, IN ORDER FOR ME TO
- 8 APPRECIATE A RECOMMENDATION, I DON'T MEAN TO BE DISRESPECTFUL
- 9 TO THE SHERIFF, IT'S JUST THAT I CAN'T FOLLOW THE NUMBERS.
- 10 THAT'S WHY I'VE ASKED DAVID AND THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE TO CREATE
- 11 SOME KIND OF MECHANISM SO THAT THEY WOULD SYNTHESIZE ALL OF
- 12 THIS INFORMATION, VALIDATE AS MANY OF THE NUMBERS AS POSSIBLE,
- 13 COME UP WITH A SERIES OF CONCLUDING OPINIONS, MAYBE NOT IN
- 14 AGREEMENT BUT THINGS THAT WE WOULD KNOW SO WE'LL KNOW WHERE IS
- 15 EARLY RELEASE, NOT AT THE MOMENT BUT WHERE IS IT? WHERE ARE WE
- 16 GOING? WHAT IS THE ISSUE WITH REGARD TO THE STATE CONTRACT?
- 17 AND LET'S DEAL WITH THE REALITY OF IT. AND, YOU KNOW, I WANT
- 18 TO UNDERSTAND IT. I WANT TO UNDERSTAND, YOU KNOW, LAST WEEK
- 19 THERE WAS QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ELECTRONIC MONITORING, SAYING,
- 20 NO, IT DOESN'T INVOLVE ELECTRONIC MONITORING. NOW IT DOES. I
- 21 WANT TO UNDERSTAND ALL OF THESE ISSUES BECAUSE TAXPAYERS ARE
- 22 GOING TO BE ASKED TO MAKE A DECISION, ACTUALLY, THROUGH ME,
- 23 WHETHER I'M GOING TO SPEND 122 MILLION, 168 MILLION, 585
- 24 MILLION OR MORE AND THAT IS NOT INCLUSIVE OF ALL OF THE
- 25 ONGOING EXPENDITURES FOR OPERATIONS. I STILL DON'T-- AND I'M



- 1 TRYING TO EXPLAIN MYSELF BECAUSE I THINK WE DO HAVE TO HAVE
- 2 SOME JOINT RECOMMENDATION AND IT HAS TO BE INCLUSIVE WITH ALL
- 3 OF THIS.

4

5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: COULD I MAKE A SUGGESTION?

6

7 SUP. MOLINA: SURE.

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT AUGUST THE 1ST IS THREE WEEKS, THAT WE
- 10 CAN BE BACK WITH A JOINT RECOMMENDATION BUT I WOULD SUGGEST
- 11 THAT WE ALSO, YOU KNOW, THIS IS A POLICY DECISION FOR YOU BUT
- 12 THAT WE NARROW, AT THIS POINT, THE DISCUSSIONS TO OPTIONS
- 13 THREE AND SIX.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: CAN I ALSO ASK YOU THIS. I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM
- 16 WITH IT. WAIT, WAIT, WAIT. IF THAT'S WHAT YOU...

17

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, I NEED TO CLARIFY THAT BECAUSE OPTION
- 19 THREE AND SIX BOTH INCLUDE REOPENING SYBIL SO I WANT TO BE
- 20 SURE...

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: NO, I KNOW BUT THAT'S STILL NOT...

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I KNOW BUT I DON'T-- I JUST WANT TO BE SURE I
- 25 DON'T TRICK YOU INTO...



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT, WAIT, WAIT, THAT'S WHY I WANT YOU TO STOP.
- 3 YOU'RE MOVING FORWARD. BECAUSE, EVEN IN SOME OF THE OTHER
- 4 SCENARIOS THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT ON HERE, I'M NOT SURE I
- 5 REALLY UNDERSTAND ALL ASPECTS OF-- BECAUSE IT'S-- EVEN THE
- 6 NUMBER THAT MR. YAROSLAVSKY WAS RAISING ABOUT THE HUGE SPREAD,
- 7 I WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT MEANS. IF WE'RE GOING TO SPEND
- 8 AN INITIAL MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, IS IT FOR HIGH SECURITY? I'M
- 9 NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND ALL THE DIFFERENCES, BECAUSE THEY'RE
- 10 REALLY DRAMATICALLY DIFFERENT. EVEN OPERATING COST IS
- 11 DIFFERENT AT ALL DIFFERENT LEVELS OF THIS. THE OTHER PART OF
- 12 IT AND THAT WAS NOT PUT INTO THIS SCENARIO AT ALL AND I THINK
- 13 I MENTIONED IT TO YOU ALL, IS THE FACT THAT WE HAVE THE
- 14 POTENTIAL OF COMING BACK AND DEVELOPING OTHER SCENARIOS. I
- 15 KNOW THAT, IN RIVERSIDE, THEY'RE PLANNING TO BUILD A 5,000-BED
- 16 FACILITY, A 5,000-BED FACILITY.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE COUNTY IS OR THE STATE? THE COUNTY IS?

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK IT'S THE COUNTY. AND IT'S FOR \$120
- 21 MILLION. 120 MILLION. NOW, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S BUNK OR WHAT
- 22 IT IS BUT IT MERITS OUR CONSIDERATION AS A PREFAB, PREMODELED,
- 23 I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT IS BUT I'VE ASKED THAT WE LOOK AT THESE
- 24 KINDS OF THINGS BECAUSE, IF IT IS A SCENARIO THAT WORKS, I



- 1 DON'T THINK WE CAN DISMISS IT UNTIL WE KNOW WHAT IT IS. IT'S
- 2 AN AMAZING NUMBER. THAT CERTAINLY WOULD LOWER THE BED COST...

3

4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S NOT ACCURATE INFORMATION.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY?

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE CALLED RIVERSIDE AND THAT'S NOT ACCURATE
- 9 INFORMATION.

10

11 SUP. MOLINA: IT'S NOT ACCURATE?

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. YOU CAN'T BUILD A 5,000-BED FACILITY
- 14 FOR \$120 MILLION.

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: SO WHAT IS THE...

17

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE ANSWER IS? ALL I HEARD
- 19 WAS THEY CALLED AND THAT IS NOT ACCURATE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT
- 20 IS THAT THEY'RE DOING. WE WILL REPORT BACK.

21

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: YEAH, YOU JUST CAN'T READ EVERYTHING THAT YOU
- 23 FIND IN THE "L.A. TIMES", RIGHT?

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I'M AS SURPRISED AS YOU ARE.



1

- 2 SAMMY JONES: WE TOOK THAT VERY SERIOUSLY AND WE TALKED TO THE
- 3 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND I BELIEVE THEY DID DISCUSS THAT
- 4 WITH RIVERSIDE COUNTY IN THE LAST COUPLE OF DAYS.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT A MINUTE. ARE THEY NOT DOING IT OR THEY ARE
- 7 DOING IT?

8

- 9 SAMMY JONES: THEY'RE BUILDING A NEW FACILITY BUT I BELIEVE THE
- 10 NUMBERS ARE MUCH DIFFERENT. I THINK THEY MIGHT HAVE IT.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY.

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S 873 MILLION FOR A 3,000-BED JAIL WAS THE
- 15 INITIAL PROPOSAL.

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: DID THEY NOT HAVE A PROPOSAL...

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, ACCORDING TO THE SUPERVISOR OF RIVERSIDE
- 20 COUNTY, A 150-MILLION-DOLLAR PROJECT WOULD BE FUNDED BY A
- 21 PARTNERSHIP IN WHICH A PRIVATE ENTITY WOULD BUILD THE 5,000-
- 22 BED STRUCTURE AND LEASE IT TO THE COUNTY.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THAT'S A LEASEBACK SCHEME.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T EVEN KNOW THAT WAS LEGAL, ACTUALLY,
- 2 UNDER THE LAW ANY MORE.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT EVEN IF IT IS LEGAL, IT'S NOT THE TOTAL
- 5 COST, THAT'S NOT-- 150 IS NOT THE COST, IT'S JUST THE DOWN
- 6 PAYMENT.

7

- 8 SAMMY JONES: AND RIVERSIDE COUNTY IS ONLY STILL AT THE POINT
- 9 OF SEARCHING FOR WHERE THEY WOULD BUILD IT IN THE COUNTY. THE
- 10 LAST THING THEY LOOKED AT WAS AN AREA OUT BY SAN GREGONIAL
- 11 PASS.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, LET ME JUST SAY-- ALL I AM SAYING IS THAT
- 14 IT MERITS US REVIEWING SOME OF THIS. WE'RE NOT THE ONLY ONES
- 15 IN TOWN TRYING TO BUILD A PRISON OR A JAIL ANYWHERE AND I JUST
- 16 THINK THAT THESE THINGS NEED TO BE PUT INTO THE EQUATION AS
- 17 WELL. AS WELL AS THE ISSUE THAT THERE HAS TO BE SOME ANALYSIS
- 18 OF THE RENOVATION OF SYBIL BRAND AS COMPARED TO THE COST OF A
- 19 NEW FACILITY AND THE COST THERE, AND THAT'S NOT COMPARED IN
- 20 THIS.

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. THAT WAS MY CAVEAT, IN JUST LOOKING AT
- 23 THREE AND SIX IS THAT WE ALSO LOOK AT THE COST OF, I THINK,
- 24 OPTION 4, THE WOMEN AT PITCHESS RATHER THAN SYBIL. SO, WITH



- 1 THAT CAVEAT, IT WOULD BE ALTERNATIVE THREE AND SIX, BUT
- 2 LOOKING AT THE WOMAN AT THE WOMEN AT PITCHESS...

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, MY INTEREST IN THIS-- EXCUSE ME. MY
- 5 INTEREST IN THIS THOUGH, DAVID, IS TO TRY AND GET SOMETHING
- 6 THAT IS COMPREHENSIVELY UNDERSTOOD BUT NOT JUST-- NOT JUST
- 7 THIS ONE PART. I MEAN, THAT INCLUDES EARLY RELEASE, THAT DOES
- 8 INCLUDE ELECTRONIC-- THAT DOES INCLUDE THE STATE CONTRACT.

9

- 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. ALL OF THOSE FACTORS, THE STATE CONTRACT,
- 11 ELECTRONIC RECORDING...

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU.

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, ALL OF THAT WILL BE PART OF WHAT WE WILL
- 16 REPORTING BACK AUGUST THE 1ST.

17

18 SUP. BURKE: THOSE ARE NEW BARRACKS AT PITCHESS, RIGHT?

19

20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY WOULD BE NEW.

21

- 22 SUP. BURKE: YOU WOULD HAVE A NEW BARRACKS, SO THAT WOULD BE
- 23 CONSISTENT WITH-- CLOSER TO CONSISTENT WITH THREE.

24

25 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND NOT HAVING VOTER-APPROVED BONDS AS 2 3 A PART OF THAT PROVISION. 4 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. I UNDERSTAND. 6 7 SUP. KNABE: BUT, I MEAN, IF YOU DID THE WOMEN AT PITCHESS, I 8 MEAN, THAT-- YOU WOULDN'T LEAVE SYBIL JUST SITTING THERE VACANT, WOULD YOU? 10 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T KNOW. IT'S AN ASSET. I DON'T KNOW-- I DON'T KNOW. 12 13 14 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN... 15 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT'S SOMETHING THAT, IN THE FINAL ANALYSIS, YOU GUYS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DECIDE BUT WE NEED TO TELL YOU, 17 18 IS IT CHEAPER TO BUILD A NEW FACILITY AT PITCHESS THAN IT IS 19 TO REOPEN SYBIL? 20

21 SUP. BURKE: NOW, WHAT IS THE ANSWER TO THAT?

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: BASED ON THE STATISTICS WE HAVE RIGHT NOW, IT
- 24 IS SLIGHTLY MORE EXPENSIVE TO SPLIT THE WOMEN FROM A COST
- 25 STANDPOINT, RIGHT?



1

2 SUP. BURKE: AND YOU'D STILL BUILD A SMALLER FACILITY AT

3 PITCHESS, RIGHT, IF YOU SPLIT THEM?

4

5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. BECAUSE...

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: YOU HAVE A SMALLER ONE YOU BUILD AT PITCHESS AND
- 8 THEN YOU HAVE 1,000 AND...

9

- 10 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, ACTUALLY NOT. YOU CAN DOUBLE BUNK AT
- 11 PITCHESS AS WELL AND GET UP TO AS MANY AS 2,000 WOMEN. YOU
- 12 SEE, THE FUTURE IS PART OF OUR PROBLEM HERE. AS I SAID IN MY
- 13 OPENING REMARKS, WE ARE DOING SOMETHING FOR THE FUTURE TO
- 14 SOLVE TODAY'S NEED. FIVE, SIX, SEVEN, EIGHT, 10 YEARS FROM
- 15 NOW, I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT WE'LL NEED MORE SPACE FOR WOMEN IN
- 16 OUR SYSTEM. I'M TRYING TO GET A LITTLE BIT AHEAD OF WHAT WE'RE
- 17 TRYING TO DO.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND ONE THING, AND JUST A FINAL COMMENT, ONE
- 20 THING WE NEED TO BE CAREFUL ABOUT-- WE NEED TO BE IN HOW WE
- 21 TALK ABOUT THE NUMBERS, ARE WE TALKING BEDS? ARE WE TALKING
- 22 CELLS? ARE WE TALKING FACILITIES? ARE WE TALKING DOUBLE
- 23 BUNKING?



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IT DEPENDS ON THE TYPE OF INMATE YOU
- 2 HAVE.

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, BUT HE JUST SAID THEY'RE GOING TO DOUBLE
- 5 BUNK, SO MY QUESTION IS, OKAY, ARE WE BUILDING A THOUSAND BED-
- 6 DID WE COST A THOUSAND BED OR DID WE COST A 2,000 BED? I
- 7 DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT AND YOU DON'T, EITHER. HE
- 8 PROBABLY DOES. ALL I'M SAYING IS WE NEED TO BE CAREFUL.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE: THAT CONVERSION AFFECTS THE CAPACITY THEN
- 11 ULTIMATELY IS WHAT YOU...

12

13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: EXACTLY RIGHT.

- 15 SUP. BURKE: MAY I JUST ASK? WE TALK ABOUT DOUBLE BUNKING. YOU
- 16 STILL HAVE TO HAVE SOME PLACE WHERE THESE PEOPLE WHO OCCUPY
- 17 THE DOUBLE BUNKING EAT AND SOME PLACE FOR THEM TO HAVE
- 18 RECREATION AND, AS I UNDERSTAND, THAT'S THE BIG ISSUE THAT
- 19 WE'RE BEING FACED WITH DOUBLE AND TRIPLE BUNKING. SO THAT,
- 20 WHILE WE TAKE A NUMBER AND SUDDENLY SAY, OKAY, WE'LL TAKE A
- 21 THOUSAND BEDS BUT WE'LL PUT 2,000 PEOPLE IN THERE, WE STILL, I
- 22 WOULD ASSUME, WHEN YOU PUT TOGETHER A 1,000 BED FACILITY, IT'S
- 23 CONSIDERABLY DIFFERENT THAN ONE THAT WILL BE OCCUPIED BY
- 24 2,000, IF YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE REASONABLE, HUMANE FACILITIES
- 25 FOR IT.



1

2 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, AND LET ME OFFER THIS THOUGHT. WHEN

- 3 YOU BUILD HEAVY STEEL AND CONCRETE FACILITIES, THE AMENITIES
- 4 THAT THE LAW REQUIRES US TO PROVIDE ARE MORE DIFFICULT TO
- 5 PROVIDE BECAUSE THOSE DESIGNS ARE LABOR INTENSIVE. WHEN YOU
- 6 BUILD BARRACKS AT THE RANCH TO SATISFY, EXERCISE, ALL YOU HAVE
- 7 TO DO IS OPEN THE FRONT DOOR OF THE BARRACK UP AND LET SOME
- 8 PEOPLE WALK OUT TO THE YARD AND ENGAGE IN SOME EXERCISE. IT'S
- 9 A MUCH SIMPLER SOLUTION FOR ALL OF THE COMPLEX REQUIREMENTS
- 10 THAT WE HAVE. WE ARE NOT ONLY TRYING TO HOUSE PEOPLE, WE HAVE
- 11 TO HOUSE THEM AND TREAT THEM A CERTAIN WAY, AND IT'S THE
- 12 TREATING THEM A CERTAIN WAY THAT BECOMES THE COSTLIER PART OF
- 13 THE OPERATION.

14

15 **SUP. BURKE:** RIGHT.

- 17 SHERIFF LEE BACA: IF THEY WERE JUST THERE AND WE WERE HOLDING
- 18 THEM IN THEIR CELLS, PREVENTING THEM FROM ESCAPING, WE WOULD
- 19 REDUCE OUR COSTS BY PROBABLY A HALF, BUT THE OTHER HALF ARE
- 20 ALL THE REQUIRED SERVICES THAT WE MUST PROVIDE BY LAW THAT MR.
- 21 YAROSLAVSKY WAS ALLUDING TO IN HIS COMMENTS. SO WE'RE GIVING
- 22 YOU SOME GOOD DISCUSSION POINTS HERE. WE DIDN'T EXPECT YOU TO
- 23 APPROVE ANYTHING TODAY. THAT WASN'T THE PURPOSE. A GOOD POLICY
- 24 DECISION IS BASED ON LOOKING AT ALL POSSIBLE OPTIONS, WHICH
- 25 WE'VE DONE HERE. I THINK THE C.A.O.S OFFICE DID A VERY



- 1 COMMENDABLE JOB IN SYNTHESIZING WHAT WAS-- IF YOU THINK THIS
- 2 IS COMPLEX, YOU SHOULD HAVE SEEN WHAT WE HAD TO GET TO GET TO
- 3 THIS POINT. AND I THINK THIS COUNTY IS PRETTY MUCH ON TARGET
- 4 WITH US GETTING TO THE PLACE WHERE WE CAN BE COMFORTABLE AS A
- 5 TEAM FOR WHAT WILL EVENTUALLY BECOME THE ULTIMATE APPROVAL
- 6 PLAN. WE'LL GET THAT FOR YOU, MR. JANSSEN, I THINK, ALLUDED IN
- 7 THREE WEEKS. I LOOK FORWARD TO THAT DAY. I THINK THEN WE'LL
- 8 HAVE A LITTLE MORE OF THE SIMPLICITY THAT'S NECESSARY AND I
- 9 AGREE WITH THAT POINT THAT MRS. MOLINA WAS MAKING, THAT IT IS
- 10 VERY DIFFICULT TO BRING IT TO THE FINAL PLACE WHERE YOU REALLY
- 11 NEED IT TO BE.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE: AND IF YOU'RE NOT GOING TO THE BALLOT, THEN
- 14 THERE'S NOT A SENSE OF URGENCY FOR AUGUST 1ST OR AUGUST 8TH.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, THE SENSE OF URGENCY IS THAT WE
- 17 ARE NOW UNDER A COURT SUPERVISION WITH A...

18

19 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT PART. I'M JUST SAYING...

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND HAVING-- SHOWING THE JUDGE
- 22 PREGERSON THAT WE NOW HAVE A PROPOSAL BEFORE THIS BODY THAT WE
- 23 ARE MOVING FORWARD WITH SO THAT WE HAVE AN ACTION PLAN AND I
- 24 BELIEVE THE JUDGE HAD APPROXIMATELY A 12-MONTH TIME FRAME?



SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, HIS... 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: HIS... 3 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HANG ON. LET'S NOT CONFUSE THE SITUATION. THERE ARE TWO ISSUES. THE JUDGE PREGERSON ISSUE, THE 6 7 RUTHERFORD CASE, THEY ARE HANDLING AND THEY ARE HANDLING IT AS 8 WE SPEAK. 9 SHERIFF LEE BACA: IT'LL BE COMPLETED BY THE BEGINNING OF 10 11 SEPTEMBER. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THAT'S SEPARATE AND APART FROM THE PLAN THAT'S BEFORE US TODAY, WHICH IS A LONG-TERM CAPITAL PLAN. SO 14 THE REASON I'M ANAL ABOUT THIS IS BECAUSE I THINK IT'S 15 16 IMPORTANT THAT THE MESSAGE GET OUT AND I WAS GOING TO MAKE THIS COMMENT IN CLOSING LATER, THAT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT 17 18 HAS RESPONDED FASTER THAN I THINK EVERYBODY AND CERTAINLY ME 19 THOUGHT THEY WOULD ON THE RUTHERFORD CASE. HOW MANY PEOPLE HAVE YOU TRANSFERRED UP UNTIL NOW? 630? 630 FROM WHERE? FROM 20 C.J., CENTRAL JAIL? OUT TO... 21 22 23 SAMMY JONES: TWIN TOWERS.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: TWIN TOWERS, OTHER FACILITIES AND YOU'RE--
- 2 AND, BY SEPTEMBER, YOU'RE PLANNING TO GET ANOTHER?

3

4 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THE OTHER HALF.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I CAN'T HEAR YOU. THE OTHER 600. SO FOR A
- 7 TOTAL REDUCTION OF 12, 1,300 PEOPLE WHICH, BY THE WAY, IS ALSO
- 8 REDUCING YOUR CAPACITY IN THE JAIL, WHICH IS WHAT THIS
- 9 DISCUSSION IS ALL ABOUT. BUT, IN TERMS OF THE RUTHERFORD CASE,
- 10 YOU'RE DEALING WITH THAT ISSUE AS WELL AS SOME OF THE OTHER
- 11 ISSUES, THE RECREATION ISSUES, THE-- AND I THINK THAT'S-- I'M
- 12 SURPRISED AT HOW EASY-- I'M SURE IT WASN'T EASY BUT HOW EASY
- 13 IT WAS COMPARED TO WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT TODAY. SO THAT'S
- 14 HAPPENING. BUT I DO THINK, MR. CHAIRMAN, THAT THE-- ON THE
- 15 URGENCY-- WE STILL HAVE AN URGENCY. THE SOONER WE GET GOING ON
- 16 THIS, THE SOONER-- THE SOONER WE MAKE A DECISION ON IT, THE
- 17 SOONER WE CAN GET GOING ON IT BECAUSE WE DO HAVE, AND I THINK
- 18 THE SHERIFF IS RIGHT, LOOKING LONG-TERM AT THE CAPACITY NEEDS
- 19 THAT THE COUNTY, SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, AND THE JAIL SYSTEM
- 20 REQUIRE ARE-- WE NEED TO GET-- WE NEED TO GET GOING ON IT.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: I'D JUST LIKE TO ASK ONE FINAL QUESTION AND THIS
- 25 RELATES TO YOUR JULY 10TH LETTER, WHICH RELATES TO THE 1,200



- 1 INMATES THAT ARE BEING TRANSFERRED THAT SAYS THAT, "NORTH
- 2 ANNEX AT TWIN TOWERS WILL REQUIRE AN ADDITIONAL 40 DEPUTIES
- 3 AND 10 CUSTODY ASSISTANTS WHILE TWIN TOWERS WILL REQUIRE AN
- 4 ADDITIONAL 82 DEPUTY SHERIFFS AND 32 CUSTODY ASSISTANTS TO
- 5 ACCOMMODATE THE TRANSITION ONCE COMPLETED. THE PERSONNEL BEING
- 6 ASSIGNED TO TWIN TOWERS TO STAFF THE MOVEMENT HAS BEEN FUNDED
- 7 BY YOUR BOARD WHILE THE STAFFING AT NORTH ANNEX HAS NOT BEEN
- 8 FUNDED." WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?

9

- 10 SHERIFF LEE BACA: IT MEANS IT'S A PART OF THE MOVEMENT PACKAGE
- 11 OF HAVING THE WOMEN REMOVED FROM THE TOWER. WE TOOK THE
- 12 STAFFING THAT WAS THERE AND MOVED IT WITH THE WOMEN TO OPEN UP
- 13 THE CENTURY REGIONAL DETENTION FACILITY. THEN THE BACKFILL TO
- 14 THE TOWER IS AN INCREMENTAL BUDGET ITEM THAT THE BOARD,
- 15 THROUGH THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE, IS HELPING US FUND.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: I SEE. SO YOU'VE ALREADY WORKED THAT OUT THAT WE
- 18 HAVE-- ARE FUNDING THOSE ADDITIONAL 82 DEPUTIES-- I'M SORRY,
- 19 THE ADDITIONAL 40 DEPUTIES.

- 21 SPEAKER: SUPERVISOR, THAT WAS PART OF OUR '06/'07 BUDGET AND
- 22 IT WAS JUST A MATTER OF GETTING THE INMATES INTO THOSE
- 23 FACILITIES, TWIN TOWERS. TOWER TWO IS FULLY FUNDED FOR THIS
- 24 YEAR. WE WERE NOT FUNDED-- WE DID NOT EXPECT TO OPEN UP THOSE



- 1 ADDITIONAL BARRACKS WHERE WE MOVED THE INMATES UP TO THE RANCH
- 2 FACILITY.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: SO, REALLY, ALL OF THE ISSUES YOU'RE RAISING IN
- 5 THE JULY 10TH LETTER, WHICH IS YESTERDAY, THAT IT WAS NOT
- 6 FUNDED, ACTUALLY, IT WAS FUNDED, RIGHT?

7

8 SPEAKER: EXCEPT FOR THE P.D.C. DEPUTIES.

9

- 10 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: SUPERVISOR, I BELIEVE THAT THAT
- 11 REFERS TO SOME OF THE RUTHERFORD ISSUES WHICH WE'RE...

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: I KNOW. THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. BUT IT'S STILL--
- 14 THE WORDS "ARE NOT FUNDED" IS INCLUDED IN THAT LETTER.

15

- 16 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: IF WE WANT TO DISCUSS THE RUTHERFORD
- 17 ISSUES, WE COULD TAKE THAT INTO CLOSED SESSION IF THE NEED FOR
- 18 MORE DETAIL.

- 20 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I JUST, I MEAN, MIKE, I JUST WANTED TO
- 21 CLARIFY MY COMMENT ABOUT WHEN I SAID THERE WAS NO URGENCY.
- 22 WHAT I MEANT, THERE WAS-- YES, OBVIOUSLY, WE NEED TO DO
- 23 SOMETHING BUT, ON THE OTHER HAND, THIS IS A MAJOR PUBLIC
- 24 POLICY ISSUE AND TRYING TO WORK WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
- 25 TO GET OUT AHEAD OF THIS PLAN LONG TERM AND IF WE'RE GOING TO



- 1 DO IT, WE NEED TO DO IT RIGHT AND NOT FEEL RUSHED TO JUDGMENT.
- 2 THAT WAS THE POINT I WAS TRYING TO MAKE, SO THAT WE'RE
- 3 COMFORTABLE WITH ALL THE NUMBERS YOU HAVE, YOU KNOW, A
- 4 RECOMMENDATION, YOU KNOW, PREPARED JOINTLY BY THE C.A.O. AND
- 5 THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND YOU DO IT AND YOU DO IT RIGHT.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND ON-- DAVID, ON SCENARIO THREE,
- 8 YOU'LL COME BACK AS TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SCENARIO THREE?

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AS PRESENTED TO US?

13

14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, THAT IS CORRECT.

15

16 SUP. KNABE: THREE, SIX AND ACCOMMODATIONS THEREOF.

17

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. THREE, SIX AND THEN THE ISSUE WITH
- 19 RESPECT TO THE WOMEN AT A LOCATION OTHER THAN SYBIL AS AN
- 20 OPTION.

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND ON ITEM 37, SHERIFF, WHEN YOU
- 23 SPOKE WITH THE-- PREVIOUSLY RELATIVE TO THE M.O.A., YOU HAD
- 24 BEEN SUPPORTIVE OF THAT WITH SOME MINOR EXCEPTIONS BUT, SINCE
- 25 THAT TIME, WE'VE BEEN ADVISED THAT CONTRARY-- THAT YOU'RE NOT



- 1 IN SUPPORT OF THE M.O.A. BUT WILL INSTEAD PROVIDE A LETTER TO
- 2 THE BOARD, AND WE THOUGHT THAT LETTER WAS COMING LAST WEEK AND
- 3 THEN WE WERE TOLD IT'S STILL NOT COMING. THE QUESTION IS, WHEN
- 4 YOU APPEARED BEFORE US ON MAY 10TH, YOU PROVIDED A NEW PATROL
- 5 DEPUTY EQUITY PROGRAM WHICH SPREADS VACANCIES MORE EQUITABLY
- 6 BETWEEN THE COUNTY AREAS AND THE CONTRACT CITIES. WHEN DID
- 7 THAT POLICY TAKE EFFECT?

8

SHERIFF LEE BACA: ON MAY THE 10TH.

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MAY 10TH? BECAUSE I'M CONCERNED THAT,
- 12 DESPITE THE SIGNIFICANT VACANCIES, FOR THE MOST PART, THE
- 13 COUNTY AREAS ARE BELOW THE SWORN COMPLIANCE LEVELS THAT THE
- 14 CONTRACT CITIES ARE OR SOME OF THOSE CONTRACT CITIES ARE
- 15 EXCEEDING 100% COMPLIANCE. I'LL GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE FROM THE
- 16 DISTRICT THAT I REPRESENT FROM YOUR OWN EQUITY REPORT AND THE
- 17 MOST RECENT PAST REPORT. TEMPLE STATION HAS A TOTAL OF 35
- 18 PATROL VACANCIES WITH NINE OF THOSE IN THE COUNTY AREAS, 26 IN
- 19 THE FIVE CONTRACT CITIES. YET THE SWORN COMPLIANCE IN THE
- 20 COUNTY IS 88% WHILE ALL OF THE CONTRACT CITIES, WITH THE
- 21 EXCEPTION OF ONE, EXCEED 100%, THE EXPLANATION PROVIDED FOR
- 22 THE LOW COMPLIANCE LEVEL IN THE COUNTY AREA IS, QUOTE, DUE TO
- 23 CONTINUED MANPOWER SHORTAGES. IN PALMDALE, YOU HAVE A TOTAL OF
- 24 34 VACANCIES WITH SEVEN IN THE COUNTY AREAS AND 27 IN THE
- 25 CITY, YET THE SWORN COMPLIANCE IN THE COUNTY IS 85% AND AT



- 1 THAT THE CITY, IT'S 107%. HOW DOES THE CITY, WITH 27
- 2 VACANCIES, MANAGE TO EXCEED 100% COMPLIANCE, BESIDES TAKING
- 3 CARS OUT OF THE COUNTY AREA? AN EXPLANATION FOR THE LOW
- 4 COMPLIANCE FOR THE COUNTY WAS AS FOLLOWS: SERVICE MINUTE
- 5 COMPLIANCE RATES HAVE DROPPED IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS NOW
- 6 TOTALING A SHIFT DEFICIENCY OF 180 SHIFTS BETWEEN 90%
- 7 COMPLIANCE. THIS IS DUE, IN MOST PART, TO A DEPUTY VACANCY
- 8 FACTOR OF 34 DEPUTIES, AS WELL AS ONE LONG-TERM I.O.D. AND
- 9 THREE DEPUTIES OUTSOURCED TO OTHER UNITS. SO I'M CONCERNED
- 10 THAT THE TOTAL VACANCIES AT THE STATION WAS OR IS STILL BEING
- 11 ATTRIBUTED ENTIRELY TO THE COUNTY AND I'M NOT PICKING ON THESE
- 12 STATIONS OR THE CONTRACT CITIES, I'M JUST SAYING THAT THIS IS
- 13 HAPPENING IN THESE CASES AND TRYING TO SHARE THE SOURCE OF OUR
- 14 ONGOING CONCERNS FOR THE LACK OF EQUITY IN THE DEPLOYMENT
- 15 PLAN. I APPRECIATE THE VACANCY EOUITY POLICY BUT THERE NEEDS
- 16 TO BE EQUITY IN THE SERVICE LEVELS AS WELL AND THAT'S WHERE WE
- 17 NEED IMPROVEMENT IN THE SWORN COMPLIANCE LEVELS SO THAT
- 18 COMMUNITIES ARE GETTING ACCEPTABLE LEVELS OF COMPLIANCE. AS
- 19 YOU KNOW, THE CURRENT STAFFING LEVELS, EVEN IF FULLY
- 20 FULFILLED, ARE INADEQUATE, DROPPING THOSE LEVELS TO LOW 90 OR
- 21 80 PERCENTILE IS STILL VERY ALARMING. AND THAT'S WHY,
- 22 SUPERVISOR MOLINA, IN MY MOTION CALLING FOR THE M.O.A.,
- 23 INCLUDED A STUDY TO ADDRESS THE CURRENT STAFFING LEVELS AND
- 24 MODIFY THAT TO BETTER MEET THE NEEDS OF OUR COMMUNITIES BUT
- 25 I'M NOT SURE WHERE YOU STAND ON THAT ISSUE. WHEN WE DISCUSSED



- 1 THE M.O.A. A COUPLE WEEKS AGO, AGAIN, I SAID YOU WERE
- 2 SUPPORTIVE OF IT. BUT COUNTY COUNSEL INFORMED US, ON FRIDAY,
- 3 THAT YOU DID NOT INTEND TO SIGN IT AND WE WILL RECEIVE A
- 4 LETTER SHORTLY. COULD YOU SHARE YOUR CONCERNS WITH US TODAY?

5

- 6 SHERIFF LEE BACA: YES. THE REPORT YOU'RE REFERRING TO IS DATED
- 7 MAY OF 2006 AND IT REPORTS THE PREVIOUS 11 MONTHS OF SERVICE
- 8 MINUTES, AS YOU'VE DESCRIBED. AS I EARLIER RESPONDED TO YOUR
- 9 QUESTION ON MAY THE 10TH, I IMPLEMENTED THE EQUITY
- 10 DISTRIBUTION PLAN FOR PATROL DEPUTY RESOURCES AND THUS THIS
- 11 REPORT THAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO DOES NOT REFLECT THE POLICY
- 12 ITSELF.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO THE COMPLIANCE IS NOW EQUITABLE FOR
- 15 THE UNINCORPORATED AND THE CONTRACT CITIES FOR TEMPLE CITY,
- 16 PALMDALE AND LANCASTER STATIONS?

17

- 18 SHERIFF LEE BACA: YES, AND YOU WILL RECEIVE FROM, I PRESUME,
- 19 JULY 1ST THROUGH THE REMAINDER OF EACH CALENDAR MONTH UP UNTIL
- 20 THIS PARTICULAR REPORT IS DESCRIBED, THE NEW DATA. WHAT YOU'RE
- 21 DEALING WITH ESSENTIALLY IS DATA BEFORE THE POLICY WAS
- 22 IMPLEMENTED.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BRINGING THE EQUITY AND THE VACANCY
- 25 FACTOR DOESN'T DO ANYTHING IF THE COUNTY CARS CONTINUE TO GET



- 1 USED TO FILL THE VACANCIES IN THE CITIES. HOW DO YOU PLAN TO
- 2 BRING THE SAME LEVEL OF COMMITMENT TO FILLING COUNTY CARS AS
- 3 YOU WOULD DO FOR THE CITIES?

4

- 5 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, THE EQUITY PLAN ITSELF, AND I'LL SEND
- 6 YOU A COPY, DESCRIBES THE FACT THAT YOU CAN NO LONGER
- 7 DISPARATELY TREAT ANY OF THE COMMUNITIES, WHETHER IT'S
- 8 UNINCORPORATED OR CONTRACT CITY, TO THE DISFAVOR OF ANOTHER.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHEN YOU SIGNED THE M.O.A. WITH THE
- 11 CITIES, YOU HAVE NO CONCERNS, YOU MAKE A GOOD FAITH EFFORT BUT
- 12 WHAT ARE YOUR CONCERNS WITH THE M.O.A. WITH THE COUNTY?

13

- 14 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, IT'S EQUITABLE POLICY. THERE'S NO
- 15 FAVOR OR DISFAVOR FOR EITHER COUNTY OR CITY RESOURCES IS HOW
- 16 THEY'RE DEPLOYED.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO THERE'S NO PROBLEM IN SIGNING SUCH
- 19 AN AGREEMENT, THEN?

- 21 SHERIFF LEE BACA: OH, BUT YOU TALK ABOUT THE AGREEMENT, WHICH
- 22 I THINK THE AGREEMENT, AS YOU INDICATED BEFORE, IS A CONTRACT
- 23 MODELED THAT THE CONTRACT CITIES SIGNED WITH THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 24 ANGELES AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. THOSE PARTICULAR
- 25 CONTRACTS ARE BASED ON STAFFING PLANS THAT THE CITIES

13

17

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 THEMSELVES, WITH THE LOCAL STATION COMMANDERS, HAVE DEVELOPED.
- 2 WE ARE DEVELOPING A STAFFING PLAN FOR EACH BOARD OFFICE NOW
- 3 AND YOU WILL HAVE TO SIT DOWN WITH ME, AS AN INDIVIDUAL MEMBER
- 4 OF THE FIFTH DISTRICT BOARD POSITION YOU HOLD, AND LOOK AT IT
- 5 THROUGH ITS TOTALITY AS WE DO WITH THE CONTRACTS FOR CITIES
- 6 AND THEN YOU'LL SEE WHAT YOU'RE CURRENTLY PAYING FOR, YOU'LL
- 7 AGREE TO IT AND, IF YOU ADD MORE IN, YOU WILL ALSO DO WHATEVER
- 8 IS NECESSARY TO ADD WHATEVER MORE YOU'D LIKE. THEN YOU HAVE
- 9 THE BASIS TO SIGN THAT AGREEMENT BUT, RIGHT NOW, ABSENT YOU
- 10 KNOWING EXACTLY IN EVERY COMMUNITY THAT WE POLICE IN THE
- 11 UNINCORPORATED AREA, THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION, THERE'S NO
- 12 PREMISE FOR SIGNING AN AGREEMENT.

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO YOU'RE SAYING THAT YOU WOULD SIGN

- 15 THE AGREEMENT AFTER YOU MET WITH EACH OF THE SUPERVISORS WHO
- 16 HAVE UNINCORPORATED JURISDICTIONS...
- 18 SHERIFF LEE BACA: I THINK THAT HAS TO BE DONE FIRST. I THINK
- 19 THAT YOU ALSO HAVE TO ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE COMPETING
- 20 FACTORS THAT ARE BEYOND MY CONTROL AND THAT IS WHEN SOMEONE
- 21 CALLS IN SICK OR IS INJURED OR EVEN KILLED IN THE LINE OF
- 22 DUTY, THAT PERSON IS TOTALLY REMOVED FROM SERVICE AND THERE IS
- 23 NO REPLACEMENT COST FOR THAT INDIVIDUAL, AS SUCH. ALSO, WHEN
- 24 DISCIPLINE OCCURS, LONG-TERM DISCIPLINE, MEDIUM, SHORT-TERM,



- 1 THAT INDIVIDUAL IS REMOVED FROM SERVICE AND WE HAVE TO PAY
- 2 EXTRA TO HAVE THAT REPLACEMENT COME IN.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT YOU DO HAVE CITIES THAT ARE
- 5 GETTING 106% COMPLIANCE...

6

7 SHERIFF LEE BACA: IN THE PAST, YES.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ...WHICH MEANS YOU'RE ABLE TO USE
- 10 OVERTIME AND OTHER TYPES OF ADJUSTMENTS.

11

- 12 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, THAT'S HISTORICALLY BEEN THE CASE. AS
- 13 YOU KNOW, WE'VE BEEN...

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO COULD YOU NOT DO THE SAME FOR THE
- 16 UNINCORPORATED WHEN THAT LONG-TERM DISCIPLINARY I.O.D. OR
- 17 OTHER TYPE OF...

- 19 SHERIFF LEE BACA: NO. I THINK BOTH SIDES STAND TO LOSE A
- 20 CERTAIN AMOUNT. LET ME BE VERY CLEAR, IF I CAN BE. THE FULL
- 21 FUNDING OF DEPUTY PATROL POSITIONS IS WHERE WE START AND WHERE
- 22 WE FINISH. EITHER PLAN, COUNTY OR CITY. THE REALITY IS THAT
- 23 THERE ARE AS MANY AS 250 OR MORE I.O.D. TYPE CIRCUMSTANCES OR
- 24 SUSPENSION CIRCUMSTANCES THAT ARE POURED INTO THAT FINANCIAL
- 25 PLAN THAT ARE UNACCOUNTABLE IN THE COUNTY AUDITOR'S AUDIT AND



- 1 THEREFORE WE ARE ALWAYS WITH LESS, NOT AT THE FULL FUNDED
- 2 LEVEL, BECAUSE WE DON'T FUND I.O.D.S IN A LONG-TERM BASIS, WE
- 3 DON'T FUND DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS. WE DON'T EVEN FUND TRAINING
- 4 AND SEVEN YEARS AGO I WAS HERE TO EXPLAIN, WHEN YOU TAKE
- 5 SOMEONE OUT OF THE FIELD TO TRAIN THEM FOR CONTINUING
- 6 PROFESSIONAL TRAINING EVERY THREE YEARS, THERE IS NO BUDGET
- 7 FOR THAT. AND THAT...

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: PERHAPS THE CONTRACT SHOULD-- CITIES
- 10 SHOULD HAVE A...

11

- 12 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, BUT THE COUNTY DOESN'T DO IT BUT BOTH
- 13 OF YOU WILL HAVE TO CONSIDER DOING IT IF YOU WANT TO MAINTAIN
- 14 FULL SERVICES...

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT THE COUNTY PAYS FOR ALL OF THAT
- 17 TRAINING BECAUSE WE PROVIDE THE BUDGET FOR THAT TRAINING.

18

19 SHERIFF LEE BACA: NO, YOU DON'T.

20

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHERE DOES THE BUDGET COME FROM?

22

- 23 SHERIFF LEE BACA: IT COMES FROM WITHIN THE ORGANIZATIONS,
- 24 COMPROMISING WHAT WE DO.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO, NO, BUT THE ORGANIZATION RECEIVES
- 2 THEIR FUNDING FROM THE COUNTY UNLESS IT'S A FEDERAL OR A STATE
- 3 CONTRACT.

4

- 5 SHERIFF LEE BACA: UNDERSTOOD. BUT THE COUNTY HAS NEVER
- 6 PROVIDED A TRAINING BUDGET BEYOND THE RECRUIT TRAINING FOR THE
- 7 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT YOU HAVE EXISTING FUNDS WHICH ARE
- 10 GENERATED FROM THE COUNTY FOR THOSE PROGRAMS UNLESS IT'S A
- 11 STATE OR FEDERAL GRANT. YOU DON'T COMMIT THE MONEY OUT OF THIN
- 12 AIR.

13

- 14 SHERIFF LEE BACA: YES, WE DO. WE COMPROMISE THE SERVICES TO
- 15 PROVIDE THE TRAINING.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO, NO. BUT THE DOLLARS ARE COMING
- 18 FROM THE COUNTY. IT'S JUST THAT YOU REARRANGE THE ALLOCATION
- 19 THAT YOU'RE ALLOTTED FOR THAT TYPE OF PROGRAM.

20

- 21 SHERIFF LEE BACA: NO, WE DON'T REARRANGE THE ALLOCATION. WE
- 22 REDUCE THE SERVICES TO PAY FOR THE TRAINING.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WELL, THEN, YOU SHOULD BE MAKING THOSE
- 25 CASES DURING THE...



1

- 2 SHERIFF LEE BACA: I HAVE, REPEATEDLY, BUT THE CIRCUMSTANCES
- 3 ARE NOT AS SIMPLE AS SIGNING AN M.O.A. AND THEN SAYING ALL
- 4 PROBLEMS GO AWAY.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHEN YOU SIGN A CONTRACT WITH THE
- 7 CITY, DO YOU END UP GIVING THEM LESS PERSONNEL BECAUSE OF THE
- 8 I.O.D. OR OTHER TYPE OF SHORTAGES THAT YOU HAVE?

9

10 SHERIFF LEE BACA: ON OCCASION, YES.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ON OCCASION BUT, DURING THE
- 13 UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES, YOU DO IT MORE REGULARLY DURING
- 14 THAN THE CONTRACTED CITY CONTRACTS?

15

16 SHERIFF LEE BACA: AGREE. AND THIS IS WHY...

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND THAT'S THE CONCERN THAT'S BEING
- 19 RAISED, HOW WE EQUALIZE ENSURING THAT THE UNINCORPORATED WILL
- 20 HAVE THE EQUAL TREATMENT THAT THE INCORPORATED CITIES HAVE.

- 22 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, I DON'T KNOW IF WE'RE ARGUING ABOUT
- 23 HISTORY OR IF WE'RE ARGUING ABOUT THE PRESENT AND THE FUTURE
- 24 BUT I BELIEVE THAT THE PRESENT AND THE FUTURE HAS RESOLVED THE
- 25 DISPARITY. THAT'S WHAT THE EQUITY ASSIGNING OF DEPUTIES POLICY



- 1 IS ALL ABOUT, IS TO NOT DISFAVOR THE COUNTY FOR THE SAKE OF
- 2 THE CITIES.

3

4 SUP. BURKE: MAY I...

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE?

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE: HOW WILL WE KNOW THE EQUITY? WILL THERE BE A
- 9 FORMULA BASED UPON POPULATION OR CRIME LEVEL OR HOW WILL WE
- 10 DETERMINE THE-- WILL IT BE DETERMINED THE SAME WAY THE
- 11 CONTRACT CITIES IS DETERMINED OR HOW WILL WE DETERMINE WHAT
- 12 THE ALLOCATIONS ARE FOR THE UNINCORPORATED?

- 14 SHERIFF LEE BACA: CURRENTLY, WE HAVE IN PLACE THE ALLOCATION
- 15 NUMBERS AND WE ARE ASSEMBLING WHAT WE WOULD CALL THE
- 16 ALLOCATION BASED ON REGIONALIZED UNINCORPORATED STAFFING,
- 17 BECAUSE THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS ARE IN THE HUNDREDS AND THERE
- 18 ARE POCKETS THAT HAVE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF POPULATIONS BUT
- 19 THOSE POPULATIONS ARE, IN SOME CASES, AS SMALL AS 60 OR 70
- 20 PEOPLE. IT CAN GO AS HIGH AS 40 OR 50,000 PEOPLE AND THUS
- 21 WE'RE USING REGIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEMS, SOMETHING THAT WE
- 22 USED TO DO WITH CONTRACTS BUT WE DON'T DO IT TODAY, FOR THE
- 23 MOST PART. AND SO WE'RE KIND OF INTO THE JAIL STUFF AGAIN.
- 24 THIS IS NOT SIMPLY UNDERSTOOD AT THE FIRST CUT BUT I WILL GIVE
- 25 YOU A VERY COMPREHENSIVE STAFFING REPORT FOR THE



- 1 UNINCORPORATED AREA THAT CANNOT BE VIOLATED BY CONTRACT CITY
- 2 CONDITIONS AT THE SAME TIME. THAT'S WHAT, REALLY, THE M.O.A.
- 3 IS DESIRING TO DO. I'M GOING TO TRY AND DO IT WITHOUT AN
- 4 M.O.A., TEST IT FOR SOME MONTHS AT A TIME, SEE HOW IT WORKS
- 5 AND, WHEN I'M CONFIDENT THAT EVERYONE'S CLEAR ON OUR
- 6 PERFORMANCE STANDARD, THEN WE CAN SIGN AN M.O.A. BUT TO DO AN
- 7 M.O.A., THINKING IT'S GOING TO DRIVE A RESULT BECAUSE YOU
- 8 SIGNED A DOCUMENT, IS PLANNING BACKWARD. IT'S PUTTING THE CART
- 9 BEFORE THE HORSE AND I WANT TO BUILD THE HORSE AND THE CART IN
- 10 A WAY THAT WILL SATISFY YOU, SATISFY ME AND SATISFY THE COUNTY
- 11 AUDITOR.

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND WHAT IS YOUR TIME FRAME ON THAT?

14

- 15 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WE'RE LOOKING FOR THE REPORT OF THE STAFFING
- 16 MODEL TO BE SHORTLY, AND I'VE BEEN CHARGING MY-- WELL, I'M
- 17 POINTING AT THE WRONG CHIEFS BUT I'VE BEEN CHARGING MY FIELD
- 18 OPERATION CHIEFS TO GET THIS REPORT TOGETHER TO ASSEMBLE IT AS
- 19 A CORE DOCUMENT AND THEN HAVE EACH FIVE SUPERVISORIAL
- 20 DISTRICTS CAPABLE OF ANALYZING IT, CRITICIZING IT AND SEEING
- 21 WHAT ITS SPECIFIC CONTENT MATTER IS AND THEN, FROM THERE, WE
- 22 CAN START BUILDING WHAT WE BELIEVE IS THE OPTIMUM PLAN.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO THE BUDGET WENT INTO EFFECT JULY
- 2 1ST. ARE WE LOOKING AT HAVING THIS CONTRACT WITHIN THE NEXT
- 3 MONTH?

4

- 5 SHERIFF LEE BACA: I WOULD HOPE SO. DO YOU HAVE AN IDEA ABOUT
- 6 THAT?

7

- 8 SAMMY JONES: THERE'S NO REASON WE CAN'T GIVE YOU THE KIND OF
- 9 REPORT THAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT WITHIN A MONTH FROM NOW FOR
- 10 SURE. THE IDEAL WOULD BE TO SEE, FOR A TWO OR THREE-MONTH
- 11 PERIOD, WHETHER WE'VE GOT IT DOWN THAT OUR STATIONS HAVE ALL
- 12 THE VACANT POSITIONS IN BOTH COUNTY AND CITY FILLED EACH TIME
- 13 SO THAT THE COUNTY COMPLIANCE RATE COMES UP TO CLOSE TO A
- 14 HUNDRED PERCENT AS IT DOES IN THE CITIES. AND I THINK WE CAN
- 15 DO THAT BUT, BECAUSE IT'S NEW, RIGHT NOW, I KNOW THAT THIS
- 16 REPORT LOOKS BAD BUT THE JULY REPORT SHOULD LOOK LIKE THIS AND
- 17 THAT'S ISSUED IN AUGUST.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: HOW DO WE PROTECT OURSELVES FROM ONLY
- 20 HAVING THE UNINCORPORATEDS BEING MOVED INTO THE CONTRACT
- 21 CITIES WHEN THERE IS A NEED?

- 23 MARC KLUGMAN: WELL, YOU DON'T WANT TO PROTECT YOURSELF, MR.
- 24 CHAIRMAN, OR MR. MAYOR. THE WHOLE PRINCIPLE OF EMERGENCY
- 25 RESPONSE IS BASED ON...



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO, NO, NOT THE EMERGENCY RESPONSE.
- 3 I'M JUST TALKING ABOUT NORMAL PATROL.

4

- 5 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, THE NORMAL PATROL CONDITIONS, THEY ARE
- 6 NOT, BY POLICY, TO BE GOING INTO OTHER AREAS OTHER THAN THE
- 7 ASSIGNED PATROL BEATS.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: BUT RESPONSE TIME, SAY, TAKE THE
- 10 ANTELOPE VALLEY, YOU KNOW THE LENGTH OF TIME FOR RESPONSE,
- 11 WHICH ADDITIONAL PATROL OFFICERS WOULD BE ABLE TO REDUCE. HOW
- 12 DO YOU ENSURE THAT THAT TYPE OF RESPONSE THAT WE GIVE TO A
- 13 CONTRACT CITY IS GOING TO BE EQUALLY APPLIED TO THE
- 14 UNINCORPORATED?

- 16 SAMMY JONES: WELL, WE PUT IN THE REPORT THAT YOU'RE ASKING
- 17 FOR, WE'LL MAKE IT CLEAR HOW WE CAN GUARANTEE IT THAT THE
- 18 COUNTY WILL GET THE PROPER PERCENTAGE OF POLICE SERVICE AS
- 19 COMPARED TO EACH CITY IN THAT STATION'S AREA. WE'LL HAVE IT IN
- 20 WRITING SO IT IS UNDERSTANDABLE. IT IS HARD, AS THE SHERIFF
- 21 SAID, TO EXPLAIN IT VERBALLY WITHOUT A MAP AND WITHOUT A
- 22 TABLE, WHICH IS THE PRELIMINARY ONE, WHICH YOU ALL RECEIVED
- 23 LAST, I THINK, MAY, SO IT IS HARD TO ANSWER THE QUESTION NOW
- 24 BUT I THINK WE CAN DO IT IN WRITING IN A WAYS THAT'S A HUNDRED
- 25 PERCENT CLEAR.



1

- 2 SHERIFF LEE BACA: I THINK WHAT I'M TRYING TO SAY, MR. MAYOR,
- 3 IS THAT, WHEN YOU EQUALIZE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE FULL
- 4 SERVICE, THE HISTORY SHOWS THAT WE'LL PROBABLY FUNCTION AT
- 5 ABOUT 95 TO 98% AS A CONSISTENCY ON BOTH SIDES OF THE SPECTRUM
- 6 BECAUSE OF THAT INTERVENING, UNPREDICTABLE VARIABLE THAT KICKS
- 7 IN PROGRESSIVELY THROUGHOUT A YEAR; SICK CALL-INS, DISCIPLINE,
- 8 EVEN EMERGENCIES WHERE WE HAVE A EARTHQUAKE OR FIRE, YOU HAVE
- 9 TO PULL PEOPLE OUT...

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I UNDERSTAND AND YOU HAVE COURT TIME.

12

- 13 SHERIFF LEE BACA: ...AND SEND THEM TO MALIBU AND OTHER PLACES.
- 14 SO THE REPORT IS NOT GOING TO SHOW A HUNDRED PERCENT CONTRACT
- 15 CITY STAFFING, A HUNDRED PERCENT UNINCORPORATED STAFFING. I
- 16 JUST WANT YOU TO ACCEPT, AS A PRELIMINARY ADVISEMENT FROM ME,
- 17 THAT IT WILL SHOW ANYTHING FROM 95, 94, 97, 96, IT COULD BE A
- 18 HUNDRED.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND THAT ALSO WOULD PRECLUDE SEEKING
- 21 ADDITIONAL CONTRACTS WITH OTHER JURISDICTIONS.

- 23 SHERIFF LEE BACA: IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY COMPLY-- IT DOESN'T
- 24 NECESSARILY HAVE TO BE THAT WAY. IF THE OTHER JURISDICTION



- 1 ALREADY HAS STAFFING AND WE BRING OVER THAT STAFFING, THAT'S
- 2 NOT A NET LOSS OF OUR OWN STAFFING.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHY WOULD ANOTHER JURISDICTION HIRE
- 5 YOU, AT PERHAPS A HIGHER PRICE OR WHATEVER THE PRICE IS, WITH
- 6 LESS PERSONNEL AVAILABLE TO SERVICE THEIR NEEDS THAT THEY HAD
- 7 PREVIOUSLY TO CONTRACTING WITH YOUR AGENCY OR ANOTHER AGENCY?

8

- 9 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, CASE SPECIFIC, TWO BIG ONES, ONE SMALL
- 10 AND ONE BIG, RATHER, THE METROPOLITAN TRANSIT AUTHORITY OR
- 11 THIS BURBANK AIRPORT PARTICULAR PLAN. WHAT WE DO IS WE
- 12 ECONOMIZE, IN TERMS OF PROVIDING SECURITY OFFICERS ALONG WITH
- 13 SWORN DEPUTIES, AND YOU, IN EFFECT, CAN HAVE MORE SERVICES BY
- 14 MAKING THAT OCCUR IN TERMS OF TOTAL PERSONNEL FOR THE SAME
- 15 AMOUNT OF MONEY. AND I THINK THE ULTIMATE EXPLANATION,
- 16 HOWEVER, IS THAT THE CULTURE OF THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, WHEN
- 17 IT COMES TO SPECIALIZED POLICING, WHETHER IT'S TRANSIT,
- 18 AIRPORTS, COMMUNITY COLLEGES, IS THAT WE ARE VERY FLEXIBLE AND
- 19 ADAPTIVE TO WHAT THESE COLLEGES, CAMPUSES, CULTURES ARE OR
- 20 M.T.A. RIDERSHIP CULTURES ARE OR AIRPORT PASSENGER CULTURES
- 21 ARE. THE ESSENCE IS IS THAT WE DO A DAMN GOOD JOB AND THEY'RE
- 22 WILLING TO PAY FOR IT AND BRING US ON BOARD.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE-- I MEAN, SUPERVISOR
- 25 MOLINA.



1 2 SUP. MOLINA: SO LET'S ANSWER THE QUESTION. SO WHEN WILL THAT 3 COME BACK TO US? 4 5 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WHICH, MA'AM? 6 7 SUP. MOLINA: I DON'T KNOW. THIS PLAN. 8 9 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, WE MENTIONED WE'D BE WILLING TO COME 10 BACK WITHIN A MONTH. 11 SUP. MOLINA: IN ONE MONTH. OKAY. ONE MONTH WOULD BE WHEN? 12 13 SUP. KNABE: THAT'S THE REPORT. THEY WERE ASKING FOR ADDITIONAL 14 TIME TO TEST THEIR MATRIX. 15 16 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO UNDERSTAND. 17 18 19 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WE WANT TO COME TO YOU AS AN INDIVIDUAL 20 BOARD MEMBER TO ... 21 SUP. MOLINA: NO, I UNDERSTAND. SO IT'S ONE MONTH FROM NOW. I 22 23 JUST WANT TO HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WE'RE GETTING. ON

AUGUST THE 8TH, WE ARE GOING TO GET A REPORT...

25



1 SHERIFF LEE BACA: BEFORE THEN OR BY THEN.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. WHATEVER. THAT IS GOING TO TELL US WHAT
- 4 WOULD BE AN EQUITY MODEL PLAN AND HOPEFULLY BY THAT TIME
- 5 YOU'LL HAVE MET WITH US AND EXPLAINED IT TO US.

6

7 SHERIFF LEE BACA: CORRECT.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: CORRECT? AT THAT POINT IN TIME, WE'RE GOING TO
- 10 HAVE A BASELINE.

11

12 SHERIFF LEE BACA: YES.

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: AND, AGAIN, THERE MAY BE A TESTING THAT NEEDS TO
- 15 BE DONE, THREE TO FOUR MONTHS, TO SEE IF, IN FACT, HOW WE ARE
- 16 DOING WITH THAT.

17

18 SHERIFF LEE BACA: CORRECT.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: AFTER THAT TIME, WILL YOU BE SIGNING A MEMORANDUM
- 21 OF AGREEMENT WITH THIS BOARD ON THOSE PLANS?

- 23 SHERIFF LEE BACA: IF WE CAN WORK OUT THE BUGS AND I'M
- 24 SATISFIED THAT YOU'RE GETTING AS BEST AS CAN BE PROVIDED TO
- 25 YOU, YES. BUT I'LL SAY THIS TO YOU, LET ME SAY THIS, ONE POINT



- 1 HERE, THE VALUE OF SIGNING M.O.A. ON MY SIDE, I KNOW YOU HAVE
- 2 YOUR REASONS FOR WANTING AN M.O.A. BUT I WANT TO TELL YOU
- 3 MINE. IS WHEN I SIGN THAT M.O.A. AND IT SAYS THAT THIS IS THE
- 4 STAFFING FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREA AND WE HAVE A ROUGH
- 5 BUDGET PERIOD, WE MAY NOT BE IN AGREEMENT AS TO HOW THE BOARD
- 6 CHOOSES TO CUT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT BUDGET IN TOUGHER
- 7 TIMES. I'M NOT WORRIED ABOUT GOOD TIME M.O.A. SIGNING. I'M
- 8 WORRIED ABOUT BAD TIME M.O.A. SIGNING.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: SO-- BUT YOU ARE WILLING TO SIGN IT?

11

12 SHERIFF LEE BACA: ABSOLUTELY.

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. THE ISSUE FOR US, IN THE
- 15 UNINCORPORATED AREAS, IS NO DIFFERENT THAN IF I WERE THE MAYOR
- 16 OF MONTEBELLO OR PICO RIVERA IN THAT I NEED THE ASSURANCES TO
- 17 MY COMMUNITY RESIDENTS, JUST BECAUSE THEY LIVE IN AN
- 18 UNINCORPORATED AREA, THAT THEY ARE ENTITLED TO PATROL BY LAW
- 19 ENFORCEMENT, THAT THEY'RE GOING TO BE PROVIDED THE FULL RANGE
- 20 OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES. NOW, FOR THE MOST PART, WE HAVE
- 21 TRIED TO WORK THAT ON AN ONGOING BASIS BUT I HAVE TO OPERATE
- 22 THE SAME LEVEL AND YOU NEED TO PROVIDE ME THOSE ASSURANCES. SO
- 23 WHENEVER WE CAN GET TO A BASELINE THAT WE CAN AGREE, THE
- 24 PRESENT M.O.A. BASICALLY SAID "AS IS". IN OTHER WORDS, WHAT
- 25 YOU'RE PROVIDING US, WE'RE WILLING TO ACCEPT IT AS IS AND YOU



- 1 WEREN'T WILLING TO SIGN THAT AGREEMENT. SO, AGAIN, I JUST WANT
- 2 TO CLARIFY, I WANT TO CLARIFY, WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO GET TO IS
- 3 A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WE'RE EACH GETTING? I WAS
- 4 WILLING TO ACCEPT THE AS IS. WHAT I GET NOW IS WHAT I GET TO
- 5 KEEP BUT I NEEDED A BASELINE. AND I UNDERSTAND THE FUNDING
- 6 RESPONSIBILITIES BUT SUPERVISOR KNABE WILL REMIND YOU THAT WE
- 7 DID FUND ADDITIONAL EXTRA UNINCORPORATED PATROL AND WE PAID
- 8 FOR IT AND IT'S IN THE BUDGET AND WE'RE NOT GETTING IT.

9

- 10 SHERIFF LEE BACA: OH, YES YOU ARE. YOU'RE GETTING IT THROUGH
- 11 OVERTIME AND I THINK THAT THEREIN IS WHERE-- WHAT'S GOOD ABOUT
- 12 THE M.O.A. FROM MY POINT OF VIEW...

13

14 SUP. MOLINA: WE'RE NOT GETTING IT.

15

- 16 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, WE HAVE A DIFFERENCE OF OPINION. WHAT
- 17 I LIKE ABOUT SIGNING THE M.O.A. MAY NOT BE WHAT YOU LIKE IN
- 18 SIGNING THE M.O.A. BUT I WILL SAY THIS, THAT, ONCE IT'S SIGNED
- 19 WITH THE COUNTY COUNSEL STAMP OF APPROVAL, THEN I THINK THAT
- 20 WE'RE IN A CLEAR PATH AS TO WHO'S JOINTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR
- 21 PROVIDING POLICING FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS.

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: I WOULD AGREE. ABSOLUTELY. AND BELIEVE ME, I
- 24 WOULD AGREE AND THAT'S WHAT WE NEED TO UNDERSTAND, SHERIFF.
- 25 YOU KNOW, ON MY SIDE OF IT, I NEED THAT AS WELL AS YOU DO. IT



- 1 HAS TO BE WORKABLE, IT HAS TO MAKE SENSE. I HAVE TO HAVE THE
- 2 ABILITY TO FUND IT. I CAN'T BE ASKING YOU TO GO FIND MONEY FOR
- 3 THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS. BUT THE ISSUE IS, WHEN WE DO FUND
- 4 IT, AND, YES, THAT MONEY IS SITTING IN A P.F.U. THAT STILL
- 5 CANNOT BE FULFILLED, ALL RIGHT? SO WE DO FUND IT, AND I
- 6 UNDERSTAND THAT, AND IF YOU WANT TO PUT THAT VARIABLE IN THIS
- 7 MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT, I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT AND I
- 8 DON'T THINK THAT'S ANY DIFFERENT THAN A CONTRACT WITH COMPTON
- 9 OR PICO RIVERA. IF THEY DON'T PAY YOU, THEY DON'T GET THE
- 10 SERVICE.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OR EVEN THE HOSPITALS, WE'VE HAD TO
- 13 MAKE CUTS AT THE HOSPITALS OR HEALTH CENTERS BECAUSE, WHILE WE
- 14 HAD A COMMITMENT TO MAINTAIN THEM, IT WAS BASED UPON THE
- 15 REVENUE STREAM AND, WHEN THERE WAS REDUCTION REVENUE, WE HAD
- 16 TO MAKE THOSE MODIFICATIONS AT OUR HOSPITALS.

17

18 SHERIFF LEE BACA: AND LET ME BE MORE...

19

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OR LIBRARIES OR PARKS AND REC.

- 22 SHERIFF LEE BACA: EXACTLY. AND I'LL SAY THIS, THE M.O.A.
- 23 CONCEPT, SO YOU KNOW, HAS CAUSED A LOT OF POSITIVE FACT
- 24 FINDING TO OCCUR IN THE CURRENT MANNER IN WHICH WE STAFF, IN
- 25 THE CURRENT BUDGETING PROCESSES THAT WE USE AND I'LL TELL YOU



- 1 THAT IS PLEASING TO ME. AND THE REASON IT IS IS BECAUSE THIS
- 2 BOARD HAS BEEN VERY, VERY SUPPORTIVE OF INCREASING
- 3 UNINCORPORATED SERVICES AND, FOR WHATEVER REASONS, WHEN WE
- 4 ROLLOVER FROM ONE BUDGET CYCLE TO THE OTHER, THE HISTORICAL
- 5 TRACKING OF THOSE INCREASES ARE KIND OF BLENDED INTO THE
- 6 GENERAL BUDGET OF THE DEPARTMENT IN SUCH A MANNER THAT EVEN I
- 7 HAVE DIFFICULTY KNOWING WHAT HAPPENED TO THOSE FIVE OTHER
- 8 PEOPLE THAT WERE HIRED AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME AND WHY AREN'T
- 9 THEY OUT IN BLACK AND WHITE RADIO CARS? DID WE HAVE SOME
- 10 PEOPLE PULLED OUT OF THAT AND PUT ON A SPECIAL PROJECT OF SOME
- 11 KIND? SO THAT THIS IS NOT ABOUT AVOIDING THE VERY INTENDED
- 12 PURPOSE OF THAT SUGGESTION. WHAT I'M DOING IS SEEING CLEARLY
- 13 THAT WE BOTH STAND TO GAIN AND I WANT THAT TO BE UNDERSTOOD
- 14 HERE.

15

16 **SUP. KNABE:** MR. MAYOR?

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE, THEN SUPERVISOR
- 19 KNABE.

- 21 SUP. BURKE: THERE IS PARTICULAR DATA THAT I THINK WE'RE GOING
- 22 TO HAVE TO HAVE IN ORDER TO REALLY UNDERSTAND. I REMEMBER,
- 23 WHEN WE WERE DISCUSSING COMPTON, YOU INDICATED THAT THEIR
- 24 CONTRACT WAS FOR AN AMOUNT LESS THAN THE AMOUNT OF-- THE
- 25 NUMBER OF DEPUTIES THEY SHOULD HAVE HAD IN THEIR CONTRACT AND



- 1 I CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT THE DIFFERENCE WAS AND I'M NOT SURE HOW
- 2 THE DIFFERENCE IN THAT CONTRACT IS WHAT THEY ACTUALLY ENTERED
- 3 INTO AND WERE ALLOWED TO ENTER INTO AND HOW IT WAS CALCULATED,
- 4 WHETHER IT'S CALCULATED IN TERMS OF POPULATION OR VIOLATIONS
- 5 OR CRIMINAL RECORDS AND WHAT IS GOING TO BE VERY IMPORTANT FOR
- 6 US IN OUR DISTRICT, IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO MAKE ANY SENSE OF
- 7 THE NUMBERS THAT WE'RE GIVEN, TO GET BOTH OF THOSE NUMBERS AS
- 8 IT RELATES TO ADJACENT AREAS. FOR INSTANCE, IN CARSON, THE
- 9 NUMBER OF DEPUTIES PER POPULATION OR WHATEVER METHOD IS USED
- 10 TO CALCULATE THOSE AND WHAT THAT WOULD BE IN TERMS OF IF IT
- 11 WAS THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT THAT THEY SHOULD HAVE. I GATHER, IN
- 12 CARSON, THEY DO HAVE THE NUMBER THAT IS REQUIRED OR SHOULD BE
- 13 REQUIRED, BASED UPON POPULATION AND PAST HISTORY, WHERE IN
- 14 COMPTON, I UNDERSTOOD, THEY DID NOT CONTRACT FOR. SO YOU WERE-
- 15 AND I APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT YOU WERE ABLE-- YOU PUT IN
- 16 MORE DEPUTIES IN THERE OVER WHAT THEY HAD ACTUALLY PAID FOR IN
- 17 ORDER TO REALLY LIMIT THE IMPACT OF SOME OF THE CRIME THAT WAS
- 18 OCCURRING AROUND NOT ONLY IN COMPTON BUT IN ADJACENT AREAS. SO
- 19 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE THAN BASIC
- 20 INFORMATION TO BE ABLE TO DISCUSS IT INTELLIGENTLY.

21

- 22 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WHEN WE MEET WITH YOU AS AN INDIVIDUAL, WE
- 23 HOPE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH THAT INFORMATION.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: ADJACENT NUMBERS IN THE CONTRACT, ADJACENT NUMBERS
- 2 OF WHAT SHOULD BE IN THE CONTRACT, AND HOW YOU CALCULATE IT SO
- 3 THAT WE CAN HAVE-- BECAUSE WE WOULDN'T HAVE A METHOD OF MAKING
- 4 ANY COMPARISON UNLESS WE HAVE BOTH THE NUMBERS.

5

- 6 SHERIFF LEE BACA: I AGREE WITH YOU. SINCE MR. TANAKA HAS BEEN
- 7 MY FISCAL MANAGER AND NOW MR. RAMPULA WORKING CLOSELY
- 8 TOGETHER, WE HAVE OPENED UP THE BOOKS ON EVERYTHING WE DO
- 9 FINANCIALLY SO THAT THERE ISN'T ANY STONE UNTURNED TO EXPLAIN
- 10 HOW IT IS ESTABLISHED WHO'S FUNDING WHAT, WHAT CITY IS DOING
- 11 WHATEVER THEY'RE DOING IN ORDER TO PROTECT THEIR CITIZENRY. SO
- 12 WE FEEL THAT ALL THE AUDITING CAPABILITY THAT THE C.A.O.
- 13 NEEDS, ALONG WITH THE COUNTY AUDITOR AND ALONG WITH EACH BOARD
- 14 OFFICE, IT'S ALL YOURS AND I BELIEVE THAT THE BEST GOVERNMENT
- 15 IS GOVERNMENT THAT IS DISCLOSIVE TRANSPARENT AND THAT INCLUDES
- 16 OUR FINANCIAL PLANS.

- 18 SUP. KNABE: MS. BURKE, CAN I JUST FOLLOW UP? SHERIFF, IN ALL
- 19 DUE RESPECT, YOU MADE ONE COMMENT THAT WAS RATHER DISTURBING
- 20 TO ME AND THAT WAS THE FACT THAT YOU GET NO TRAINING DOLLARS.
- 21 EVERY PROPOSAL YOU PUT BEFORE US, YOU ALWAYS HAVE TRAINING AS
- 22 PART OF IT AND WE TRY TO FUND THAT IF WE FUND IT. YOUR
- 23 OVERHEAD RATES, YOU INCLUDE A TRAINING AMOUNT, SO I'M-- YOU
- 24 KNOW, IF YOU COULD GET BACK TO ME OR THE ENTIRE BOARD TO WHAT
- 25 YOU MEAN WHEN YOU RECEIVE NO TRAINING DOLLARS OTHER THAN FOR



- 1 DEPUTIES, BECAUSE YOU ALWAYS BREAK IT OUT-- NOT YOU BUT, I
- 2 MEAN, YOUR DEPARTMENT BREAKS IT OUT, TRAINING OVERHEAD,
- 3 TRAINING COSTS, THOSE KINDS OF THINGS ARE ALL PART OF THE
- 4 PACKAGE YOU PRESENT TO US, SO I'D BE INTERESTED IN KNOWING WHY
- 5 WE WOULD NOT-- I MEAN, SINCE WE SEE IT BEFORE US, WHY YOU
- 6 THINK YOU DON'T GET ANY MONEY FOR TRAINING OR WHAT NUMBERS YOU
- 7 CAN DO TO VALIDATE THAT. THANK YOU.

8

9 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THANK YOU.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN?

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I JUST DIDN'T WANT TO GET INTO THIS
- 16 DISCUSSION BUT I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR. AT THE END OF THE DAY,
- 17 YOU'RE THE ONE WHO MAKES THE DECISION. YOU'RE ELECTED TO MAKE
- 18 THE DECISION ON DEPLOYMENT.

19

20 SHERIFF LEE BACA: CORRECT.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND I'M CONCERNED THAT WE-- THAT THIS NOT
- 23 BECOME A POLITICAL NEGOTIATION OVER WHERE YOU'RE GOING TO
- 24 DEPLOY YOUR OFFICERS. YOU'VE GOT-- I MEAN, I'M-- AND IT'S A
- 25 FINE LINE HERE BECAUSE WE'RE ALL GOING TO FIGHT FOR AS MANY



- 1 LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS IN OUR COMMUNITY AS POSSIBLE BUT, AT
- 2 THE END OF THE DAY, YOU'RE THE ONLY ONE WHO IS CHARGED WITH--
- 3 AND ARE ELECTED AND I WON'T BOTHER TO EVEN MENTION THAT I
- 4 THINK THE STATE CONSTITUTION IS ON YOUR SIDE NO MATTER WHAT WE
- 5 SAY, BUT I EXPECT THAT YOU WILL COME TO THE BOARD AND SAY THIS
- 6 IS WHAT I THINK WE NEED HERE, HERE, YOU KNOW, THIS
- 7 UNINCORPORATED AREA, THAT UNINCORPORATED AREA, ET CETERA,
- 8 BECAUSE YOU'RE GOING TO BE-- YOU'RE THE ONE WHO'S, AT THE END
- 9 OF THE DAY, GOING TO BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE NOT ONLY BY THIS
- 10 BOARD BUT BY THE ELECTORATE. HOW DO YOU RECONCILE THIS? I
- 11 MEAN, I CAN SEE THE BOARD COULD SAY, TAKE 15% OF THE PATROL
- 12 CARS OUT OF AREA "X" AND PUT IT IN AREA "Y" AND THERE WERE
- 13 THREE VOTES FOR IT.

- 15 SHERIFF LEE BACA: WELL, I DON'T THINK THAT'S THE INTENT OF
- 16 THIS M.O.A. I THINK WHAT WE ARE LOOKING HERE TO ACCOMPLISH IS
- 17 MORE ACCOUNTABILITY TO EACH BOARD OFFICE, AS WELL AS THE BOARD
- 18 AS A WHOLE, THAT WHAT IS FUNDED TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT IS
- 19 TRACKED PROPERLY UNDER AN AGREEMENT AND THERE'S TWO WAYS TO DO
- 20 THIS. YOU CAN TAKE THE BASELINE THAT IS CURRENTLY IN PLACE AND
- 21 SAY, "OKAY, SHERIFF, THAT'S YOUR MINIMUM STAFFING THAT YOU
- 22 THINK IS ACCEPTABLE AND SAY THAT'S A CONSTITUTIONALLY
- 23 INVIOLABLE DECISION THAT YOU'VE MADE BUT THEN ANYTHING ABOVE
- 24 THAT SHOULD CERTAINLY BE UNDER AN M.O.A. AGREEMENT", WHICH IS



1 WHERE I'M TRYING TO REFINE THIS IDEA SO THAT I DON'T LOSE THAT

2 CONSTITUTIONAL PREROGATIVE THAT YOU'VE ELUDED TO.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THAT M.O.A., THAT INCREMENT, THAT

5 ADDITIONAL INCREMENT IS GOING TO BE BASED ON WHAT?

6

- 7 SHERIFF LEE BACA: ON A COLLABORATION WITH EACH BOARD MEMBER AS
- 8 TO WHAT MORE SERVICES THEY BELIEVE ARE MUTUALLY AGREED UPON,
- 9 CONTRACTUALLY, BETWEEN THIS M.O.A. AND OURSELVES. IF I WERE
- 10 GOING TO DO THIS, AND I WASN'T ASKED WHEN THE IDEA WAS BROUGHT
- 11 FORTH, I WOULD HAVE SAID LET'S DEAL WITH THE CURRENT BASELINE
- 12 AND WE ALL GOING TO AGREE TO IT AND ANYTHING ABOVE THAT WOULD
- 13 BE AN M.O.A. AGREEMENT AND THAT WOULD ALLOW FOR MORE
- 14 FLEXIBILITY ON BOTH SIDES. BUT THAT'S SOMETHING I'LL DISCUSS
- 15 WITH EACH OF THE FIVE BOARD MEMBERS BUT I DON'T SEE IT AS AN
- 16 EROSION OF MY AUTHORITY TO CONCUR THAT THE CURRENT
- 17 UNINCORPORATED SERVICES ARE AN AGREED TO LEVEL WITHOUT AN
- 18 M.O.A.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE WAY YOU'VE JUST DESCRIBED THAT PART IS
- 21 NOT BUT THE INCREMENT, THE INCREASED INCREMENT, YOU'RE GOING
- 22 TO NEGOTIATE WITH EACH BOARD OFFICE?

23

24 SHERIFF LEE BACA: I WOULDN'T NECESSARILY NEGOTIATE BECAUSE...



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IF I CAN GET 10 MILLION MORE IN MY BUDGET,
- 2 MR. YAROSLAVSKY, I'LL PUT AN EXTRA 30 PATROL CARS IN YOUR
- 3 AREA? IF I DON'T GET YOUR VOTE, I'M GOING TO PUT THEM IN...

4

5 SHERIFF LEE BACA: CORRECT. NO, NO...

6

7 SUP. BURKE: NO, BUT HISTORICALLY IT'S A MATTER...

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I MEAN, WHAT IS IT? I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND
- 10 WHERE THIS IS LEADING.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE: ... THAT WE PUT IT IN FROM OUR DISCRETIONARY, THE
- 13 WAY IT'S WORKED IS...

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND.

16

17 SUP. BURKE: ...WHEN THERE'S A SHORTAGE, WE HAVE PUT IT IN.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: THAT'S THE WAY IT WORKS.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT WE'RE TALKING HERE ABOUT A LOT MORE THAN
- 24 WHAT YOU'VE PUT IN THE DISCRETIONARY. WE'RE TALKING HERE BIG
- 25 BUCKS.



```
1
    SUP. BURKE: WELL, WHEN YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT TWO DEPUTIES,
2
    THAT'S...
3
4
5
    SHERIFF LEE BACA: WE'RE ALSO...
6
    SUP. BURKE: ...WHAT WE PUT IN.
7
8
9
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S RIGHT. NOW HE'S-- WE'RE TALKING HERE
    ABOUT-- WELL, ANYWAY. LET ME...
10
11
    SHERIFF LEE BACA: I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT.
12
13
14
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DO YOU UNDERSTAND MY CONCERN, CHIEF?
15
    SHERIFF LEE BACA: YEAH, I DO.
16
17
18
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CHIEF. [ LAUGHTER ] I'M GOING BACKWARD...
19
20
    SHERIFF LEE BACA: I THINK YOU'RE REALLY APROPOS IN MY
21
    COMMENTS...
22
23
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ...TO MY FETAL POSITION HERE.
24
```



- 1 SHERIFF LEE BACA: ...BUT LET ME SAY THIS. IN THE COMPLEXITY OF
- 2 WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO, I DON'T THINK THE MOUNTAIN IS TOO
- 3 HIGH. I THINK THAT GUIDELINES ARE CERTAINLY APPROPRIATE HERE
- 4 AND THIS COUNTY COUNSEL WILL VIEW THE CONSTITUTIONALITY ISSUES
- 5 OF THE TWO SEPARATE OFFICES AND WE WILL DEVELOP A SET OF
- 6 GUIDELINES THAT WILL ASSURE THAT WE'RE NOT GOING BEYOND WHAT
- 7 THE INTENDED PURPOSE OF THIS IS AND, IF IT SHOWS THAT WE ARE
- 8 GOING BEYOND THE INTENDED PURPOSE OF IT IS, THEN I'D BE A DAMN
- 9 FOOL TO SIGN AN M.O.A. WITH A MEMBER OF THE BOARD. BUT I'M NOT
- 10 LOOKING TO SIGN M.O.A.S AS A WHOLE BODY. I WANT TO SIGN A
- 11 M.O.A. WITH EACH BOARD MEMBER AND THEREIN IS THE DIFFERENCE IN
- 12 THE SYSTEM. I DON'T SIGN AN M.O.A. WITH ALL CONTRACT CITIES IN
- 13 ONE. I SIGN A M.O.A. WITH EACH CONTRACT CITY ONE AT A TIME AND
- 14 THEN IT LEAVES FOR MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF INTEGRITY WITHIN THE
- 15 SYSTEM. AND SO WE'RE LOOKING AT INDIVIDUAL DISTRICT M.O.A.S
- 16 FROM MY POINT OF VIEW.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, I'M GOING TO BE INTERESTED TO SEE WHAT
- 19 YOU COME BACK WITH.

20

- 21 SHERIFF LEE BACA: I'M GOING TO BE INTERESTED IN SEEING IT,
- 22 TOO.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND I'M GOING TO BE REALLY INTERESTED TO SEE
- 2 WHAT YOU ACTUALLY SIGN BECAUSE, AT THE END OF THE DAY, AND, I
- 3 MEAN...

4

- 5 SHERIFF LEE BACA: AT THE END OF THE DAY, I TRUST THAT THIS
- 6 BOARD, AND I'M GOING TO BE CLEAR, IS TRYING TO DO WHAT IS BEST
- 7 FOR THE PUBLIC AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES IN THE UNINCORPORATED
- 8 AREA AND I BELIEVE...

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M SURE WE ALL BELIEVE THAT THAT'S WHAT
- 11 WE'RE DOING.

12

- 13 SHERIFF LEE BACA: AND I BELIEVE THAT THE BOARD MEMBERS WANT TO
- 14 DO MORE, NOT LESS.

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: AND LET ME, YOU KNOW, LET ME BE CLEAR ON THIS. YOU
- 17 KNOW, WHEN YOU COME TO US AND SAY WE'VE GOT A BIG PROBLEM AND
- 18 WE NEED AN ADDITIONAL MILLION DOLLARS, IT DOESN'T ALWAYS COME
- 19 FROM THIS BOARD. GLORIA AND I COME UP WITH THAT MILLION
- 20 DOLLARS AND WE PROVIDE IT, IF IT'S FOR TWO MONTHS.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: WE PAID FOR IT.

- 24 SUP. BURKE: WHAT? RIGHT. AND WE PROVIDE IT. WE TAKE IT AWAY
- 25 FROM OUR OPERATIONS TO DO IT.



1

2 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S WHAT WE DID IN OUR AREA.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: AND WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS THAT IF THE CON--
- 5 HE HAS A CONTRACT WITH THE CITIES ADJACENT TO US BUT WHAT
- 6 HAPPENS, THE DEPUTIES SAY, IF SOMEONE'S SICK OVER IN THE
- 7 CONTRACT AREA, THEY TAKE THEM FROM THE UNINCORPORATED AREA TO
- 8 MAKE UP FOR THAT CONTRACT BECAUSE HE HAS TO ABIDE BY THAT
- 9 CONTRACT. NOW, I'M NOT THAT HAPPY WITH AN M.O.A. AS A LAWYER,
- 10 I REALIZE IT'S NOT ENFORCEABLE BUT, TO ME, WHAT IS ENFORCEABLE
- 11 IS IF WE SIT DOWN AND AGREE THAT THERE IS A CERTAIN LEVEL OF
- 12 SERVICE THAT YOU WE WOULD PROVIDE IF WE WERE A CONTRACT CITY,
- 13 THAT IT'S ONLY FAIR THAT THAT SAME LEVEL SHOULD BE PROVIDED
- 14 BECAUSE WE'RE AN UNINCORPORATED AREA. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE
- 15 TALKING ABOUT AND, IF IT'S NOT ADEQUATE, WE MAY COME TO THE
- 16 BOARD OR WE MAY SIMPLY SAY, OKAY, WE'LL CUT IT OUT OF OUR
- 17 OFFICE AND ALL THE OVER THINGS WE HAVE AND WE'LL PUT THAT
- 18 MONEY, WE'LL GIVE IT TO YOU. IN OUR OFFICE, IF IT'S YOU NEED A
- 19 MOBILE VAN, WE PROVIDE YOU WITH A MOBILE VAN. IF YOU NEED A
- 20 MOTORCYCLE OR BICYCLE OFFICERS, WE PROVIDE THE BICYCLE
- 21 OFFICERS BECAUSE WE BELIEVE THAT WE SHOULD PROVIDE WHAT IS
- 22 NECESSARY AND YOU NEVER ASKED US FOR ANYTHING WE DIDN'T
- 23 PROVIDE.

24

25 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THAT'S ESPECIALLY TRUE.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE HAVE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE
- 3 ASKED TO SPEAK ON THE VARIOUS ISSUES BEFORE US.

4

5 SHERIFF LEE BACA: THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. THANK YOU, BOARD.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: JODY KENT. DON JUSTIN JONES, PERCY
- 8 DURAN. WARREN WILLIAMS. BEFORE YOU SPEAK, JUST GIVE YOUR NAME
- 9 FOR THE RECORD.

- 11 JODY KENT: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS JODY KENT. I AM THE
- 12 JAILS PROJECT COORDINATOR FOR THE A.C.L.U. OF SOUTHERN
- 13 CALIFORNIA. THE A.C.L.U. MONITORS CONDITIONS IN THE L.A.
- 14 COUNTY JAILS AS A RESULT OF RUTHERFORD VS. PITCHESS, WHICH IS
- 15 A CASE THAT WAS FILED ABOUT 30 YEARS AGO. IN MAY, 2005,
- 16 FEDERAL DISTRICT JUDGE DEAN PREGERSON TOURED MEN'S CENTRAL
- 17 JAIL AND DECLARED THAT SOME OF THE LIVING CONDITIONS THERE ARE
- 18 NOT CONSISTENT WITH BASIC VALUES AND SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED
- 19 TO EXIST. ACCORDINGLY, IN JUNE 2006, THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
- 20 AND THE A.C.L.U. AGREED, IN A COURT ORDER, TO CONVENE A PANEL
- 21 CALLED THE RUTHERFORD PANEL TO DEVISE PLANS WITH IMMEDIATE
- 22 REMEDIAL MEASURES TO AMELIORATE JAIL CONDITIONS. THAT PLAN IS
- 23 TO BE SUBMITTED TO JUDGE PREGERSON ON AUGUST 28TH, 2006. THIS
- 24 PANEL INCLUDES REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE C.A.O.S OFFICE, THE
- 25 SHERIFF AND THE A.C.L.U. I AM A MEMBER OF THE RUTHERFORD PANEL



- 1 SPEAKING TODAY ON BEHALF OF THE A.C.L.U. THE PANEL HAS BEGUN
- 2 WORKING COLLABORATIVELY TO CREATE PLANS, BOTH SHORT-TERM AND
- 3 LONG-TERM, TO IMPROVE JAIL CONDITIONS, PARTICULARLY AT MEN'S
- 4 CENTRAL JAIL. WE EXPECTED THAT THE PANEL'S RECOMMENDATIONS
- 5 WILL COMPLIMENT THE TYPES OF POPULATION REDUCTIONS PROPOSED IN
- 6 THE FACILITIES PLAN TODAY. WE ARE MOST PLEASED TO SEE THAT THE
- 7 PROPOSED FACILITIES PLAN REFLECTS THE SERIOUSNESS WITH WHICH
- 8 THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HAVE TAKEN
- 9 THE NEED TO REDUCE CROWDING AT MEN'S CENTRAL JAIL. THIS CHANGE
- 10 HAS ALREADY HAD A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON LIVING CONDITIONS FOR
- 11 INMATES IN THOSE AREAS AND SHOULD ALSO IMPROVE THE SAFETY OF
- 12 BOTH DEPUTIES AND INMATES AT MEN'S CENTRAL JAIL. THERE ARE
- 13 SEVERAL AREAS, OF COURSE, THAT ARE NOT ADDRESSED IN THE
- 14 FACILITIES PLAN BUT THAT WE DO EXPECT WILL BE AND SHOULD BE
- 15 ADDRESSED IN THE RUTHERFORD PANEL'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND
- 16 THESE INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING FOUR ITEMS. NUMBER ONE,
- 17 PERMANENTLY REDUCE THE POPULATION IN THE DORMS AT MEN'S
- 18 CENTRAL JAIL. DORMS AT MEN'S CENTRAL JAIL, LIKE THE MULTI-MAN
- 19 CELLS, HOLD TOO MANY INMATES IN TOO SMALL OF AN AREA. STATE
- 20 STANDARDS AND INDUSTRY GUIDELINES CALL FOR SIGNIFICANTLY FEWER
- 21 PEOPLE IN THE DORMITORIES. SHORT-TERM PLANS MUST INCLUDE
- 22 OPTIONS TO BRING THE POPULATION IN THE DORMS AT LEAST CLOSER
- 23 TO LEGAL STANDARDS AND LONG-TERM SOLUTIONS SHOULD COMPLETELY
- 24 REMEDY THESE CONDITIONS ALTOGETHER. THE FACILITIES PLAN SHOULD
- 25 NOT BE FINALIZED UNTIL IT INCLUDES SUCH A PROVISION. NUMBER



- 1 TWO, ENHANCE SUPERVISION OF INMATES AT MEN'S CENTRAL JAIL.
- 2 EFFECTIVE AND CONTINUOUS SUPERVISION OF INMATES AT MEN'S
- 3 CENTRAL JAIL IS VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE DUE TO THE NATURE OF ITS
- 4 OUTDATED STRUCTURAL DESIGN. DEPUTIES ARE AT RISK WHEN THEY
- 5 PERFORM THEIR SECURITY CHECKS BECAUSE THEY CANNOT SEE INTO THE
- 6 CELLS UNTIL THEY ARE STANDING DIRECTLY IN FRONT OF THEM.
- 7 INMATES ARE CONSTANTLY AT RISK OF BEING ATTACKED IN THEIR
- 8 CELLS WITHOUT NOTICE BY STAFF, WHO WORK IN A CONTROL BOOTH AT
- 9 THE FRONT OF THE MODULE. NUMBER THREE, IMPROVE THE PHYSICAL
- 10 DESIGN AND INTEGRITY OF MEN'S CENTRAL JAIL OR PHASE IT OUT
- 11 COMPLETELY. ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING AND VENTILATION SYSTEMS ARE
- 12 IN NEED OF MAJOR OVERHAUL. THE BUILDING DOES NOT MEET
- 13 NECESSARY SEISMIC STANDARDS AND THEREFORE MUST EITHER BE
- 14 BROUGHT UP TO CODE OR REPLACED. MOREOVER, MEN'S CENTRAL JAIL
- 15 HAS BEEN DEEMED BY EXPERTS UNSAFE IN THE EVENT OF A FIRE. AS A
- 16 RESULT, THERE'S A SUBSTANTIAL RISK FOR SERIOUS INJURIES TO
- 17 BOTH STAFF AND INMATES IN THE EVENT OF AN EARTHQUAKE OR A
- 18 FIRE. AND, NUMBER FOUR, EXPLORE AND DEVELOP DIVERSION OPTIONS
- 19 AND ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION. IT IS IMPERATIVE TO FIND
- 20 SUCCESSFUL ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION RATHER THAN TO SPEND
- 21 ENDLESS AMOUNTS OF MONEY ON JAIL BEDS ALONE IN ORDER TO AVOID
- 22 HAVING A CONVERSATION AGAIN IN 10 YEARS OR POSSIBLY SOONER
- 23 ABOUT WHERE TO BUILD MORE JAILS. THERE ARE LESS COSTLY
- 24 APPROACHES AND ALTERNATIVES USED AROUND THE COUNTRY THAT NOT
- 25 ONLY SAVE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS MONEY BUT ALSO PROVIDE NEEDED



- 1 SERVICES TO HELP KEEP PEOPLE FROM COMMITTING CRIMES IN THE
- 2 FIRST PLACE AND ULTIMATELY THEY IMPROVE PUBLIC SAFETY.
- 3 FINALLY, WE NEED FLEXIBILITY IN THE CURRENT PLANS IN THE
- 4 PROPOSED PLANS TODAY IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE THAT THE RUTHERFORD
- 5 PANEL CAN ADDRESS THESE AND OTHER RELATED ISSUES. THE
- 6 FACILITIES PLAN IS A CRITICALLY IMPORTANT COMPONENT OF THE
- 7 OVERALL SHORT AND LONG-TERM PLANNING NECESSARY TO MEET THE
- 8 FEDERAL COURT'S CHARGE TO THE PANEL. WE BELIEVE IT IS AN
- 9 EXCELLENT START AND WE ARE COMMITTED TO WORKING TOGETHER TO
- 10 MAKE IT THE BEST, MOST COST EFFECTIVE PLAN POSSIBLE. THANK
- 11 YOU.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME ALSO CALL UP LUPE LOZA, WARREN
- 14 WILLIAMS, WHO WAS NOT HERE. SERVANDO ORNELAS. YES. GIVE YOUR
- 15 NAME FOR THE RECORD PLEASE.

- 17 PERCY DURAN: YES. PERCY DURAN, COMMISSIONER OF THE U.S.
- 18 COMMISSION OF CIVIL RIGHTS. I THINK WE PRETTY MUCH BEAT THE
- 19 HORSE TO DEATH TODAY IN TERMS OF THE NEED FOR THE HEALTH
- 20 ISSUES, THE OVERCROWDING, THE CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS THAT ARE
- 21 TAKING PLACE IN THE PRISONS, THE NUMBER OF DEATHS, THE NUMBER
- 22 OF INJURIES THAT HAVE TAKEN PLACE AND, AS MR. BACA INDICATED,
- 23 THERE IS A NEED TO FIND THE RESOURCES, AND I THINK YOU ALL
- 24 TALKED ABOUT THE FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY YOU HAVE, HAVING
- 25 BEEN A FORMER PRESIDENT OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS FOR THE



- 1 CITY OF LOS ANGELES, SO WE UNDERSTAND YOUR NEEDS. AT THE SAME
- 2 TIME, THE HISPANIC COMMUNITY IS OVERREPRESENTED IN THESE
- 3 FACILITIES, UNFORTUNATELY, AND SO THEREFORE THE RESPONSIBILITY
- 4 AND THE URGENCY I THINK RESTS UPON YOUR SHOULDERS THAT WE
- 5 IMMEDIATELY TAKE SOME ACTION TO PROTECT THESE CIVIL RIGHTS OF
- 6 THESE INDIVIDUALS, EVEN AS HAS BEEN INDICATED BY THE FEDERAL
- 7 COURTS NOW DOING THEIR STUDIES AND INDICATING WHAT THE
- 8 PROBLEMS ARE. SO WE LEAVE IT IN YOUR HANDS AND WE HOPE THAT
- 9 YOU'LL TAKE THE IMMEDIATE APPROPRIATE ACTION TO EVEN OPEN UP
- 10 THOSE INSTITUTIONS SUCH AS SYBIL BRAND, WHICH, IN EFFECT,
- 11 NEEDS THE SERVICES, HAVING GONE TO CAL STATE, GROWING UP IN
- 12 EAST LOS ANGELES, I NEVER SAW THE PROBLEM WITH THE
- 13 PROSTITUTION, ET CETERA AND WOULD LEAVE BACA'S HEADQUARTERS IN
- 14 THAT AREA. I'M SURE IT'S OVER PATROLLED, IF ANYTHING, SO I
- 15 THINK THERE ARE FACILITIES THAT WE CAN TAKE ADVANTAGE OF AND
- 16 WE'VE GOT TO DO IT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, PERCY. GOOD TO SEE YOU. LET
- 19 ME ALSO CALL UP HENRY CARRANZA. YES, SIR.

- 21 DON JUSTIN JONES ELOWATO: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS DON
- 22 JUSTIN JONES ELOWATO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE OPPORTUNITY
- 23 TO APPEAR BEFORE YOU AGAIN. AS YOU RECALL, I'VE BEEN APPEARING
- 24 BEFORE YOU ON THE SYBIL BRAND INSTITUTE ISSUE FOR THE PAST
- 25 THREE YEARS. I WAS TOLD, THREE YEARS AGO, THAT SYBIL BRAND

21

23

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 INSTITUTE WOULD ONLY REOPEN IF THE STARS LINED UP. DURING
- 2 THESE PAST THREE YEARS, I'VE BEEN CRITICAL OF THE PROCESS AND
- 3 HAVE APPEARED BEFORE YOU BEFORE, VOICING MY CRITICISM OF THE
- 4 PROCESS. HOWEVER, I'M NOW SATISFIED THAT A REAL CHANGE IN
- 5 THINKING HAS OCCURRED AND I SUPPORT THE REOPENING OF SBI IN
- 6 SCENARIO NUMBER THREE, AS OUTLINED BY THE COUNTY
- 7 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER. I KNOW THAT SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO
- 8 LIVE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD NEAR SBI HAVE CONCERNS AND THESE ARE
- 9 GOOD PEOPLE AND THEIR CONCERNS ARE REAL AND THEY ARE SINCERE
- 10 IN THEIR BELIEFS. HOWEVER, AS AN ORGANIZER IN MY COMMUNITY AND
- 11 AS A PERSON WHO HAS APPEARED BEFORE YOU IN THE LAST THREE
- 12 YEARS SEEKING TO GET SBI OPENED UP, I'VE LOOKED AT THEIR
- 13 CONCERNS AND I BELIEVE THAT THEIR CONCERNS COULD BE MITIGATED
- 14 IN A GOOD FAITH EFFORT THAT I BELIEVE WILL COME FROM THE
- 15 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. I HAVE SEEN A GENUINE AND SINCERE
- 16 ATMOSPHERE OF CONCERN IN THE DEPARTMENT AND, BECAUSE OF THAT,
- 17 I DO SUPPORT SCENARIO NUMBER THREE AND I HOPE THAT FINALLY THE
- 18 SPIRIT OF SYBIL BRAND HAS BEEN APPEALED TO SUFFICIENTLY AND
- 19 THAT PERHAPS SHE WILL HELP LINE UP THE STARS. THANK YOU VERY
- 20 MUCH FOR YOUR COURTESY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. YES, SIR. MA'AM.

- 24 LUZ LOZA: GOOD AFTERNOON, HONORABLE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, MR.
- 25 MAYOR, MY NAME IS LUZ LOZA AND I'M A MOTHER OF FOUR. I'M THE



- 1 CAPTAIN OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH MATCH PROGRAM AND THE
- 2 PRESIDENT OF THE NORTH ROWLAND HILLS CITIZENS ASSOCIATION AND
- 3 ALSO CO-CHAIR OF CARSBY ORGANIZATION, WHICH IS THE ONE THAT
- 4 OPPOSES TO THE REOPENING OF SYBIL BRAND. I HAVE LIVED IN THE
- 5 COMMUNITY FOR OVER 35 YEARS AND I'VE SEEN MANY CHANGES THROUGH
- 6 THESE YEARS. MANY OF THEM HAVE BEEN GOOD ONES AND MANY, WE
- 7 STILL NEED TO WORK ON THEM. ONE OF THE GOOD CHANGES WAS THE
- 8 CLOSING OF SYBIL BRAND INSTITUTE IN 1998. BY NOT HAVING TO
- 9 FEEL THE IMPACT OF THE TRAFFIC ON EASTERN AVENUE, NOR THE
- 10 INSECURITY WITH THE SURROUNDING SCHOOLS AND PARKS AND NOT
- 11 HAVING TO DEAL WITH THE VISITORS COMING INTO OUR NEIGHBORHOODS
- 12 AND CONSTANTLY ASKING FOR MONEY, RIDES, WATER OR OTHER.
- 13 SHERIFF BACA ACCUSED US OF BEING INSENSITIVE AND UNCARING TO
- 14 THE WOMEN WHO WOULD BE INCARCERATED AT SYBIL BRAND BUT I ASK
- 15 HIM, HOW SENSITIVE IS HE TO THE NEEDS OF OUR COMMUNITY, SINCE
- 16 WE ARE THE ONES THAT HAVE TO SUFFER THE CONSEQUENCES BECAUSE
- 17 WE LIVE HERE AND WE WOULD HAVE TO SEE IT DAY IN AND DAY OUT?
- 18 WE ARE A COMMUNITY THAT IS CONSTANTLY TRYING TO MAKE POSITIVE
- 19 CHANGES FOR THE BENEFIT OF OUR CHILDREN AND EVERYONE THAT
- 20 LIVES THERE. WE ARE A VERY CONCERNED COMMUNITY WHEN IT COMES
- 21 TO OUR SAFETY. THAT'S WHY WE ARE OPPOSING THE REOPENING OF
- 22 SYBIL BRAND BECAUSE WE DON'T BELIEVE THAT JAILS AND
- 23 RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITIES ARE NORMAL, NOR ARE THEY SAFE. I AM
- 24 ASKING TO LOOK INTO OUR ALTERNATE SITES AND REQUESTING A FULL
- 25 E.I.R. OF SYBIL BRAND. PLEASE RECONSIDER YOUR DECISION ON THE



- I REOPENING OF SYBIL BRAND INSTITUTE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 2 ATTENTION.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. LET ME CALL UP GLORIA
- 5 CHAVEZ. GLORIA. YES, WARREN.

- 7 WARREN WILLIAMS: WE'VE BEEN BEFORE THE BOARD ON THE ISSUE OF
- 8 THE YOUTH THAT WERE BEING PROSECUTED AS ADULTS. WHEN THE
- 9 PRIEST WENT INSIDE THE JAILS, THEY FOUND THAT THE CONDITION
- 10 WAS SO DEPLORABLE THAT THEY NEEDED TO BE CORRECTED, BUT WHAT
- 11 ENDED UP HAPPENING WAS THE PRIESTS WERE BANNED FROM GOING
- 12 INSIDE THE JAILS. AND THE BOARD, WHEN I BROUGHT IT BEFORE THE
- 13 BOARD, THE PROPER ACTION WAS NOT TAKEN. WELL, THE FEDERAL
- 14 JUDGE INVOLVED IN SAYING CONDITIONS NEEDED TO BE IMPROVED AND
- 15 WE HAVE RECOMMENDATIONS BEING MADE TO SAY THAT THERE ARE
- 16 ALTERNATIVES. THE BOARD HAS A PATTERN OF APPROVING THINGS,
- 17 EVEN WHEN THE VOICE OF THE PEOPLE IS OPPOSED TO IT, AND NOT
- 18 JUST IN A BOARD MEETING BUT LOUDLY ON THE STREETS, THERE'S
- 19 BEEN PROTESTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS GOING BACK LONG BEFORE
- 20 MALCOLM X. BUT THERE IS A CONNECTION HERE WITH POLICE
- 21 BRUTALITY. THERE'S A CONNECTION WITH SOMEONE DOING RACIAL
- 22 PROFILING AND CAN MAKE A PROMISE TO SAY THAT, IN THE FUTURE,
- 23 THERE WILL BE MORE WOMEN GOING TO PRISON AND, WITH THE
- 24 SUPERVISOR SAYING, LET'S MAKE SURE THAT THE PRISONS ARE NOT
- 25 EMPTY, SO HOW EASY IS IT TO FILL THE PRISONS? ARE WE TALKING



- 1 ABOUT BEDS OR ARE WE TALKING ABOUT LIVES? AND ARE WE ALREADY
- 2 PLANNING, BY USING DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
- 3 AND OTHER SO-CALLED PUBLIC SERVICES TO ENSURE THAT THIS IS FAR
- 4 MORE THAN A FREE STATE BUT INSTEAD WHAT THEY CALL A POLICE
- 5 STATE? OR ARE WE GOING TO CHANGE THE TERM AND CALL IT A PRISON
- 6 STATE? WHERE THERE'S ONE BIG PART OF THE POPULATION ASSURED
- 7 THAT THEIR FUTURE WILL BE TO LIVE INCARCERATED AND ANOTHER
- 8 POPULATION ASSURED THAT THEY WILL MAKE PROFIT OFF OF IT. SO,
- 9 YES, THERE ARE ALTERNATIVES. IT IS SAID THAT SOME FUNDED THIS
- 10 SUMMER TO BE SURE THAT THERE'S SWIMMING POOLS OPEN BUT SURELY
- 11 HAVE TO ALLOW THOSE CHILDREN ENJOY A SWIMMING POOL, WHAT OTHER
- 12 RECREATIONAL FACILITIES DO THEY HAVE TO ENJOY? IT WOULD SEEM
- 13 TO BE A LOT MORE SENSE TO INVEST IN MAKING ALL THE SCHOOLS IN
- 14 ADDITION TO BE PUBLIC PARKS DURING THE WEEKEND AND AFTERWARDS
- 15 RATHER THAN LEAVE THE CHILDREN IN CONDITIONS WHERE THEY'RE
- 16 FORCED TO EXPERIENCE BEING LOCKED DOWN, EVEN INSIDE THEIR
- 17 HOMES. EVEN INSIDE OF SMART NEIGHBORHOODS WITHOUT THE SAME
- 18 RESOURCES THAT OTHER NEIGHBORHOODS HAVE. SO THE ALTERNATIVES
- 19 NEED TO BE EXAMINED AND, BEFORE ANY DECISION IS MADE TO INVEST
- 20 IN MORE PRISONS, THEN THE SOCIAL REALITY THAT WE ALL CONFRONT
- 21 WITH WHEN WE GO TO SO-CALLED CHURCHES NEED TO BE BROUGHT INTO
- 22 THE BOARD ROOM AS THE MINISTRY OF TRUE PUBLIC SERVICE. THANK
- 23 YOU.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: LET ME CALL UP AL CARLOS. YES, SIR.



- 2 SERVANDO ORNELAS: GOOD AFTERNOON, HONORABLE BOARD. MY NAME IS
- 3 SERVANDO ORNELAS. I AM A PROFESSOR OF CHICANO STUDIES AT CAL
- 4 STATE DOMINGUEZ HILLS, A REAL ESTATE BROKER IN EAST LOS
- 5 ANGELES AND I'M ALSO THE VICE PRESIDENT OF THE EAST L.A.
- 6 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE. I'M HERE REPRESENTING NOT ONLY RESIDENTS
- 7 BUT ALSO THE EAST L.A. CHAMBER OF COMMERCE TO REINFORCE YOUR
- 8 BELIEF THAT REOPENING SYBIL BRAND, THE SYBIL BRAND JAIL, NOT
- 9 INSTITUTION, I SEE IT AS A JAIL, IT'S NOT GOOD FOR THE EAST
- 10 LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY, THAT THERE ARE BETTER ALTERNATIVES.
- 11 WE'RE IN A TIME PERIOD WHERE THERE'S A LOT OF REDEVELOPMENT
- 12 AND NEW DEVELOPMENT IN THE EAST SIDE AREA. OBVIOUSLY, A JAIL,
- 13 IT'S NOT GOING TO DO ANYTHING POSITIVE FOR THAT. THAT WOULD
- 14 DIMINISH THAT CAPABILITY. THE POSSIBILITY IS THERE. WE SEE NEW
- 15 MIDDLE CLASS REEMERGING IN THE EAST SIDE COMMUNITY. A JAIL IN
- 16 EAST L.A., IN CITY TERRACE, IS NOT GOING TO HELP PRODUCE A
- 17 GREATER, MORE BURGEONING MIDDLE CLASS. THAT'S AN OBVIOUS
- 18 CONCLUSION. WE CAN SEE THAT. IT WILL DETER BUSINESSES, IT WILL
- 19 DETER FRANCHISES, IT WILL DETER CHAINS OF BUSINESSES THAT
- 20 WOULD BRING MORE DEVELOPMENT AND BRING MORE JOBS TO THIS
- 21 COMMUNITY BY OPENING THIS JAIL. I THINK THIS COMMUNITY HAS A
- 22 LOT OF RESPECT FOR THE POLICE, FOR THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,
- 23 BUT, IN THIS CASE, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT TO THE SHERIFF'S
- 24 DEPARTMENT, IT'S NOT THE BEST WAY TO GO TO FIX THE PROBLEM
- 25 THAT WE HAVE. THE HIGHEST BEST USE OF THAT AREA, IT'S NOT

9

11

23

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 GOING TO BE A JAIL, IT'S GOING TO BE EITHER A SCHOOL OR IT'S
- 2 GOING TO BE OBVIOUSLY HOMES AND I THINK THAT WE CAN SEE THAT,
- 3 THAT'S AN OBVIOUS THING. AGAIN, TO REINFORCE THE STAND THAT,
- 4 AS A COMMUNITY AND ALSO THE EAST L.A. CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, WE
- 5 DO NOT SUPPORT THE REOPENING OF A JAIL IN THE EAST SIDE AREA,
- 6 AND I'D RATHER BUILD A SCHOOL OR HOMES TO FIX FUTURE PROBLEMS
- 7 THAN A JAIL NOW. I THINK THAT MAKES A HELL OF A LOT MORE
- 8 SENSE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, SIR. MARTHA JIMENEZ.

12 HENRY CARRANZA: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS HENRY CARRANZA AND

- 13 I AM A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF CITY TERRACE, I'M A HOMEOWNER
- 14 THERE, AND I'M CONCERNED WITH THE REOPENING OF SYBIL BRAND
- 15 INSTITUTE. I'M HERE WITH PHILIPPE CONTRERAS-- CASTANEDA,
- 16 EXCUSE ME, WHICH IS A STUDENT AND RESIDENT OF CITY TERRACE.
- 17 AND MY QUESTION IS, AS WAS BROUGHT UP BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND
- 18 MR. ANTONOVICH, THAT WHY CAN'T THESE CONTRACTS WITH THE STATE
- 19 BE RETURNED AND OUR JAILS USED FOR OUR PRISONERS AND NOT FOR
- 20 THE ONES OF THE STATE? AGAIN, I AM OPPOSED TO THE REOPENING OF
- 21 SYBIL BRAND FOR MANY REASONS THAT WERE PRESENTED TO SHERIFF
- 22 BACA EARLIER THIS YEAR. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, SIR. XAVIER PEREZ. JAVIER
- 25 PEREZ. YES, MA'AM.



- 2 GLORIA CHAVEZ: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS GLORIA CHAVEZ. I AM
- 3 PRESIDENT OF THE CITY TERRACE COORDINATING COUNCIL, VOLUNTEER
- 4 COORDINATOR. I ALSO RUN OR CONDUCT A SUMMER PROGRAM,
- 5 EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM, THE CREATIVE THINKING PROGRAM AND MEMBER
- 6 OF CARSBY. WE'VE MET HERE BEFORE. WE'VE TOLD YOU WHY WE FEEL
- 7 THAT IT IS NOT A GOOD IDEA TO REOPEN SYBIL BRAND INSTITUTE. WE
- 8 DON'T WANT TO BE REPETITIOUS BUT WE DO WANT TO SAY THAT PLEASE
- 9 CONSIDER, CONSIDER THE FACT THAT YOU ARE DEALING WITH
- 10 FAMILIES, FAMILIES THAT ARE SURROUNDED BY A-- OR I SHOULD SAY
- 11 A JAIL THAT IS SURROUNDING OUR COMMUNITIES. OUR COMMUNITY IS A
- 12 COMMUNITY THAT IS JUST LIKE THE MARLBORO COMMERCIAL, IT'S
- 13 COMING BACK, OUR YOUNG ADULTS, OUR YOUNG FAMILIES ARE COMING
- 14 BACK TO OUR COMMUNITY. THEY ARE BUILDING NEW HOMES, THEY ARE
- 15 BRINGING NEW BUSINESSES. WE WANT THAT, AS ANYONE WOULD WANT
- 16 THAT IN THEIR COMMUNITY, AND WHAT THEY ARE SAYING TO US AS A
- 17 COMMUNITY, A JAIL IS NOT GOING TO ALLOW US TO COME BACK AND
- 18 STAY HERE. I REMEMBER TELLING MY CHILDREN, NOW THAT YOU'RE
- 19 GROWN, NOW THAT YOU'RE PROFESSIONALS, WE NEED YOU TO COME BACK
- 20 AND THEY THEY TELL ME, "MOM, WHERE CAN WE FIND A HOUSE? NEXT
- 21 TO SYBIL LIKE YOU? LIVE ACROSS THE STREET FROM SYBIL BRAND? IS
- 22 THAT WHAT YOU WANT US TO DO?" CONTRARY TO THE GENTLEMAN THAT
- 23 PRECEDED US, THERE WERE A COUPLE OF MEN SAYING THAT THERE'S NO
- 24 PROBLEM, THAT WE SHOULD BE HAPPY BECAUSE, AFTER ALL, THE
- 25 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES ARE RIGHT ACROSS OR NEXT TO SYBIL



- 1 BRAND. WHERE HAVE THEY BEEN? WHERE DO THEY LIVE? CERTAINLY NOT
- 2 IN CITY TERRACE BECAUSE, YES, WE DO HAVE THE ADMINISTRATIVE
- 3 OFFICES BUT IF THESE GENTLEMEN KNEW THE COMMUNITY, THEY WOULD
- 4 KNOW THAT THEIR BACKYARD OR THEIR BACK ENTRANCE IS TO OUR
- 5 COMMUNITY, NOT THE MAIN ENTRANCE. AND SHERIFF BACA, IF HE WAS
- 6 REALLY CONSIDERATE OF OUR COMMUNITY, HE WOULD HAVE MET WITH
- 7 US, HE WOULD HAVE TALKED TO US. WE HAD A LIST OF REQUESTS FOR
- 8 THE SHERIFF. HAS HE CALLED US, HAS HE MET WITH US? NO, NEVER.
- 9 BUT HE COMES HERE TO YOU AND HE BRINGS YOU THESE GREAT,
- 10 WONDERFUL PLANS. I ASK YOU, PLEASE CONSIDER IT BEFORE YOU SAY
- 11 YES TO ANY OF HIS PLANS BECAUSE WE ARE STILL VERY OPPOSED AND
- 12 VERY UPSET WITH THE SHERIFF AND THESE GENTLEMEN THAT COME HERE
- 13 AND SAY THAT WE ARE INCONSIDERATE. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. [SCATTERED APPLAUSE]

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES, MA'AM-- YES, SIR.

- 19 ALFREDO CARLOS: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS ALFREDO CARLOS, I'M
- 20 A VIETNAM VETERAN AND I'VE LIVED IN CITY TERRACE FOR 50 YEARS.
- 21 NOW I LEFT CITY TERRACE FOR ABOUT 30 YEARS, I JUST CAME BACK
- 22 ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO AND I SEE THE COMMUNITY, ESPECIALLY
- 23 AROUND WHERE PLAZA MARKET IS ON VANHORN, AND I SEE ALL THE
- 24 BUSINESSES THAT WE'VE LOST THERE. A THEATRE, A CLINIC, A
- 25 HARDWARE STORE, A PHARMACY. IN OTHER WORDS, IN 50 YEARS, A



- 1 COMMUNITY HAS JUST BEEN GOING DOWNHILL INSTEAD OF PROGRESSING.
- 2 NOW, IT'S UNFORTUNATE THAT OUR JAILS ARE OVERCROWDED AND I
- 3 HOPE WE FIND A SOLUTION BUT IT SHOULD NOT BE AT THE EXPENSE OF
- 4 THE HEALTH, WELFARE AND SAFETY OF OUR COMMUNITY. NOW, THIS
- 5 JAIL WAS OPENED WITHOUT ANY INPUT FROM THE RESIDENTS OF CITY
- 6 TERRACE. BEFORE WE PROGRESS ANY FURTHER, LET'S NOT MAKE ANY
- 7 HARSH DECISIONS. AWHILE AGO, AN INMATE WAS RELEASED FROM SYBIL
- 8 BRAND. SHE WAS TAKEN TO AN ALLEY NEAR MY HOUSE WHERE SHE WAS
- 9 RAPED AND MURDERED. THIS WAS ONE-HALF BLOCK AWAY FROM MY
- 10 HOUSE, ONE-HALF BLOCK AWAY FROM CITY TERRACE ELEMENTARY
- 11 SCHOOL. A JAIL SHOULD NOT BE OPENED NEXT TO AN ELEMENTARY
- 12 SCHOOL, NEXT TO THE CHILDREN'S COURT AND WHAT I HEAR IS
- 13 ALREADY A TOXIC SITE. IF YOU OPEN UP THAT JAIL, CRIME IS JUST
- 14 GOING TO GO UP IN CITY TERRACE AND, GUESS WHAT, YOU'RE GOING
- 15 TO HAVE EVEN MORE CROWDED CONDITIONS IN JAIL. NOW I HEAR ALL
- 16 YOU CRUNCHING NUMBERS AND BED OPTIONS AS IF THIS WAS ALREADY A
- 17 DONE DEAL. IT ISN'T. DON'T BE PRESSURED. DON'T LET THIS SEEM
- 18 LIKE SOME KIND OF A MACHIAVELLIAN SAUSAGE FACTORY WHERE POLICY
- 19 ISN'T VERY PRETTY TO WATCH AND THE MEANS JUSTIFIES THE ENDS OF
- 20 OUR COMMUNITY BEING AT THE LOSING END. SHERIFF BACA SEEMS LIKE
- 21 A FINE PERSON BUT HE DOES NOT LIVE IN CITY TERRACE AND I
- 22 IMAGINE PROBABLY NEITHER OF YOU DO. I DO. WE NEED A COMMUNITY
- 23 CENTER TO REVITALIZE CITY TERRACE, SOMETHING THAT'S POSITIVE,
- 24 NOT A JAIL. A JAIL WILL ONLY TAKE US BACKWARDS IN AN ALREADY



- 1 TROUBLED COMMUNITY. I SAY NO TO OPENING UP SYBIL BRAND. THANK
- 2 YOU VERY MUCH.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU, SIR. YES, MA'AM.

- 6 MARTHA JIMENEZ: YES. MY NAME IS MARTHA JIMENEZ, I'M A CITY
- 7 TERRACE CONSTITUENT, I HAVE LIVED THERE FOR THE PAST 30 YEARS
- 8 AND I AM COMING ON BEHALF OF THE CITY TERRACE COMMUNITY WHICH
- 9 IS COMPOSED OF OVER 50,000 RESIDENTS AND WE FALL UNDER THE
- 10 JURISDICTION OF SUPERVISOR MOLINA, WHO HAS BEEN DOING A GREAT
- 11 JOB ADVOCATING FOR OUR COMMUNITY AT ALL LEVELS. I COME HERE
- 12 BEFORE THE L.A. COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND WAS HOPING TO
- 13 STILL FIND SHERIFF LEE BACA BUT HE LEFT WITHOUT HEARING THE
- 14 COMMUNITY MEMBERS, WHAT THEY HAVE TO SAY. I CAME SPECIFICALLY
- 15 TO ASK SHERIFF LEE BACA TO PLEASE ABIDE BY THE CALIFORNIA
- 16 PUBLIC RECORDS ACT, GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6250 THROUGH 6270,
- 17 AS WELL AS ANY OTHER STATUTE PROVIDING PUBLIC ACCESS TO PUBLIC
- 18 INFORMATION IN REGARDS TO THE POTENTIAL REOPENING OF THE SYBIL
- 19 BRAND INSTITUTE, WOMEN'S JAIL, WITHIN CITY TERRACE. OUR
- 20 COMMUNITY IS REQUESTING FROM SHERIFF LEE BACA TO PLACE AT THE
- 21 CITY TERRACE PUBLIC LIBRARY AND ANY OTHER PUBLIC LIBRARIES IN
- 22 EAST L.A. THE FOLLOWING ITEMS AND REASONABLY RELATED ITEMS
- 23 WHICH DESCRIBES THE SYBIL BRAND POTENTIAL INSTITUTE PROJECT.
- 24 IT IS THE ONLY MECHANISM BY WHICH THE COMMUNITY CAN LEARN ALL
- 25 ABOUT WHAT THE PROJECT ENTAILS AND THE IMMINENT THREATS AND



- 1 DANGERS IT REPRESENTS FOR THE COMMUNITY. THE SYBIL BRAND
- 2 INSTITUTE HAS BEEN IN OUR COMMUNITY FOR THE PAST 40 YEARS. IT
- 3 HAS BEEN THE HEADQUARTERS OF THE BIGGEST WOMEN'S JAIL IN THE
- 4 NATION. THE INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN THE PUBLIC ACCESS
- 5 RECORDS ARE FEASIBILITY STUDIES OF ALTERNATE LOCATIONS AND
- 6 SCENARIOS FOR A WOMEN'S JAIL, ITS FINDINGS AND
- 7 RECOMMENDATIONS. TWO, ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION FOR THE
- 8 SYBIL BRAND INSTITUTE, ALL RELATED DOCUMENTS AND REPORTS
- 9 REGARDING COMPLIANCE WITH THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
- 10 ACT WITH RESPECT TO THE SYBIL BRAND FACILITY. THIS IS TO
- 11 INCLUDE A PRELIMINARY AND FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT,
- 12 AS REQUIRED BY C.E.Q.A. ANY AND ALL DOCUMENTATION AS REPORTS
- 13 OF ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING FOR OIL AND FILL GASSES ON SITE.
- 14 PLEASE BE INFORMED THAT THIS IS ALREADY THE SECOND TIME THAT
- 15 SUCH A REQUEST IS BEING MADE BEFORE SHERIFF LEE BACA IN ORDER
- 16 TO MAKE PUBLIC ACCESS TO RECORDS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH A
- 17 POTENTIAL PROJECT. HE PROMISED, DURING OUR MAY 24TH, 2006
- 18 COMMUNITY MEETING AT CITY TERRACE, THAT HE WOULD DELIVER. TO
- 19 THIS DATE, HE HAS NOT DELIVERED. THE CITY TERRACE COMMUNITY
- 20 APPRECIATES YOUR ATTENTION TO THIS MATTER, SHERIFF LEE BACA,
- 21 AND EXPECTS TO HEAR FROM YOU AS AN ELECTED OFFICIAL WITHIN 10
- 22 DAYS, AS SPECIFIED BY GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6253-C OF THE
- 23 CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT. FINALLY, TO ALL L.A. COUNTY
- 24 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, CITY TERRACE IS A COMMUNITY NEIGHBORHOOD
- 25 SURROUNDED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF CAL STATE LOS ANGELES AND 7



- 1 OVERPOPULATED L.A.U.S.D. SCHOOLS. WE OPPOSE TO THE REOPENING
- 2 OF THE JAIL. WE WANT THE CREATION OF A NEW SCHOOL OR AN
- 3 INDUSTRIAL CORRIDOR TO CREATING JOBS AND DETER CRIME. THANK
- 4 YOU VERY MUCH.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU. YES, SIR.

- 8 JAVIER PEREZ: GOOD AFTERNOON, BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS JAVIER
- 9 PEREZ, CAPTAIN OF THE HAZARD MASH AND MEMBER OF THE CARSBY
- 10 COMMITTEE. ON JUNE 26TH, I TESTIFIED BEFORE THE BOARD AS TO
- 11 OUR OPPOSITION TO THE REOPENING OF SYBIL BRAND INSTITUTE. I AM
- 12 HERE BEFORE THE BOARD, ONCE AGAIN, TO ENSURE THAT OUR
- 13 OPPOSITION TO SYBIL BRAND IS NOT FORGOTTEN. ON JUNE 26TH, I
- 14 CELEBRATED WITH THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THE LOS
- 15 ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S AND OUR COMMUNITY, THE PROGRESS THAT
- 16 HAS BEEN MADE TO IMPROVE THE SAFETY, THE QUALITY OF LIFE AND
- 17 THE OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESIDENTS OF CITY TERRACE IN EAST LOS
- 18 ANGELES. I ASK THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THE SHERIFF'S
- 19 DEPARTMENT TO CONTINUE THE SUPPORT FOR OUR COMMUNITY AND NOT
- 20 SET US BACK BY REOPENING A PRISON UP THE STREET FROM CITY
- 21 TERRACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. TODAY, I ONCE AGAIN ASK FOR YOUR
- 22 CONTINUED SUPPORT. THE SHERIFF HAS BEEN ASKED BY THE BOARD TO
- 23 WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY. THE COMMUNITY HAS ASKED THE SHERIFF
- 24 FOR INFORMATION AND HAS RECEIVED NONE. TODAY, THE COMMUNITY IS
- 25 HEARING ABOUT SCENARIO ONE, SCENARIO TWO AND WE KNOW NOTHING



- 1 ABOUT WHAT ANY OF THESE SCENARIOS ENTAIL. THIS IS HIS IDEA OF
- 2 WORKING WITH THE COMMUNITY. AND TO TOUCH ON A MATTER THAT
- 3 SUPERVISOR BURKE BROUGHT UP EARLIER TODAY REGARDING THE
- 4 STABILITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF SYBIL BRAND. THE
- 5 SHERIFF HAS INDICATED THAT THE METHANE AND STABILITY PROBLEM
- 6 HAS BEEN ADDRESSED AT SYBIL BRAND. THE COMMUNITY OF CITY
- 7 TERRACE HAS BEEN ASKING THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR ANY
- 8 DOCUMENTATION THAT WOULD REVEAL WHAT HAS BEEN DONE TO ADDRESS
- 9 THESE ISSUES. I KNOW FOR A FACT, HAVING WORKED IN THE
- 10 ENVIRONMENTAL FIELD FOR OVER EIGHT YEARS, THAT A METHANE
- 11 PROBLEM AT ANY SITE TAKES SIGNIFICANT EFFORT TO CONTROL, WHICH
- 12 TYPICALLY CALLS FOR ENGINEERING CONTROLS SUCH AS A PUMP-AND-
- 13 BURN SYSTEM OR SOME SORT OF IN SITU REMEDIATION PROGRAM, BOTH
- 14 OF WHICH WOULD HAVE PRODUCED CONSIDERABLE DOCUMENTATION.
- 15 AGAIN, WHICH WE HAVE NOT SEEN ANY OF. WE'RE ASKING THE BOARD
- 16 OF SUPERVISORS AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO CONTINUE
- 17 WORKING WITH THE CITY TERRACE COMMUNITY AND NOT OPEN UP SYBIL
- 18 BRAND. THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE]
- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ITEM 42 BY MOLINA SECOND. WITHOUT
- 21 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

19

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH ONE IS THAT?
- 25 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ITEM 42.

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHICH ONE IS THAT? 3 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S THE ONE THAT WE DO THE FULL E.I.R. 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HAVE WE HAD ANY DISCUSSION ON THAT OTHER 6 7 THAN THE PUBLIC HEARING? I JUST WANTED TO ASK, HOW LONG-- THIS 8 HAS BEEN VACANT FOR 10 YEARS, CORRECT, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT? 9 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ABOUT NINE, EIGHT TO NINE YEARS. IT WAS OPEN, 11 I THINK, IN '96. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DOES THIS COME UNDER THE ENVIRONMENTAL -- ANY 14 REUSE, REOPENING OF THIS WOULD COME UNDER THE CALIFORNIA 15 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, WOULD IT NOT? 16 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: WELL, UNTIL AN INITIAL STUDY IS DONE, 17 18 YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT, IF ANYTHING, C.E.Q.A. WOULD REQUIRE OF 19 THE PROJECT, SO... 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: UNDERSTOOD, BUT IT IS SUBJECT TO C.E.Q.A., 21 22 SO THERE WILL HAVE TO BE AN INITIAL STUDY DONE? 23

The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: WORK DONE NOW, THAT'S CORRECT, YEAH.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: PARDON?

2

3 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: THAT'S CORRECT.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, BECAUSE I THOUGHT THAT DISCUSSION WE HAD
- 6 BEFORE, THIS WOULD BE PREMATURE TO DO IT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO, WHETHER TO DO A FULL ENVIRONMENTAL
- 9 IMPACT REPORT OR NOT, AS MR. KNABE SAYS, WOULD BE, AT THIS
- 10 STAGE, PREMATURE. YOU WANT TO DO THE INITIAL STUDY AND YOU MAY
- 11 END UP DOING A FULL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.

12

- 13 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: WELL, THAT'S THE NORMAL PROCESS,
- 14 RIGHT. THE INITIAL STUDY DEFINES WHAT YOU WANT TO LOOK AT AND
- 15 THAT TELLS YOU WHAT YOU NEED TO DO OR WHAT C.E.Q.A. MAY
- 16 REQUIRE AND WHETHER OR NOT C.E.Q.A. REQUIRES THAT THE BOARD
- 17 CAN DETERMINE TO DO ANY KIND OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY THAT IT
- 18 CHOOSES.

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M JUST READING THIS. MAYBE THERE'S NO
- 21 ISSUE HERE. TO INCLUDE A FULL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT OF
- 22 THE PROPOSED JAIL SPACE EXPANSION OPTION, WHICH INCLUDES AN
- 23 ANALYSIS OF REALTOR. THE OPTION THERE REFERS TO JAIL SPACE
- 24 EXPANSION OPTIONS, NOT ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS OPTIONS. IS THAT
- 25 CORRECT?



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: NO. IT SAYS-- WHAT IT MEANS IS THAT, IF SYBIL
- 3 BRAND IS IN ANY OF THE OPTIONS, THAT IT WOULD REQUIRE A FULL
- 4 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT. THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL-- I-- OKAY. WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO
- 7 JUST...

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: IT'S NOT SPECIFIC, IT'S SPECIFIC TO
- 10 ALL JAIL EXPANSION.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA: I GUESS THAT WOULD BE THE CASE. IT WOULD BE
- 13 WHATEVER WE BUILD, THERE HAS TO BE AN E.I.R.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I WOULD SUGGEST THAT IT BE-- I DON'T KNOW
- 16 THE TERMINOLOGY BUT...

17

- 18 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: A FULL E.I.R. MAY OR MAY NOT BE
- 19 REQUIRED, BASED UPON WHAT THE INITIAL STUDY DETERMINES...

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU MAY HAVE A MITIGATED NEGATIVE
- 22 DECLARATION, YOU MAY HAVE A FOCUSED AREA...

23

24 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: THAT'S CORRECT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE ARE A LOT OF OPTION BUT WOULD YOU BE
- 2 OPEN TO A LANGUAGE THAT WOULD SAY THAT IT WOULD BE THAT, UNDER
- 3 THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT, IT WOULD BE AN
- 4 ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS DONE...

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: NO. I MEAN, I KNOW WHAT YOU'RE COMPROMISING IT TO
- 7 BUT NO. THE COMMUNITY HAS REQUESTED A FULL ENVIRONMENTAL
- 8 IMPACT REPORT, WHICH IS WHAT I'M REQUESTING.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND BUT WE HAVEN'T EVEN-- WE DON'T
- 11 EVEN KNOW WHAT THE PROJECT IS, IF THERE IS A PROJECT.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE: RIGHT. I MEAN, I THINK THAT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO
- 14 DO THAT AT THIS POINT.

15

16 SUP. MOLINA: THAT'S TRUE.

17

18 SUP. KNABE: I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO DO IT AT THIS POINT.

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, IT MAY BE PREMATURE BUT THE COMMUNITY IS
- 21 HERE, THEY HAVE MADE THIS REQUEST AND, AGAIN, WHETHER IT'S
- 22 GOING-- I MEAN, IT'S JUST-- IF, IN FACT, WHATEVER OPTION IS
- 23 GOING TO BE DECIDED, THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE A FULL E.I.R.
- 24 ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT'S IMPORTANT TO US IN THE ISSUE WITH



- 1 REGARD TO SYBIL BRAND AND I'LL ONLY SPEAK TO THAT, IS A
- 2 COMPARISON.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: A COMPARISON OF WHAT?

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: IN AN E.I.R., YOU HAVE A COMPARISON.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALTERNATIVES?

9

10 SUP. MOLINA: RIGHT.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. THAT'S-- THAT'S-- YOU CAN DO THAT ALSO
- 13 IN A FOCUSED E.I.R. OR IN A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DEC.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: WE CAN DO IT ANY WHICH WAY BUT THIS IS THE WAY I
- 16 WOULD RATHER, THAT IT BE A FULL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, IT'S THE LONGEST WAY AND IT'S THE WAY
- 19 THAT'S-- I MEAN, I THINK WE JUST NEED TO KNOW WHAT WE'RE
- 20 DOING. THIS MAY COMPROMISE YOUR OPTION THREE AND SIX
- 21 COMPLETELY, WHICH IS...

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT WON'T COMPROMISE THEM BUT IT WILL, I
- 24 BELIEVE, AS LITTLE AS I KNOW ABOUT E.I.R.S, IT WILL EXTEND THE
- 25 TIME TO A FINAL DECISION BECAUSE I BELIEVE A FULL E.I.R. IS



- 1 GOING TO TAKE LONGER THAN A POSSIBLE NEGATIVE DEC OR
- 2 WHATEVER...

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT WILL TAKE AT LEAST A YEAR. AND WITH THE
- 5 CONTROVERSY, LAWSUITS AND THE WHOLE NINE YARDS.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: WELL, IT MAY NOT EVEN BE REQUIRED. I MEAN, THAT'S-
- 8 UNTIL YOU GET THE ANALYSIS. I MEAN, THAT'S THE ISSUE HERE.

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, KEEP IN MIND, WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING THIS
- 11 RIGHT NOW WE'RE GOING TO GO ON A YEAR JUST ON TRYING TO GET
- 12 THIS MANAGEMENT CUSTODY PLAN ISSUE. BELIEVE ME, WHATEVER IT IS
- 13 AND HOW IT CAN BE DONE, I THINK-- BUT THE COMMUNITY IS
- 14 REQUESTING IT AND IT'S BECAUSE WE KEEP HEARING DIFFERENT
- 15 THINGS FROM THE SHERIFF AND THEY SAID SO. HE GOES OUT TO THE
- 16 COMMUNITY, SAYS ONE THING, CHANGES IT THE NEXT TIME. THIS
- 17 BOARD HAS NOT BEEN ANY DIFFERENT. WE JUST WANT TO KNOW WHERE
- 18 WE'RE AT AND THE ONLY WAY WE'RE GOING TO GET IT IS THROUGH A
- 19 DOCUMENT THAT'S GOING TO TELL US WHAT THE COMPARISONS ARE
- 20 GOING TO BE SO AT LEAST WE KNOW WHAT WE'RE DEALING WITH.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S VERY POSSIBLE THAT YOU'LL NEED AN
- 23 E.I.R. FOR THIS. I WOULDN'T BE SURPRISED THAT YOU WOULD. BUT I
- 24 JUST THINK THAT, SINCE WE DON'T EVEN HAVE THE SHERIFF'S PLAN



- 1 BEFORE US AT THIS STAGE OF THE GAME, IS THAT STILL SCHEDULED,
- 2 WHAT, AUGUST 1ST? THEN...

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SUPERVISOR BURKE?

5

6 SUP. BURKE: COULD WE ASK...

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I FINISH MY SENTENCE? FORGET IT.

9

10 SUP. BURKE: GO AHEAD AND FINISH.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S ALL RIGHT. IT DOESN'T MATTER. I HAVE
- 13 WAYS OF MAKING MYSELF HEARD.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: WE KNOW THAT. COULD WE ASK FOR COUNTY COUNSEL TO
- 16 REPORT BACK ON WHETHER OR NOT IT WOULD BE ADVISABLE TO GET AN
- 17 E.I.R. BASED UPON VARIOUS SCENARIOS? IT WOULD SEEM THAT THAT
- 18 WOULD BE-- FIRST OF ALL, WE NEED TO GET A CLARIFICATION ON
- 19 THAT.

- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: CAN WE JUST REFER THIS TO THE MEETING OF THE
- 22 1ST BECAUSE, IF WE HAVE INTERIM SESSIONS, THESE POOR PEOPLE
- 23 ARE GOING TO HAVE TO COME BACK AGAIN EVERY TIME WE HAVE THE
- 24 SUBJECT MATTER AND IT REALLY IS THE 1ST OF AUGUST THAT WE'RE
- 25 GOING TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE, SO IF WE COULD ROLL 38 THROUGH 42



- 1 TO THE FIRST, ALL OF THOSE ITEMS ARE STILL PENDING, THEY'RE
- 2 STILL CURRENT AND DO IT THEN.

3

- 4 SUP. BURKE: WOULD IT HURT FOR HIM, AT THAT TIME, TO GIVE US
- 5 THE BACKGROUND, HIS ENVIRONMENTAL PEOPLE CAN LOOK AT IT AND
- 6 SEE, BASED UPON WHAT IS BEING PROPOSED, WHETHER OR NOT THEY
- 7 WOULD SAY THAT IT'S...

8

9 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: WITH AS MUCH CERTAINTY AS WE CAN.

10

- 11 SUP. BURKE: YES, WITH AS MUCH CERTAINTY AS YOU CAN AS TO
- 12 WHETHER OR NOT WE SHOULD GET AN E.I.R.

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: SO THAT'S CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD, THEN, THAT IT'S
- 15 GOING TO COME BACK?

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT ALL OF THOSE
- 18 ITEMS, 38 THROUGH 42, BE CONTINUED WITH...

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: BUT WHEN IT COMES BACK, I THINK THE QUESTIONS
- 21 THAT ARE ASKED HERE BECAUSE YES, YOU CAN DO ANYTHING YOU WANT
- 22 BUT WHAT THE COMMUNITY IS ASKING FOR IS A FULL E.I.R. AND
- 23 THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT IT TAKES A LITTLE BIT LONGER. THERE IS
- 24 NO DOUBT. BUT IT DOES DO-- IT DOES PROVIDE THE COMPARISONS AND



- 1 ADDRESS THE ISSUES THAT ARE THERE AS WELL AS MITIGATIONS AND
- 2 IT INVOLVES A PUBLIC PROCESS.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IN ALL LIKELIHOOD, YOU'RE GOING TO END UP
- 5 DOING ONE.

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: DOING IT ANYWAY.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SO THIS WILL BE CONTINUED TO THE
- 10 AUGUST 1ST MEETING. SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

11

12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND THAT WAS ALL ITEMS? 37, TOO?

13

- 14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: 38-- NO, WELL, 37, I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU DID.
- 15 38 THROUGH 42.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: S-1, 37 AND 38 THROUGH 41 TO BE
- 18 RECEIVE AND FILE.

19

20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: OH, OKAY.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MOTION BY BURKE. SECOND. WITHOUT
- 23 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 30. SUPERVISOR MOLINA.

24

25 SUP. MOLINA: THIS IS ON THE REALITY SHOW?



```
1
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.
2
3
    SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. WHO IS IN CHARGE OF THE REALITY SHOW IN THE
4
5
    SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT? BECAUSE I WANT TO KNOW WHOSE REALITY
    WE'RE GOING TO BE DEALING WITH.
6
7
8
   MALE VOICE: (OFF-MIKE).
9
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: THIS IS GOING TO BE THE PILOT.
10
11
12
    SUP. MOLINA: THIS IS THE PILOT?
13
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: THIS IS THE PILOT OR THE TRAILER, YEAH. [
14
15
    LAUGHTER ]
16
    SUP. KNABE: DIDN'T WE DO A PILOT ONCE BEFORE?
17
18
19
    CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: HOW ARE YOU DOING?
20
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: FINE. HOW ARE YOU DOING?
21
22
23
    CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: MY NAME IS CAPTAIN FRANKLIN, I'M THE CAPTAIN
    IN CHARGE OF THE SHERIFF'S HEADQUARTERS BUREAU AND THIS IS MR.
24
```



- 1 BILL SKY, WHO IS THE ENTERTAINMENT ATTORNEY FOR THE COUNTY WHO
- 2 WAS HIRED.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA: HE'S THE ENTERTAINMENT WHAT?

5

- 6 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: THE ENTERTAINMENT ATTORNEY THAT THE COUNTY
- 7 HAS HIRED. HE WAS THE ONE THAT WROTE UP THE CONTRACT FOR THIS.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: I SEE.

10

- 11 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: THE ONLY THING I CAN TELL YOU ABOUT THE SHOW
- 12 IS WE HAVE TWO OF THEM. ONE IS CALLED "THE ASSIGNMENT" AND
- 13 "THE ASSIGNMENT" IS SOMETHING THAT DEALS WITH A REALITY-BASED.
- 14 THEY WOULD BE FOLLOWING THE DEPUTIES AROUND WORKING IN THE
- 15 JAIL, DETECTIVE BUREAU, SPECIALIZED DIVISIONS AND PATROL. IT
- 16 WOULD BE NO COST TO THE COUNTY WHATSOEVER...

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: WAIT A MINUTE. SO THEY GO INTO THE JAILS?

19

- 20 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: THEY COULD GO INTO THE JAIL, YES, FOR AN 8-
- 21 HOUR SHIFT.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THIS IS SIMILAR TO THE PROGRAM YOU'VE
- 24 ALREADY DONE ON MSNBC WHERE THEY'VE GONE INTO THE JAIL AND DO
- 25 A DOCUMENTARY KIND OF...

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: YES. 2 3 SUP. MOLINA: DO WE HAVE ANY JURISDICTION OVER THE CONTENT OF 4 5 WHAT THEY WOULD BE DOING? ABOUT CONFIDENTIALITY, OF LIABILITY? 6 7 BILL SKY: YES. 8 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. 10 11 BILL SKY: YOU HAVE A FULL SET OF PROTECTIONS IN THE CONTRACT LEADING RIGHT TO THE FINAL MOMENT WHERE WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO 12 13 REVIEW THE ACTUAL EPISODE THAT'S TO BE AIRED AND TO CAUSE THEM TO EDIT OUT ANYTHING THAT YOU FIND SENSITIVE. 14 15 16 SUP. MOLINA: WHO WILL BE REVIEWING IT? 17 18 BILL SKY: SOMEBODY FROM THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. 19 20 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: I WILL. I'M THE FIRST PERSON THAT WOULD EDIT IT ONCE THEY'VE FINISHED THE FINAL PRODUCT AND THEN I WOULD 21 22 TAKE IT TO THE UNDERSHERIFF, HE WOULD REVIEW IT, AND THEN THE 23 SHERIFF WOULD REVIEW IT.

The Meeting Transcript of



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: SO IF YOU WERE REVIEWING THIS AND LET'S SAY
- 2 YOU'VE BEEN IN OUR JAILS TODAY, WHAT KINDS OF THINGS WOULD BE
- 3 EDITED OUT, FOR EXAMPLE?

4

- 5 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: WELL, I THINK THE MOST IMPORTANT THING THAT
- 6 WOULD HAVE TO BE EDITED OUT IS THE FACES OF THE INMATES.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: I SEE. SO, AGAIN, THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE A FACE
- 9 ATTACHED TO THIS?

10

11 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: NO.

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: AND?

14

- 15 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: AND I THINK THAT ONE THING ABOUT THIS
- 16 REALITY-BASED SHOW, THERE WILL BE NO SENSATIONALISM THAT WE'VE
- 17 HAD ON T.V. IN THE PAST WITH OTHER REALITY SHOWS. WE WOULD BE
- 18 ABLE TO CUT THOSE THINGS OUT.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA: SO IF THERE WAS A SCUFFLE OR A FIGHT, YOU WOULD
- 21 CUT THAT OUT?

- 23 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: ABSOLUTELY. I THINK THAT WHAT WE'RE HUNTING
- 24 FOR HERE IS A EDUCATIONAL TYPE SHOW THAT SHOWS THE DEPARTMENT
- 25 IN A GOOD LIGHT AND THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.



1

2 SUP. MOLINA: IN OUR JAILS.

3

- 4 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: ABSOLUTELY. AND IN PATROL, TOO. WE'RE NOT
- 5 HUNTING FOR THE SAME TYPE OF SENSATIONAL REALITY SHOWS THAT
- 6 ARE ON T.V. NOW. WE'RE HUNTING FOR SOMETHING THAT'S GOING TO
- 7 BE EDUCATIONAL, PEOPLE WILL BE ABLE TO BE INFORMATIVE TO THE
- 8 COMMUNITY, AND IT WOULD PROBABLY, IN THE LONG RUN, BE A GREAT
- 9 RECRUITMENT TOOL FOR OUR DEPARTMENT.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: SO IF, IN FACT, THIS VIDEO IS TAKEN OR HOWEVER IT
- 12 IS UTILIZED AND YOU DECIDE TO EDIT IT OUT, UNDER THESE
- 13 PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT, THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE HONORED?

14

- 15 BILL SKY: YES, ABSOLUTELY. THE CONTRACT IS DIFFERENT THAN THE
- 16 USUAL HOLLYWOOD CONTRACTS WHICH GIVE THE NETWORK OR A
- 17 PRODUCTION COMPANY ULTIMATE CONTROL OVER CONTENT. THIS
- 18 CONTRACT VARIES FROM THAT BY GIVING FULL PROTECTION WITH THE
- 19 COUNTY.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: AND BECAUSE THEY'RE USING THEIR CAMERA FOLKS AND
- 22 USING THEIR PERSONNEL AND ALL OF THAT TO GO IN, THEY WOULD BE
- 23 ENTITLED TO WHATEVER THEY TAPED?



- 1 BILL SKY: WELL, UNDER THE CONTRACT, THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
- 2 WOULD HAVE THE ABILITY TO STOP THEM FROM FILMING THINGS IF
- 3 THEY INTENDED TO.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: BUT WHAT ABOUT UTILIZING THE TAPE, OF ANY OF IT?
- 6 I MEAN, YOU KNOW, THEY TAPE AND-- THAT BELONGS TO THEM.

7

- 8 BILL SKY: THAT'S CORRECT, THE COPYRIGHT WOULD BELONG TO THE
- 9 PRODUCTION COMPANY.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA: DO THEY HAVE A FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO THOSE
- 12 TAPES?

13

- 14 BILL SKY: WELL, THEY ARE FREE TO CONTRACT AWAY THEIR FIRST
- 15 AMENDMENT RIGHTS.

16

17 SUP. MOLINA: I'M SORRY?

18

- 19 BILL SKY: THEY'RE FREE TO CONTRACT AWAY THEIR FIRST AMENDMENT
- 20 RIGHTS UNDER THIS AGREEMENT.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: SO THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING. SO, UNDER THIS
- 23 AGREEMENT, THEY WOULD NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO SAY THIS IS
- 24 SOMETHING WE REVIEWED AND IT BELONGS TO US AND WE HAVE A RIGHT
- 25 TO AIR IT?



BILL SKY: WELL, THEY'LL SAY IT BELONGS TO THEM BUT THEY WOULD 2 3 HAVE NO RIGHT TO ACTUALLY AIR IT. 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT WOULD THEY DO WITH IT? 6 BILL SKY: WELL, USUALLY IT'S PUT IT ON A SHELF THAT GATHERS A 7 LOT OF DUST. OR IT'S THROWN AWAY. 9 SUP. BURKE: MAY I JUST ASK ONE FAST QUESTION. IF AN INMATE 10 11 DECIDES THAT HE WISHES TO APPEAR AND HE DOES, IN FACT, GIVE A

14

12

13

1

15 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: I THINK FIRST, MA'AM, THAT HE WOULD HAVE TO

STATEMENT, WILL THERE BE A REVIEW BY THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

16 HAVE A WAIVER-- HE WOULD HAVE TO SIGN A WAIVER ALLOWING

OF WHETHER OR NOT THAT'S INCLUDED OR NOT?

- 17 HIMSELF TO BE ON THE VIDEO. WE'VE DONE THAT NUMEROUS TIMES IN
- 18 THE PAST.

19

- 20 SUP. BURKE: RIGHT, AND AFTER HE DOES THAT, WOULD YOU THEN HAVE
- 21 THE RIGHT TO REMOVE WHAT HE SAYS?

22

23 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: I THINK WE WOULD, YES.



- 1 BILL SKY: UNDER THE CONTRACT, THE DEPARTMENT HAS THE RIGHT TO
- 2 REMOVE ANYTHING FROM THE FINAL CONTENT THAT'S EXHIBITED,
- 3 WITHOUT ANY-- IT'S AT THE DISCRETION, THERE'S NO RESTRICTIONS.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA: IS ANY OF THIS DISCOVERABLE?

6

7 BILL SKY: UNLESS IT'S SUBJECT TO SOME KIND OF PRIVILEGE.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA: I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW.

10

11 BILL SKY: GENERALLY SPEAKING, IT PROBABLY WOULD BE.

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: IT WOULD BE?

14

15 BILL SKY: GENERALLY SPEAKING, I WOULD THINK IT WOULD BE.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN, LIKE AN INMATE WOULD HAVE, YOU KNOW, THEY
- 18 WERE FILMED AND EVEN THOUGH THEY SIGNED THE WAIVER AND THEN
- 19 THEY CLAIM ABUSE, WOULD THAT BE DISCOVERABLE TO SAY, WELL, I
- 20 WANT YOU TO SEE THE FULL FILM OR FILM AT 11:00 OR WHATEVER IT
- 21 IS?

- 23 BILL SKY: I'M MOVING A LITTLE BIT OUTSIDE MY AREA OF
- 24 SPECIALTY, WHICH IS CONTRACTS, AND THIS IS REALLY A SPECIALTY



- 1 OF CIVIL PROCESS OR CRIMINAL PROCESS, SO I DON'T THINK I CAN
- 2 GIVE YOU A FULL ANSWER.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS-- I'M SORRY.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE: NO, I MEAN, BUT, I MEAN, IT'S SOMETHING WE NEED TO
- 7 KNOW, WOULDN'T YOU THINK? IN OTHER WORDS, I THINK, WHEN YOU
- 8 SAY IT'S EDITED, OKAY, WHAT COPY GOES BACK TO THE PRODUCER'S
- 9 HANDS? THE EDITED COPY? WHO EDITS IT? I MEAN, EVEN THOUGH
- 10 YOU'RE REVIEWING IT AND YOU SAY WELL, "WE DON'T WANT THAT AND
- 11 WE DON'T WANT THIS," IS THAT IMMEDIATELY EDITED OUT, AND THEN
- 12 WHATEVER GOES ON THE SHELF AND COLLECTS DUST IS THE EDITED
- 13 COPY OR DOES THE FULL COPY, UNEDITED, GO ON TO THE SHELF AND
- 14 THEN AN INMATE, AT SOME POINT SAYS, NO, THAT'S NOT WHAT I SAID
- 15 OR WHAT I WANTED SHOWN AND GETS AN ATTORNEY AND THEN DEMANDS
- 16 FULL DISCLOSURE OF THAT PIECE OF TAPE.

17

- 18 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: WELL, GENERALLY SPEAKING, PRODUCERS DON'T
- 19 HANG ONTO MATERIAL THAT'S BEEN EDITED OUT UNLESS THEY THINK
- 20 THEY'RE GOING TO USE IT IN SOME FUTURE PRODUCTION. THEY DON'T
- 21 HAVE THE RESOURCES OF TIME TO PAY ATTENTION TO THOSE CLIPS. AS
- 22 I SAY, IT FALLS ON THE CUTTING ROOM FLOOR AND-- DOES THAT
- 23 ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?



- 1 SUP. KNABE: SO, I MEAN, IT WOULD BE-- AT THE END OF THE DAY,
- 2 WHATEVER YOU ALL REVIEWED AND SAID YOU DON'T WANT THAT IN
- 3 THERE AND THEN THEY SNIP IT AND THEN THEY MERGE IT, THAT'S
- 4 WHAT GOES ON THE SHELF?

5

- 6 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: YES, THE EDITED VERSION WOULD BE USED IN THE
- 7 PRODUCTION. WHEN I SAID THE OTHER MATERIAL COULD GO ON THE
- 8 SHELF, THAT'S A THEORETICAL POSSIBILITY BUT, IN FACT, MOST
- 9 PRODUCERS JUST DESTROY IT OR THROW IT AWAY. THERE'S NO REASON
- 10 TO ARCHIVE IT.

11

12 SUP. KNABE: OKAY.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: I MEAN, YOU HAVE A REGULAR VIDEO THAT-
- 15 DOCUMENTARY THAT RUNS ON, AGAIN, MSNBC QUITE REGULARLY
- 16 WITHIN THE COUNTY JAIL.

17

18 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: SURE.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND YOU'VE NEVER HAD ANY PROBLEMS
- 21 WITH...

- 23 CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: NEVER HAD A PROBLEM WITH THAT WHATSOEVER.
- 24 THAT'S THE ONE THAT DEALS WITH THE-- ALL THE DIFFERENT JAILS
- 25 THAT WE HAVE IN THE COUNTY.



```
1
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND DID ONE WITH THE GANG UNIT...
2
3
    CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: YES.
4
5
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: ... THAT IS BROADCAST QUITE REGULARLY.
6
7
8
    CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: WE'VE NEVER HAD AN ISSUE WITH EITHER ONE OF
    THOSE TWO AT ALL.
10
11
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
12
13
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT ARE WE DOING?
14
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: APPROVING THE CONTRACT WITH THE
15
16
    SHERIFF.
17
18
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU-- YOU...
19
20
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: AND WE WOULD RECEIVE A RESIDUAL IF IT
   WAS A SUCCESS.
21
22
23
    SUP. KNABE: ITEM 30.
24
25
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, I KNOW, BUT I THOUGHT-- AM I CRAZY?
```



```
1
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: MAYBE.
2
3
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OR ARE THE REST OF YOU CRAZY? [ LIGHT
4
5
    LAUGHTER ]
6
7
    SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: NO.
8
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I-- WHY, IN LIGHT OF THE DISCUSSION WE'VE
9
    JUST HAD FOR THE LAST COUPLE HOURS, WHY WOULD WE-- I THINK MS.
10
    MOLINA'S QUESTIONS WERE RIGHT ON THE MONEY. WHY WOULD WE EVEN
11
    CONSIDER THIS? WHY WOULD WE CONSIDER ALLOWING THIS KIND OF
12
    THING IN ANY OF OUR HIGHLY RESTRICTED AREAS, IN ON OPERATING
13
14
    ROOM OF A HOSPITAL, IN...
15
16
    CAPTAIN FRANKLIN: I THINK IT WAS-- THIS REALITY-BASED SHOW, WE
    HAVE TWO OF THEM THAT WE HAVE IN DISCUSSION TODAY. ONE IS
17
18
    CALLED "THE ACADEMY", WHERE THEY'D FOLLOW SELECTED CADETS
19
    THROUGHOUT THE ACADEMY PROCESS AND THAT WOULD BE ONE OF THE
    REALITY SHOWS. AND THE OTHER SHOW IS THE ASSIGNMENT WHICH
20
    WE'RE ALSO TALKING ABOUT, WHERE THEY COULD GO INTO THE JAIL,
21
22
    FILM AT THE JAIL, THEY COULD FILM ON PATROL, DETECTIVE
23
    BUREAUS, THINGS OF THAT NATURE BUT IF WE WANTED TO CUT THEM
    OUT OF THE JAIL, I THINK THAT THAT COULD BE PART OF THE
24
    CONTRACT WITH THE ENTERTAINMENT LAWYER.
25
```



1

- 2 BILL SKY: THE CONTRACT DOES PROVIDE THAT YOU CAN RESTRICT
- 3 FILMING IN ANY PLACE THAT YOU WISH.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHO IN THE COUNTY COUNSEL'S OFFICE HAS BEEN
- 6 CONSULTED ON THIS?

7

- 8 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: THAT WOULD BE THE STAMP THAT I HAVE
- 9 OVER IN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. CAN WE HAVE THIS REFERRED TO YOU AND
- 12 YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THIS IN THE CONTEXT OF LIABILITY AND
- 13 WHETHER, WHEN YOU BALANCE THE RISKS AND THE BENEFITS, WHETHER
- 14 THIS IS A GOOD IDEA FOR THE COUNTY OR A BAD IDEA FOR THE
- 15 COUNTY?

16

- 17 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: WELL, WE CAN RESPOND TO SOME OF YOUR
- 18 QUESTIONS, SUCH AS WOULD IT BE DISCOVERABLE. IN CIVIL
- 19 LITIGATION, FOR EXAMPLE, IT MAY WELL BE, DEPENDING ON WHAT'S
- 20 AT ISSUE IN A LAWSUIT BUT THERE ARE...

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES, OF COURSE IT IS.

- 24 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: YEAH. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF ISSUES
- 25 LIKE THAT THAT-- TO THE EXTENT THIS MATERIAL THAT WENT TO THE



- 1 CUTTING ROOM FLOOR, SO TO SPEAK, ALTHOUGH THAT'S IN THE
- 2 DIGITAL AGE, I GUESS, AS DAVID IS POINTING OUT TO ME, NOT A
- 3 RELEVANT TERM ANY MORE BUT, TO THE EXTENT IT STILL EXISTS,
- 4 THEN YOU WOULD HAVE THOSE ISSUES OF DISCOVERABILITY AND SO WE
- 5 CAN LOOK AT IT IN LIGHT OF THE QUESTIONS YOU'RE ASKING HERE
- 6 AND REPORT BACK TO YOU.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND YOU HAVE-- I THOUGHT WE JUST GOT THROUGH
- 9 DISCUSSING THE OVERCROWDING CONDITIONS WE HAVE IN THE JAILS.
- 10 YOU'VE BEEN IN THE JAIL IN THE LAST COUPLE OF DAYS, WE'VE ALL
- 11 BEEN THERE AT ONE POINT OR ANOTHER ON A EXTENSIVE ON SITE
- 12 TOUR. THE NOTION OF A MOVIE CREW WALKING THROUGH THE HALLS OF
- 13 CENTRAL JAIL OR THE TWIN TOWERS...

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THEY'VE ALREADY DONE IT.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, THIS IS ANOTHER ONE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT
- 18 WE'VE ALREADY DONE. AND I'M BOTHERED BY THE FACT THAT THIS DID
- 19 NOT GET ANALYZED BY ATTORNEYS IN YOUR SHOP, NOT JUST THE
- 20 SHERIFF'S SHOP. SO I WOULD MOVE THIS MATTER BE REFERRED TO THE
- 21 COUNTY COUNSEL FOR ANALYSIS TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS THAT HAVE
- 22 BEEN RAISED BY SEVERAL MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HERE.

- 24 SUP. KNABE: NO PROBLEM WITH THAT AT ALL. I'LL SECOND THAT.
- 25 DATE CERTAIN COMING BACK IN TWO WEEKS, A WEEK?

23

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT'S A REASONABLE TIME FOR YOU TO GET BACK 2 3 TO US? 2010? [LIGHT LAUGHTER] 4 5 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: WE CAN DO THAT. 6 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I KNOW YOU CAN. 8 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE'LL CONTINUE IT TO NEXT WEEK. MOTION 10 BY YAROSLAVSKY, SECONDED BY KNABE. 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN YOU DO IT IN A WEEK? 12 13 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: YES, I THINK WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO. 14 15 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WITHOUT OBJECTION. SO ORDERED. I'D LIKE TO MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY 17 18 COMMISSION TO CONDUCT A STUDY WITH RECOMMENDATIONS ON 19 IMMEDIATE ACTIONS THAT CAN BE TAKEN BY D.P.S.S. OR OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENTS EFFECTIVELY TO PREVENT COST EFFECTIVE 20 21 PREVENT FRAUD WHILE ENSURING THE ELIGIBILITY OF THOSE 22 INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE IN NEED OF ASSISTANCE WITH A REPORT TO THE

BOARD WITHIN 60 DAYS. SECONDED BY KNABE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO

ORDERED. WE HAVE ONE PUBLIC COMMENT. EXCUSE ME. WE HAVE TWO.



- 1 JIM DIMOV AND JAMES SLOMAN. SLOMAN OR SOLOMON. JAMES SOLOMON.
- 2 YES, SIR.

3

4 **JIM DIMOV:** GOOD AFTERNOON.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: GOOD AFTERNOON.

- 8 JIM DIMOV: MY NAME IS JIM DIMOV AND I WOULD LIKE FIRST OF ALL
- 9 TO THANK THE MAYOR. LAST WEEK, HE ARRANGED FOR ME TO FILE A
- 10 CLAIM AND TO THANK MR. FORTNER ALSO FOR RESPONDING TO THE
- 11 MAYOR'S REQUEST. I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS WITH THE BOARD OF
- 12 SUPERVISORS, I WISH GLORIA MOLINA WOULD BE HERE TO HEAR WHAT
- 13 I'M GOING TO SAY. SHE'S LEAVING, IT LOOKS LIKE, BUT MY TAXES
- 14 HAVE BEEN DOUBLED, TRIPLED AND QUADRUPLED AND, BECAUSE OF
- 15 THAT, I LOST ALL MY PROPERTY. NOW I'M HOMELESS. I LIVE IN MY
- 16 SON'S APARTMENT IN GLENDALE AND I HAVE ABSOLUTELY NOTHING
- 17 EXCEPT \$600 SOCIAL SECURITY. THAT'S NOT FAIR, WHAT THE COUNTY
- 18 HAS DONE FOR ME OR AGAINST ME. IN FACT, I LOST PROPERTY WHICH
- 19 IS WORTH MORE THAN \$100 MILLION BECAUSE OF THE COUNTY. THE
- 20 COUNTY SUPPORTS REAL ESTATE SHARKS LIKE WILLIAM LITTLE, WHO IS
- 21 THE BIGGEST THIEF IN THE WORLD. HE HAS ROBBED LITERALLY
- 22 THOUSANDS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY RESIDENTS, RESIDENTS, HAVE
- 23 TAKEN THEIR PROPERTIES AND LEFT THEM HOMELESS LIKE MYSELF. THE
- 24 SHERIFF, I WISH THE SHERIFF WOULD BE HERE. HE WAS AWARE OF
- 25 WILLIAM LITTLE'S CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES AND HE ALLOW MY PROPERTY



- 1 TO BE SOLD BECAUSE OF A FALSE JUDGMENT WILLIAM LITTLE TAKEN
- 2 FROM THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COURT. AND THE SHERIFF CAME TO MY
- 3 HOME AND LITERALLY EVICTED ME AND THEY'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO DO
- 4 THAT BECAUSE I'M IN BANKRUPTCY. THEY'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO TOUCH
- 5 MY HOME AND THEY TOOK MY MONEY FROM THE BANK, MY PERSONAL
- 6 BELONGINGS, EVERYTHING WAS TAKEN AWAY FROM ME AND SOLD FOR
- 7 PROFIT BY WILLIAM LITTLE. AND NOW, BELIEVE IT OR NOT, I CAN
- 8 SOLVE ALL THESE PROBLEMS THE COUNTY HAS. I'M A CANDIDATE FOR
- 9 GOVERNOR OF CALIFORNIA AND I HAVE THE ANSWER FOR ALL THESE
- 10 PROBLEMS. THE COUNTY IS GOING TO BE FLOODED WITH MONEY WHEN I
- 11 BECOME THE GOVERNOR, OF COURSE, AND I WOULD LIKE GLORIA MOLINA
- 12 TO HEAR THAT. I WOULD LIKE MY PROPERTY FROM HER TO COME BACK
- 13 TO ME BECAUSE SHE IS THE REASON I LOST MY PROPERTY TO BEGIN
- 14 WITH. I WOULD LIKE TO GIVE MY PHONE NUMBER, WHICH IS 323-467-
- 15 8928, AND MY ADDRESS IS POST OFFICE BOX 41771. THAT'S LOS
- 16 ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, 90041. I WOULD LIKE ALL THE SUPERVISORS
- 17 TO CONTACT ME AND TO HAVE A SPECIAL MEETING AND WE'LL SOLVE
- 18 THE PROBLEM OF LOS ANGELES IN A VERY SHORT WHILE, AND I'LL
- 19 TELL YOU HOW IF WE GET TOGETHER. THAT'S ALL I WANT TO SAY.

21 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

23 **JIM DIMOV:** THANK YOU.

24

20



1 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: YES, SIR. JUST GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE

2 RECORD.

- 4 JAMES SLOMAN: MY NAME IS JAMES SLOMAN AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH
- 5 FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEND A FEW MINUTES HERE THIS
- 6 AFTERNOON. ACTUALLY, I'VE BEEN SITTING HERE FOR SEVERAL HOURS
- 7 PATIENTLY. I'M A FORMER INVESTIGATOR FOR THE REGENTS OF THE
- 8 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA. I'M ALSO A FORMER INVESTIGATOR FOR
- 9 THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, AND I HAVE SERVED FIVE DIFFERENT
- 10 POLICE DEPARTMENTS THROUGHOUT CALIFORNIA. I'VE BEEN A RESIDENT
- 11 OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FOR 47 YEARS. MY GROWN SON TEACHES IN
- 12 THE CALIFORNIA SCHOOL SYSTEM, SO FORTH AND SO ON, SO THAT'S A
- 13 LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND ON ME. I MIGHT JUST THROW IN I'M A
- 14 VIETNAM WAR VETERAN, I WAS A MARINE, MY SON WAS IN THE 82ND
- 15 AIRBORNE, SERVED IN IRAQ, MY FATHER IS A PEARL HARBOR
- 16 SURVIVOR, SO THAT'S A BIT ABOUT THIS. BUT WHAT I COME HERE
- 17 TODAY FOR IS A DEEPLY PERSONAL MATTER IN WHICH I BELIEVE THAT
- 18 AN EMPLOYEE OF THE COUNTY, OR PERHAPS EVEN EMPLOYEES OF THE
- 19 COUNTY, MAYBE EVEN AN ENTIRE DEPARTMENT, HAS MISUSED THE
- 20 TAXPAYERS' MONEY AND RESOURCES. IN A PURELY PRIVATE MATTER,
- 21 WHICH HAPPENS TO BE A VERY SENSITIVE CHILD CUSTODY CASE IN
- 22 WHICH I'VE BEEN INVOLVED, HAVE BEEN INVOLVED, AND I'M SOMEWHAT
- 23 EMBARRASSED TO SAY BUT I WAS DIRECTED, LIKE MANY PEOPLE,
- 24 PERHAPS, TO GO TO ANGER MANAGEMENT, DIRECTED TO, WHAT'S THE
- 25 OTHER THING YOU DO, ANGER MANAGEMENT AND THEN-- OH, PARENTING



- 1 CLASSES, AS IF 40 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN THAT REGARD DID NOT
- 2 EQUIP ME. BUT, NONETHELESS, MY PARTICULAR OFFENSE, WHICH I
- 3 WANT TO BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION IS IN THIS PROCESS AT THE
- 4 HARBOR GENERAL HOSPITAL, WHICH WHERE, COINCIDENTALLY, I HAD
- 5 PERFORMED MANY INVESTIGATIONS IN THE PAST, AN EMPLOYEE THERE,
- 6 WHO I HAVE NOW DETERMINED A PSYCHOLOGIST, AN EMPLOYEE OF THE
- 7 STATE OF CALIFORNIA-- EXCUSE ME. AN EMPLOYEE OF THE COUNTY OF
- 8 LOS ANGELES, A PSYCHOLOGIST, IDENTIFIES HERSELF ON A
- 9 LETTERHEAD, WHICH I HAVE BEFORE ME AND I'D BE MORE THAN HAPPY
- 10 TO DELIVER A COPY, BUT UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES,
- 11 DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY, AND, IN THIS COMMUNICATION, SHE IS
- 12 ASKING FOR MY PSYCHOLOGICAL RECORDS, WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT BE
- 13 APPROPRIATE, BUT THAT'S NOT THE POINT. SHE IS NOT, SHE IS NOT
- 14 AN EMPLOYEE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SHE IS NOT AN
- 15 EMPLOYEE OF THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SHE
- 16 IS AN EMPLOYEE OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. VERY CLEARLY A
- 17 MISREPRESENTATION. BUT NOT ONLY THAT, WHAT HAS TAKEN PLACE
- 18 HERE IS AN EMPLOYEE IN A HIGH POSITION IN THE COUNTY OF LOS
- 19 ANGELES IS ENTERING IN FROM HER PRIVATE PRACTICE, ENTERING
- 20 INTO A PRIVATE MATTER ON THE TAXPAYER'S DIME AND RESOURCES.
- 21 THAT'S THE OBJECTION THAT I PRESENT HERE TODAY.

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WHERE DO YOU LIVE?

25 JAMES SLOMAN: I LIVE IN SANTA MONICA.

24



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THAT'S SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. DO YOU

3 HAVE A DEPUTY THAT...

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THERE'LL BE A DEPUTY FROM-- YOU'RE IN
- 8 THE THIRD SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT AND PERHAPS HE'LL BE ABLE TO
- 9 HELP YOU.

10

11 JAMES SLOMAN: OKAY. AND I COULD DELIVER THIS DOCUMENT TO HIM?

12

13 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: SURE, YOU CAN DO THAT.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. MR. BELLMAN WILL GET THE STUFF FOR ME.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: WE WILL CONTINUE INTO EXECUTIVE
- 18 SESSION.

19

- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS,
- 21 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL
- 22 CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM CS-6, CONSIDERATION
- 23 OF DEPARTMENT HEAD PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS, AS INDICATED ON
- 24 THE POSTED SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA.



1	REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION ON JULY 11, 2006
2	
3	
4	
5	CS-1. PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT (Government Code Section 54957)
6	Consider candidate for appointment to the position of Deputy
7	Chief Attorney, Office of Independent Review for the
8	Department of Children and Family Services.
9	
10	In open session, the Board unanimously authorized the Mayor to
11	execute an agreement for specialized legal services with Sue
12	Stengel for the position of Deputy Chief Attorney, Office of
13	Independent Review for the Department of Children and Family
14	Services, upon approval as to form by County Counsel.
15	
16	CS-2. PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT (Government Code Section 54957)
17	Consider candidate for appointment to the position of OIR
18	Attorney, Office of Independent Review for the Sheriff's
19	Department.
20	
21	In open session, the Board unanimously authorized the Mayor to
22	execute an agreement for specialized legal services with Julie
23	M. Ruhlin for the position of OIR Attorney, Office of
24	Independent Review for the Sheriff's Department.
25	



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
3	California, do hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors July 11, 2006,
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
11	Supervisors as certified by me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16	14th day of July 2006 for the County records to be used only
17	for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	
23	
24	
25	